

# GLOBAL JOURNAL OF BIO-SCIENCE AND BIOTECHNOLOGY

© 2004 - 2015 Society For Science and Nature (SFSN). All rights reserved

www.scienceandnature.org

# APPLICATION OF DIATOMIC INDICES IN LOTIC ECOSYSTEM, IRAQ

Fikrat M. Hassan<sup>1\*</sup>& Ali O. Shaawiat<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, College of Science for Women, University of Baghdad, Iraq <sup>2</sup>Department of Biology, College of Education, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Iraq . \*Corresponding Authors email: fikrat@csw.uobaghdad.edu.iq

### ABSTRACT

Fifteen indices were applied to the lotic ecosystem in Iraq to evaluate the water quality. The Al - Shamyia river was selected for this study as a lotic ecosystem. The river physicochemical characteristics were studied in period March, 2013 to Febrary, 2014. These indices (pollution, trophic and diversity) included: Saprobic Index (S), Pollution Tolerance (PTI), Palmer Pollution Index, Percent Sensitive Species (%SS), Simpson Index, Trophic State Index, Trophic diatom Index (TDI), Diatomic Index (Id), )Generic Diatoms Index (GDI), Index of Pollution Sensitivity (IPS), Biological water Quality (BWQI), Richness Index (D), Shannon-Weaver Index (H), Evenness Index (E), McIntoch Diversity Index (Mc).. In addition to physicochemical characterized features of the river. The results revealed that the water quality of the river ranged between less polluted water to moderate polluted water. The existing of some diatomic species indicated the trophic status of the river and this result conformed by using diatomic indices in this study.

KEY WORDS: Lotic Ecosytems, Biological Indices, Water Quality, Water Pollution, Diatoms, Phytoplankton.

#### INTRODUCTION

The aquatic organisms were used as bio-indicators for pollution, also as bio-monitors to understand the interaction between organism's responses to environmental alteration and their legal effect [1,2]. Many organisms can be used as indicators of water quality as well as the pollutants that may lead to sudden death, or indicates the presence of a toxic substance in the environment. This makes them highly valuable as indicators of environmental health <sup>[3]</sup>. Dokulil<sup>[4]</sup> explained the principle of algal bioindications in different aquatic ecosystems. The algae have been well known as bio-monitors in the mid 19th century. Algae have responded to environmental alteration by means of different growth rates and physiological changes, hence used as bio-indication for pollution in an aquatic ecosystem<sup>[5]</sup>. Diatoms are predominant phytoplankton in most aquatic ecosystems, these algae has the ability to resist alteration in the environment, also these algae are sensitive to low concentration of pollutants compared with other algal groups <sup>[6, 7, 8, 9]</sup>. In polluted aquatic ecosystems will predominate by a specific organism (tolerant species), while its conversely in unpolluted aquatic systems a few

Predominant organisms<sup>[10]</sup>. The qualitative and quantitative of diatoms depend on the trophic status of an aquatic ecosystem <sup>[11]</sup>. These algae used as diagnostic tools in biological monitoring and as bio-indicators <sup>[12, 13]</sup>. Other organism can be used as bio-indicators by means of their density and types which are evidence of environmental health or deteriorating water quality <sup>[3]</sup>. Many authors revealed that diatoms composition reflected the water quality of lotic ecosystems in Iraq and dominancy of diatoms <sup>[14, 15, 16, 17]</sup>. The present study aimed to apply the fifteen indices in the lotic ecosystems (Al-Shamiyah River) to evaluate their water quality and to use these indices tool for monitoring in Iraqi aquatic systems.

### **MATERIALS & METHODS**

The Al-Shamiyah River was selected for application indices. Monthly sampling was taken from four sites along Al-Shamiya river during the period from March, 2013 to February, 2014 (Fig. 1). All physicochemical parameters were determined follow APHA<sup>[18]</sup>, nitrite, nitrate, phosphate and silicate <sup>[19]</sup> and total organic carbon <sup>[20]</sup>.



FIGURE 1: Location of sites on Al-Shamiyah River (Followed Hassan and Shawaait<sup>[8]</sup>.

Fifteen biological indices were used for this study of water quality. These indices have been calculated according to references marked in front of each index. The indices were

as follows (\*= pollution Indices\*\*= Trophic Inices\*\*\*= Diversity Indices <sup>[21]</sup>):

	Index	Reference
1	Saprobic index (S)*	Pantle and Buck [22]
2	Pollution Tolerance Index (P.T.I)	Lang-Bertalot <sup>[23]</sup>
3	Palmer Pollution Index *	Palmer <sup>[24]</sup>
4	Index of Pollution Sensitivity (IPS) *	Prygiel and Coste <sup>[25]</sup>
5	Biological Water Quality Index (BWQI) *	Wegl <sup>[26]</sup>
6	Percent Sensitive Species (SS%) **	Metzmeier <sup>[27]</sup>
7	Trophic diatom index (TDI) **	Kelly and Whitton [28]
8	Diatomic Index (Id) **	Descy <sup>[29]</sup>
9	Generic Diatom Index (GDI) **	Lecointe et al. [30]
10	Simpson Index ***	Simpson <sup>[31]</sup>
11	Trophic State Index ***	Nygaard <sup>[32]</sup>
12	Richness index (D) ***	Stiling [33]
13	Shannon-Weaver index (H') ***	Shannon and Weaver <sup>[34]</sup>
14	Evenness index (E) ***	Neves et al. [35]
15	McIntoch Diversity Index (Mc) ***	McIntoch <sup>[36]</sup>

The qualitative studies of diatoms were followed the identification references as follows: Germain <sup>[37]</sup>; Hassan *et al*<sup>[38]</sup>; Al- Hassany and Hassan <sup>[39]</sup>. The quantitative study calculated by the micro transects method <sup>[40]</sup>. Statistical Package for the Social Science (SPSS) used for statistical analysis.

## **RESULTS & DISCUSSION**

The physicochemical parameter was already discussed by authors <sup>[8]</sup>. The results revealed that the river was alkaline

and oligohaline according to criteria proposed by Reid <sup>[41]</sup>. Dissolved oxygen concentration recorded above 7 mg/l in all study period while biochemical oxygen demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>) ranged from 1.37 mg/l to 1.85mg/l, these values of BOD5 were less than permissible limit (5 mg/l) proposed by WHO <sup>[42]</sup>. The average Chlorophyll-a concentration ranged from 2.40 to 3.37  $\mu$ g l<sup>-1</sup> that means the river was I -oligotrophic according to trophic classification <sup>[43]</sup>. Table 1 illustrated the range of the studied physicochemical parameters.

<b>TABLE1:</b> Range of mean values of Ph	ysicochemical Parameters in Al-Sham	iyah River during the study pe	riod
---	-------------------------------------	--------------------------------	------

-	Parameter	Mean
	Air temp. (°C)	24.63 - 28.06
	Water temp. (°C)	21.67 - 24.50
	Water flow (m/min)	33.22 - 39.11
	Turbidity (NTU)	12.87 - 16.87
	Light penetration (cm)	31.33 - 45.54
	Electric C conductivity (µs/cm)	1189 - 1314
	Salinity ‰	0.76 - 0.84
	Total dissolved solids (mg/l)	761 - 841
	Total suspended solids (mg/l)	25.53 - 34.35
	pH	7.93 – 7.99
	Dissolved oxygen mg/l	7.62 - 8.98
	Biochemical oxygen demand mg/l	1.37 - 1.85
	Alkalinity mg CaCO3/l	128.30 - 137.50
	Hardness mg CaCO3/1	382.64 - 465.00
	Calcium mg/l	104.27 - 110.68
	Magnesium mg/l	32.91 - 48.28
	Nitrite µg/l	1.22 - 1.54
	Nitrate µg/l	57.00 - 73.00
	Phosphate µg/l	0.01 - 0.12
	Silicate µg/l	120.25 - 140.73
	Total organic carbon mg/l	0.54 - 0.94

Authors <sup>[8]</sup> studied the composition of phytoplankton in Al-Shamiyah River and a total of 144 diatom taxa were recorded. The phytoplanktons were predominated with pennate diatoms. Different Saprobic index (S) was

recorded among the study sites. The mean values were ranged from 1.78 in site 1to 1.94 in site 2. While its values in site 3 and 4 were 1.84 and 1.88, respectively (Table 2 and fig. 2A). These values indicated that Al-Shamiyah

River is slightly oligosaprobic to moderate (Betamesosaprobic) according to Lowe <sup>[44]</sup>. In oligosaprobic pollution all material and biodegradable compounds will be fully oxidative while in the mesosaprobic pollution the organic load will be continuous oxidation<sup>[45]</sup>. This result might be due to leak organic material and sewage from nearby farmland and domestic into the river this load will be less effective during increased water discharge <sup>[45]</sup>. The quality and quantity of diatoms will be affected by this index so some of the diatoms existed as common or predominant according to their tolerance to pollution <sup>[46]</sup>.



**FIGURE 2:** Monthly variation in the studied indices: A: Saprobic Index; B: Pollution tolerance Index; C: Palmer Pollution Index; D: Index of Pollution Sensitivity, E: Biological Water Quality Index, in Al-Shamiyah River during the study period.

Pollution Tolerance index (PTI) determines the pollution by using diatoms to assess the health of aquatic ecosystems such as rivers <sup>[47]</sup>. This index value ranged from 1.64 at site 4 in April 2013 to 2.94 at site 2 in December 2013 (fig. 2B). These results indicated that the river was least polluted to moderately polluted water according to classification of water pollution by Lange-Bertalot <sup>[48]</sup>. This classification revealed that values of PTI were ranged from 1 to 4, the 1 indicated Most Polluted Water while the 2, 3 and 4 numbers indicated Moderately Polluted Water and Least Polluted Water, respectively.. Hence PTI results of the Al - Shamyiah river

agreed with Saprobic index. Palmer index values for diatoms (Phytoplankton) ranged from 1.0 at site 2 in July 2013 and January 2014 to 15 at site 3 in March, September and November 2013 (Fig. 2C). The values of the Palmer index less than 15 were considered as drift in slowly eutrophic status [24, 49]. Similar results were also observed in two water bodies in India [50]. The palmer index values confirmed the TPI and Saprobic index results. The results of the index of Pollution Sensitivity (IPS) of diatoms (Phytoplankton) showed that Al-Shamiyah river was moderate to good according to IPS values. These mean values ranged from 11.18 at site 4 to 14.21 at site 3 (Fig. 2D). Both the low (in January 2014) and high (in December 2013) values were recorded in the cold months. Results of Biological Water Quality Index (BWQI) showed that the studied river was moderate to heavily pollute and mostly moderate polluted according to Lobo et al. <sup>[51]</sup> scale. This scale has varied from 1 to 4 as follows: 0.0-0.9 (pollution absent), 1.0-1.4 (low pollution), 1.5-2.0 (moderate pollution), 2.1-2.7 (heavy pollution) and 2.8-40 (very pollution). The mean values of BWQI ranged from 1.98 at site 1 to 2.16 at site 4. The lowest values recorded in May 2013 and the highest value was in July 2013 (fig. 2E). The following changes in the diatoms composition and its ratio in aquatic system might be used to evaluate the water quality by Percent sensitive Species (diatoms) index This index ranged from 23.47% in July 2013 to 87.22% in Febrary 2014 in site 4 for both percents (Fig. 3A). The low results of this index indicated that pollution might be due to waste disposal into the river and lead to reduce the Percent Sensitive Species of diatoms. The percent more than 50 % indicated moderately polluted or b-mesosabropic and less than 50% was critically polluted (b-a- mesosabropic. The results of this index indicated that the Al-Shamiyah river ranged from good to moderately polluted according to Lange-Bertalot <sup>[23]</sup> and the spatial and temporal variation in this index related to different

load of pollution, predators and availability of nutrients <sup>[52,53]</sup>. Trophic diatom index (TDI) is a good tool for monitoring river, diatom community are liable to alter of factors that not related to nutrients <sup>[28]</sup>. (The present study results of TDI mean values ranged from 42.14 at site 4 to 51.87 at site 2 (Figure 3B). The lowest value was recorded in early spring (February 2014) while the high value was in the summer (July 2013). According to this index the Al-Shamiyah River was tended to be oligotrophic to mesotrophic, this might be confirmed by existence of *A. minutissima* at all study sites. This species found in oligo-mesotrophic River <sup>[28]</sup>.

The results of Diatomic index (Id) showed temporal variation. The lowest value recorded in summer (in July 2013) and higher value was in Autumn (in November 2013). Its mean values ranged from 3.44 at sites 2and 4 to 3.49 at site 1 (Fig. 3C). According to Id values mentioned in Descy<sup>[29]</sup> the present water quality data ranged between moderate to good. This may be emphasized by the slight change in diatoms (phytoplankton) communities noted by Hassan and Shawaait [8] study during the same period. The mean values of the Generic Diatoms Index (GDI) ranged from 12.31 at site 4 to 15.06 at site3 (Fig. 3D). Both the low (January 2014) and high (December 2013) values of GDI recorded in the cold months. The higher values of GDI indicated good water quality with low or absent pollution [54]. The lowest values of GDI indicate deterioration in water quality of an aquatic system. The study area ranged from moderate to good. Some genera or species of diatoms were sensitive and other tolerant to pollution that diatoms were considered as bioindicatior [55]. The balance of existing sensitive species and tolerant species depend on alteration in water quality due to different pollutant disposal into an aquatic ecosystem which effect physicochemical and biological features [56, 57, 58]





**FIGURE 3:** Monthly variation in the studied indices: A: Percent Sensiitive Species; B: Trophic Diatom Index; C: Diatomic Index; D: Generic Diatoms Index; E: trophic State Index, in Al-Shamiyah River during the study period.

For example A. minutissima and Nitzchia spp were predominant in polluted water. Both species considered as tolerant to different pollution [59]. Diatoms were considered as sensitive organisms to environmental alteration in water bodies such as nutrient availability in which that related to the trophic status of an aquatic system <sup>[60]</sup>. The mean value for trophic state index (TSI) in this study ranged from 0.29 at site 1 and 0.41 at site 4. Low value recorded in May 2013 and high value in November 2013 (Fig. 3E). Nygaard <sup>[32]</sup> revealed that value of this index ranged from 0.00 to 0.30 indicated as oligotrophic while if an aquatic system ranged from 0.00-1.75 was Eutrophic. The lowest value of the Simpson index for diatoms was 0.023 at site 1 in September 2013 and the higher value was 0.33 at site 4 in June 2013 (Fig. 4A). Mean values of the Simpson index ranged from 0.05 to 0.16 in the same above sites, respectively. These results indicated that Al-Shamiyah river was tending to be of high diversity and low or moderately polluted water. This result was evidence that polluted material released into river varied spatially and temporally which affects dominancy of some species against another. This finding was also observed by Kassim et al. [61] on the polluted Diyala and Tigris rivers, in general the highly polluted water body is usually characterized by low diversity and also, the alteration in algal diversity was an indication to change in water quality <sup>[62, 63]</sup>. The high values of diversity in Al-Shamiyah river was emphasized by its water quality. Mean values of the Richness index (D) for diatoms in this study ranged from 3.46 at site 2 to 4.23 at site 3 (Fig. 4B). The lowest value was recorded in January 2014 (coolest month) and the highest value was in September 2013 (early autumn). The recorded D values in Al-Shamiya river indicated its high diversity of diatoms that may be due to less pollution and less grazing activities by zooplankton <sup>[64]</sup>. The high values of D were remarkable to healthy diatom communities and this index will cover any alteration in communities in the

aquatic systems [47]. In the present study the Shannon-Weaver index (H') recorded values above 1 in the study period. H values ranged from 2.43 at site 4 to 3.23 at site 1 (Fig. 4C). Both low and high values were recorded in spring (Febrarury 2014 (for low value) and Autumn (September 2013 (for high value), respectively). These findings confirmed the D index results which emphasize that the Al-Shamiyah River has high biodiversity (diatoms) and also meant that no dominancy for certain species of diatoms in the study river. Moreover, there was a similar species composition at all studied sites with some differences due to the nature of each site and type of macrophytes in each on the bank of river such as Phragmitus australis, Typhah domingensis and Ceratophyllum demersum. These macrophytes lead to suitable condition of algal growth <sup>[65, 66]</sup>. High values of H index were recorded in the blooming period (spring and autumn). This result agreed with other studies on rivers <sup>[15,</sup> <sup>67, 68]</sup>. The high values of H' index indicative of good water quality of Al-Shamiyah river [60]. The results of Evenness index (E) confirmed the homogenization of species in the present studied river where more than 0.5 values recorded in the study period <sup>[69]</sup>. Green <sup>[69]</sup> revealed that low values of Evenness index indicated emergence of few species with high densities and an indication of environmental stress. The values of E index ranged from 0.76 at site 4 to 0.94 at site 1 (Fig. 4D). The low and high values were recorded in February 2014 and December 2013, respectively. McIntosh Diversity Index (Mc) for diatoms mean values ranged from 0.64 at site 4 to 0.80 at site 1 (Fig. 4E). All recorded values tended to be close to 1 and this evidence that the river was characterized by high biodiversity of diatoms due to a suitable condition to growth of diatoms and less effect of pollution, also it may be difficult to explain the lowest values because of overlapping environmental factors that organizing diatom community in lotic ecosystems <sup>[60, 70, 71]</sup>. Statistical analysis

showed significant differences between the studied indices (table 3). A Statistical analysis showed positive correlation between pollution indices (S with BWQI and PTI with SS %) while palmer index did not record any correlation with other indices of pollution. But there were a positive correlation between the Palmer index with Shannon – weaver index (diversity index), SS% with Id (water quality index) and IPS with GDI (water quality index). Only one index of water quality recorded positive correlation with H (diversity index). A positive correlation noticed between H and MI and E and MI.

Authors in the same period of this study published the qualitative and quantitative study of phytoplankton in Al-Shamiyah river<sup>[8]</sup>. They explained that some species such as *Aulacoseria granulate*, *Cocconeis placentula*, *Cymbella* spp., *Fragilaria capucina*, *Gomphonies olivaceum*, *Diatoma elongatum*, *Navicula radiosa* and *Syndra ulna* were indicative to trophic status of aquatic ecosystems between oligotrophic to eutrophic <sup>[72]</sup>. This is agreement with other results of indices presented in this study (TDI, S, Palmer index and Simpson Index).

#### CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that most of the studied indices reflected the status of the water quality of Al-Shamiyah River which was low to moderately polluted. The results showed an alteration of water quality from upstream (Site 1) to downstream ()site 4) which may be due to discharge of organic and other pollutant being dumped into the river during river passing in Al-Shamiya city and other small villages located on river banks.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors acknowledge that all material and facilities of this paper supported by department of biology, College of Education, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Iraq. The authors wish to thank Dr Emad Aldeen Al-Mukhtar for revising this paper.

### REFERENCES

- Markert, B.A., Brier, A.M. & Zechmeister, H.G. (2003) Definition, strategies and priniciples for bioindicator/ biomonitoring of the environment. In: Markert, B.A., Breure, A.M.,and Zechmeister, H.G., (eds.) Elsevier, Oxford. pp. 285-327.
- [2]. Werner, I., Clark, S. & Hinton, D.E. (2003) Biomarker and understanding of aquatic organism responses to environmental stressors. California Agriculture, 57 (4): 110-115.
- [3]. Helfrich, L.A. & Noves, R.J. (2003) Sustaining America's Aquatic Biodiversity freshwater Mussel: biodiversity and conservation. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- [4]. Dokulil, M.T. (2003) Algae as ecological bioindicators. In: Markert, B.A., Breure, A.M. and Zechmeister, H.G., (eds.) Elsevier, Oxford. pp. 285-327.

- [5]. Atici, T. & Ahiska, S. (2005) pollution and algae of Ankara stream. Gazi Universitesi Fen Bilimleri dergisi, 18: 51-59.
- [6]. Dwivedi, B.K. & Pandey, G.C. (2002) Physicochemical factors and algal diversity of two ponds, (Girija Kund and maqubara Pond), Faizabad. Poll. R.S., 21: 361-370.
- [7]. Lata Dora, S., Maiti, S.K., Tiwary, R.K. & Anshumali (2010) Algae as indicator of river water pollution- A review, In International conference on Environment, Energy and development (ICEED-2010), December 10-12, 2010, Dept. of Envt. Sc. Sambalpur University, Orissa.
- [8]. Hassan, F.M. & Shaawiat, A.O. (2015a) Qualitative and quantitative study of diatoms in a lotic ecosystem, Iraq. International Journal of Aquatic Science, 6(2): 76-92.
- [9]. Hassan, F.M. & Shaawiat, A.O. (2015b) A contribution to the epipelic algae ecology in a Lotic ecosystems, Iraq. Journal of Environmental protection, 6: 85-95.
- [10]. Trainor, F. R. (1984) Indicator Algal Assay Laboratory and fields approaches in algae as Ecological indicators Ed. Shubert, Academic Press London PP.3-14.
- [11]. Wei-hua, G., Dong-cai, H.E., Tian-yu, .L., Nan, L. & Ling – Ling, D. (2008) Algal community composition and abundance near the confluence of the Jialing and Yangtze Rivers in Shuanglong Lake in Chongqing, P. R. China .Journal of Chongqing university (English Edition).7(4):247 – 253.
- [12]. Loeb, S.L. & Spacie, A. (eds) (1994) Biological monitoring of aquatic systems. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Fl.
- [13]. Werner, I., Clark, S. & Hinton, D.E. (2003) Biomarker and understanding of aquatic organism responses to environmental stressors. California Agriculture, 57 (4): 110-115.
- [14]. Hassan, F.H., Taylor, W.D., Al-Taee, M.S. & Al-Fatlawi, H.J. (2010) Phytoplankton composition of Euphrates river in Al-Hindiya barrage and kifil city region of Iraq. Journal of Environmental Biology, 31 (3): 343-350.
- [15]. Salman, J.M., Jawad H. J. J., Nassar, A. J. & Hassan, F.M. (2013) A study of phytoplankton communities and related environmental factors in Euphrates river (between two cities: Al-Musayyab and Hindiya), Iraq. Journal of Environmental protection, 4:1071-1079.
- [16]. Talib, A.H., Hassan, F.M. & Sadoon, W.A. (2014) An environmental study on phytoplankton (diatoms)in Al-Yusifiya river, Iraq. Baghdad. Science Journal, 11(3):1301 -1309.
- [17]. Hassan, F.H., Salman, J.M. and Abdulameer, S.H. (2014) Seasonal variation of environmental properties

and phytoplankton community in Al- Hussainya river, Holly Karbala- Iraq. mesopotamia environmental journal, 1(1): 56-82.

- [18]. APHA (American public Helth Association) (2003) Standard methods for examination of water and wastwater, 20<sup>th</sup>, Ed. Washington DC, USA.
- [19]. Parsons, T.R., Mait, Y. & Laulli, C.M. (1984) A manual of chemical and biological methods for seawater analysis pergamone press Oxford.
- [20]. Gaudette, H.E., Flight, W.R., Toner, L. & Folger, D.W. (1974) An inexpensive titration method for the determination of organic carbon in recent sediments. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, 44 (1): 249-253.
- [21]. Hellawell, J.M. (1978) Biological Surveillance of Rivers. Water Research Centre, Medmenham, UK, P 332.
- [22]. Pantle, R. & Buck, H. (1955) Die biologische Uberwachung der Gewasser und die Darstellung der Ergebnisse .GWF.96 (18V):604.
- [23]. Lange-Bertalot, H. (1979) Pollution tolerance of diatoms as a criterion for water quality estimation: Nova Hedwigia, 64:285-304.
- [24]. Palmer, C.M. (1969) A composite rating of algae tolerating Organic Pollution, J.phycol.5:78-82.
- [25]. Prygiel, J. & Coste, M. (1993) The assessment of water quality in the Artois –Picardie water basin (france) by the use of diatom indices. Hydrobiologia, 269: 343-349.
- [26]. Wegl, R. (1983) Index f
  ür limnolosaprobit
  ät. Wasser und Abwasser, 261-175.
- [27]. Metzmeier, L. (1994) Use of a diatom bioassessment index for water quality assessment in kentuaky: Orlando. florida. North America Benthological Society meeting, 17p.
- [28]. Kelly, M.G. and Whitton, B.A. (1995) The trophic Diatom Index: a new index for monitoring eutrophication in rivers, Journal of Applied Phycology, 7,433-444.
- [29]. Descy, J. P. (1979) A new approach to water quality estimation using diatoms. Nova Hedwigia, Heft 64: 305 – 323.
- [30]. Lecointe, C., Coste, M. & Prygiel, J. (2003) Omnidia 3.2.Diatom Index Software Including Diatom Database with Taxonomic Names, References and Codes of 11645 Diatom Taxa.
- [31]. Simpson, E.H. (1949) Measurement of diversity .Nature 163:688-688.
- [32]. Nygaard, G. (1949) Hydrobiological studies in some pond and Lake in the quotient thesis and some new or

Little Know phytoplankton organism. KGI. Danskes viden, selsk. Biol. Skrifter 7:1-292.

- [33]. Stiling, P. (1999) Ecology: theorise and applications. 3<sup>rd</sup>.) Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- [34]. Shannon, C.E. & Weaver, W. (1949) The mathematical theory of communications. Unversity of Illinois Press, Urbana, pp 117.
- [35]. Neves, I.F., Recha, O., Roche, K.F & Pinto, A.A. (2003) Zooplankton community structure of two marginal lakes of the river Cuiaba (Mato Groso, Barzil) with analysis of rotifer and Cladocera diversity. Brazilian Journal of Biology, 63:1-20.
- [36]. McIntosh, R. (1967) An index of diversity and the relation of certain concepts to diversity. Ecology, 48 (3): 392-404.
- [37]. Germain, H. (1981)Flora des diatoms. Diatomophyceae eau douces et saumatres du Massif Armoricion et des contrees voisines d'europe occindental. Sciete Nouvelle des Editim Boubee Paris.
- [38]. Hassan, F.M., Hadi, R.W., Kassim, T.I. & Al-Hassany J.S. (2012) Systematic Study of Epiphytic Algal after Restoration of Al-Hawizah Marshes, Southern of Iraq. International Journal of Aquatic Science, 3 (1): 37-57.
- [39]. Al-Hassany J.S. & Hassan F.M. (2014) Taxonomic study of some epiphytic diatoms on aquatic plants from AL-Hawizah marshes, southern of Iraq. Asian Journal of Natural and Applied Sciences, 3 (1): 1-11.
- [40]. Hadi, R.A.M. (1981) Algal studies on the river SK. Ph. D. Thesis, Univ. College Cardiff, U.K.
- [41]. Reid, G.K. (1961) Ecology of Inland waters and Esturies. D. van Nostrand Co.
- [42]. World Health Organization (1996) Guideline for drinking water quality, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., 2: 940-951.
- [43]. Schmitt, A. (1998) Trophiebewertung plankton domniniertor Fliesgewasser-konzept und erste Erfahrungen. Munchener Beitrage zur Abwasser-, Fischerei- und Flussibiologie 51: 394-411.
- [44]. Lowe, R.L. (1974) Environmental requirements and pollution tolerance of freshwater diatoms. US Environmental Monitoring Series. EPA 670/4-74-005: 1-334.
- [45]. Al-Saadi, H.A., Pankow, H. & Huq, M.F. (1979a) Algological investigation in the polluted Ashar canal and ShattAl-Arab in Basrah (Iraq). Int. Rev. Hydrobiol., 64 (4): 527-540.
- [46]. Hassan, F.M. (1997) A Limnological study on Hilla river. Al Mustansiriya Journal of Science, 8 (1): 22-30.
- [47]. Barbour, M.T., Gerritsen, J., Snyder, B.D. & Stribling, J.B. (1999) Rapid bioassessment protocols for use in

stream and wade able rivers: periphyton, macro invertebrates and fish, 2nd Edition, EPA 841-B-99-002.U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington, D.C.

- [48]. Lange- Bertalot, H. (1979) Pollution tolerance of diatoms as a criterion for water quality estimation: Nova Hedwigia 64:285-304.
- [49]. Parvateesam, M. & Mishra, M. (1993) Algae of Pushkar lake including pollution indicating forms. Phykos 32(1 and 2):27-39.
- [50]. Ramakrishnan, N. (2003) Bio-Monitoring Approaches for water quality assessment in two water bodies at Tiruvanna Malai, Tamil Nadu India. Proceeding of the Third Int.Conference on Env. and Health, Chennai, India, pp:374 – 385.
- [51]. Lobo, E.A., Callegaro, V.L. & Bender, P. (2002) Ulilizacao de algas diatomaceas epiliticas camo indicadoras da qualidade da agua emriose arroios da Regiao Hidrografica do Guaiba, Rs.Brasil san ta cruz dosal Edunisc. 127p.
- [52]. Pouli kova, A., Ha ler, P., Lysá Kova, M., and Spears, B. (2008) The ecology of Freshwater epipelic algae: an update. Phycologia, 47 (5): 437-450.
- [53]. Ács, E., Szabó, K., Toth, B. & kiss, K. T. (2004) Investigation of Benthic algae communities, Especially Diatoms of some Hungarian streams in connection with Reference condition of the water Frame work Directives. Acta Botanica Hungarica: 46 (3-4).255-277.
- [54]. Hungary Ministry of Environment and water (2005) Ecosurv: Biological quality environment report on phytobenthos. Hungary, Europe Aid /114951/ D/SV/ 2002-000-180-04-01-02-02.
- [55]. Armstrong, H.A. & Brasier, M. D. (2005) Microfossils (zded): Malden, Massachusetts Black well Publishing, 296 P.
- [56]. Giller, P.S. & Malmqoist, B. (1998) The biology of stream and rivers : New York Oxford University Press, 296 P.
- [57]. Szabo, K., Kiss, K.T. Taba, G., Acs, E. (2005) Epiphytic diatoms of the Tisza River Kiskore Resevoir and some oxbows of the Tiza river after the cyanide and heavy metal pollution in 2000. Acta Botanica Croatic, 64 (1): 1 – 46.
- [58]. Wyn, B., Sweetan, J.N., Leavitt, P.R. and Ponald, D.B. (2007) Historical metal concentration in Lacustrine food webs reveald using Fossil ephippia from Daphnia Ecological Application 17(3): 754 – 764.
- [59]. Lobo, E.A., Callegaro, V. L. M., Hermany, G., Wetzel, C.E. & Oliveira, M.A. (2004) Use of epilithic diatoms as bioindicators from lotic systems in Southern Brazil,

with special emphasis on eutrophication. Acta Limno. Brasil, 16: 25-40.

- [60]. Wu, N., Schmalz, B. & Fohrer, N. (2014) Study progress in riverine phytoplankton and its use as bioindicator- a review. Austin J. Hydrol. 1(1):1-9.
- [61]. Kassim, T.I., Sabri, A.W., AL-Lami, A. A. & Abood, S. M. (1996)The impacts of sewage treatment plant on phytoplankton of Diyala and Tigris Rivers, J. Environ, Sci. Health A 31 (5): 1067 – 1088.
- [62]. Lillis, J.R. (2000) Evaluation of biological data, Guanella Pass area, clear creek and park counties, Colorado, water years 1995-97: U.S. Geological Survey open- File Repoet 00-54, 121 p.
- [63]. Palfkin, J.L., Barbour, M.T., Porter, K.D., Gross, S. K. and Hughes, R.M. (1989) Rapid bioassessment protocols for use in stream and rivers ,benthic macro invertebrates and fish : U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA/444/4-89-100,174p.
- [64]. Ghosh, S., Barinova, S. & Keshri J.P. (2012) Diversity and seasonal variation of phytoplankton community in the Santragachi Lake, West Bengal, India. QScinece Connect, 3: 1-19.
- [65]. Lowe, R.L. (1996) Periphyton patterns in lake. In Stevenson, R.J. Bothwell, M. and Lowe, R.L. (Eds). Algal Ecology. pp. 57-76. Academic press, New York, USA.
- [66]. Polate, S., Sarihan, E. & Koray, T. (2000) Seasonal changes in the phytoplankton of the Northeastern Mediterranean (Bay of Iskendrun). Turk. J. Bot. 24:1-12.
- [67]. Tumas, R. (2003) Lithuanian Kart region rivers water ecology, hydrochemical and hydrobiological evaluation. Nordic Hydrol. 35(1): 61 - 72.
- [68]. Hassan, F.M. (2004)Limnological features of Diwanyia River, Iraq. Journal of Um-Salama for Science (Baghdad Journal Science), 1: 119-124.
- [69]. Green, J. (1993) Diversity and dominance in planktonic rotifers. Hydrobiologia, 255/256: 345 – 352.
- [70]. Harper, D. (1992) Eutrophication of fresh water: Principles and reiteration. Chapman and Hall, London.
- [71]. Rempel, L.L., Richardson, J. S. & Healey, M.C. (2000) Macroinvertebrate Community structure along gradients of hydraulic and sedimentary conditions in a large grave-bed river. Freshwater Biology, 45: 57-73.
- [72]. Kumar, A. and Sharma, M. P. (2014) Application of water quality index and diversity index for pollution assessment of Kankaria lake at Ahmedabad, India. J. Civil Environ. Eng. 4: 144. doi:10.4172/2165-784X.1000144.