

© 2004 - 2017 Society For Science and Nature (SFSN). All rights reserved

www.scienceandnature.org

CORRELATION AND PATH ANALYSIS STUDIES IN F₂ GENERATION OF INTERSPECIFIC HYBRIDS IN COWPEA (*VIGNA UNGUICULATA* SSP. *UNGUICULATA* AND *VIGNA UNGUICULATA* SSP. *SESQUIPEDALIS*)

Krishnaja R. Nair*, Desai S. S. Burondkar M.M. and Mane A.V.

Department of Agricultural botany, College of Agriculture, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidhyapeeth, Dapoli. *Corresponding author email: krishnaja1993@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Studies on correlation between yield and yield components in 25 F_2 populations of inter subspecies crosses of cowpea and their 14 parents revealed that dry yield per plant, harvest index, number of pods per plant and number of pods per cluster would be more appropriate than other characters. The selection criteria based on number of clusters per plant, pod length and green pod yield for vegetable purpose and number of clusters per plant, pod length, seeds per pod and hundred seed weight for seed yield will give fruitful results for yield improvement in cowpea.

KEYWORDS: cowpea, interspecific hybrids, correlation, path analysis.

INTRODUCTION

Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L). Walp) 2n=22 is one of the most widely adapted; drought-tolerant, versatile, and nutritious grain legumes or pulse crop. It is used as dry seed or green pod as vegetable or as forage crop. It is originated and domesticated in southern Africa and was later moved to East-West Africa and Asia. Cowpea belongs to family Leguminaceae, sub family Fabaceae, a genus having 170 species. In cowpea, four sub species have been identified as Unguiculata, biflora, textilis and sesquipedalis. Out of these subspecies two mostly cultivated in India are unguiculata var. sinensis is the grain type cowpea, with medium length, pendent pods, medium sized, kidney shaped or roundish seeds, while var. sesquipedalis (vegetable type) is known as yard long or asparagus bean which has long pendent pods which are inflated when green and shrivel when ripe, with elongate, kidney shaped seeds. Yield is a complex character influenced by various components towards yield. Correlation and path coefficient analysis are the important biometrical techniques to determine the yield components. The characters that are positively correlated with yield are of considerably important to plant breeder for selection purpose. Although the correlation coefficient indicates the nature of association among the different traits, path analysis splits the correlation coefficients into measure of direct and indirect effects, thus provides understanding of the direct and indirect contribution of each characters towards yield. With this view, a study was made to understand the nature of correlations among yield and yield components in 25 F₂ populations of inter sub species crosses of cowpea namely Vigna unguiculata ssp. unguiculata and Vigna unguiculata ssp. sesquipedalis and 14 parents to understand the possibility of utilisation of these two subspecies in the improvement of vegetable cowpea.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Materials selected for the study consisted F₂ populations of 25 crosses and 14 genotypes namely, Konkan safed, Konkan sadabahar, Pusa dophasali, Pusa phalguni, PCP-9723, ACP-109, PCP-97102, V-585, ACP-1264, PCP-97100 as female parents from Vigna unguiculata ssp. unguiculata and Konkan wali, Arka garima, UBA-1 and DPL-YB-5 as male parents from Vigna unguiculata ssp. sesquipedalis. Study was carried out at Education and Research farm, Department of Agricultural Botany, College of Agriculture, DBSKKV, Dapoli during Rabi 2016-2017. The mean data of F_2 population for each cross was used for analysis. The F₂s along with their parents were sown at a spacing of 45 x 30 cm. In F₂ a total of 30 plants were grown in each cross in three replication and observations were recorded on five randomly selected plants on fourteen quantitative characters namely, plant height, number of primary branches per plant, days to first flowering, days to maturity, number of clusters per plant, number of pods per plant, number of pods per cluster, pod length, number of seeds per pod, green pod yield per plant, dry pod yield per plant, hundred seed weight, harvest index and seed yield per plant. All the recommended cultural practices were followed. The simple correlation coefficients and path analysis between yield and yield components were estimated as per the standard procedure.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

The correlation co-efficient and path analysis for seed yield per plant and its contributing characters for F_2 and base population at phenotypic and genotypic level are presented in Table 1, 2, 3 and 4 respectively. The seed yield per plant expressed a positive significant correlation with number of pods per cluster, number of pods per plant and dry pod yield per plant.

1]	Int	er	sp	ec	ific	h	ıył	ori	ds	s ir	1 (cov	vp	ea						
Harvest index	weight	Hundred seed	plant	Dry pod yield/	plant	Green pod yield/	pods/plant	Number of	clusters/ plant	Number of	cluster	Number of pods/	seeas/poa	Number of	Pod length	Days to maturity	flowering	Days to first	branches/ plant	No. of primary	Plant height	Character
																					1.000	Plant height
																			1.000	1 000	0.1744	Number of primary branches/ plant
																		1.0000	0.1111	0 1111	0.2464^{**}	Days to first flowering
																1.0000		0.3343**	0.2400	**>>>	0.2860**	Days to maturity
															1.0000	0.3268 **		0.1137	0.0010	0 0512	0.6750**	Pod length
														1.0000	0.4567**	0.3451**		0.2411**	-0.0014	0 0611	0.2434**	Number of seed/pod
												1.0000		-0.1839*	-0.0395	0.1614		-0.1924*	-0.0620	2000 0	-0.1181**	Number of pod/cluster
									1.0000	1 0000		0.0858		0.0015	0.1437	-0.0165		-0.1288	-0.1230	0 1 7 2 0	0.0553	Number of clusters/ plant
							1.0000		0.1775	0 7005**		0.6370**		-0.1083	0.0790	0.1142		-0.1973*	-0.0347	7100 0	-0.0413	Number of pods/ plant
					1.0000	1 0000	0.2527**		0.0001	0 3681**		-0.0643		0.3514**	0.5328**	0.3429**		0.1975*	0.1123	201125	0.4936**	Green pod yield/ plant
			1.0000	1 0000	0.2000	0 0877**	0.2930**		0.10/7	0 187/*		0.2040*		-0.0509	0.1021	0.2862**		0.0712	0.2099	**0000	-0.1066	Dry pod yield/ plant
	1.0000	1 0000	0.0202	0 0000	0.2007	0 2650**	0.0027		0.0071	0 0571		-0.0430		0.0679	0.2552**	0.1404		-0.0395	0.2007	*12000	0.2233*	Hundred seed weight
1.0000	-0.1141	_0 11/1	0.0202	0 000	-0.1040		0.3417**		0.2000	**5580 U		0.2213*		-0.1826*	-0.3921**	-0.2044*		0.1467	-0.0094	0 0001	-0.3050*	Harvest index
0.4997**	0.1201	0 1261	0.7210	**YLC5 U	-0.0220	2020 0-2	0.3227**		0.1/07	0 175/		0.3004 **		-0.2161*	-0.2758**	0.0897		0.1209	0.0473	0 0/70	-0.4362**	Seed yield/plant

TABLE 1. Estimates of phenotypic correlation coefficient for fourteen quantitative characters

	Harvest index	weight	Hundred seed	plant	Dry pod yield/	plant	Green pod yield/	pods/plant	Number of	clusters/ plant	Number of	pods/ cluster	Number of	seeds/pod	Number of	Pod length	Days to maturity	flowering	Days to first	branches/ plant	No. of primary	Plant height		Character		
																						1.0000	neight	Plant		
																				1.0000	1 0000	0.1954*	plant	primary	Number of	
*Sign																		1.0000	1 0000	0.1303	0 1200	0.2724**	flowering	first	Dave to	TABLE 2
ificant at 5																	1.0000	0.2929	0 2020**	0.2321	**10700	0.3213**	maturity	Days to		 Estimates
per cent																1.0000	0.3524 **	0.11.00	0 1150	0.0000	0 0650	0.7039**	length	Pod		of genotyp
														1.0000	1 0000	0.5463**	0.4161 **	0.3407	0 2/60**	-0.0027	70200	0.2996^{**}	seeas/pod	Number of		ic correlation
												1.0000	1 0000	0.1702	-0 1962*	-0.0425	0.1942*	-0.2402	**02100	-0.0700	0 0756	-0.1252	pod/ cluster	Number of		a coefficient i
*										1.0000	1 0000	0.1202	0 1787	0.0027	0 0029	0.1545	-0.0277	-0.1271	0 1771	-0.13/4	0 127/	0.0543	clusters/ plant	of	Number	for fourtee
*Significant								1.0000	1 0000	0.0010	0 8378**	0.0007	0 A3A7**	0.1017	-0 1014	0.0832	0.1215	-0.2210	*21000	-0.1021	0 1021	-0.0425	pods/ plant	Number of		n quantitativ
t at 1 per ce						1.0000	1 0000	0.2020	**26960	0.110	0 2778**	0.0001	-0 0581	0.71.00	0 41 58**	0.5415**	0.3671**	0.2212	0 0010*	0.1214	11110	0.5124**	plant	pod vield/	Green	e character
nt				1.0000	1 0000	0.2710	0 2018**	0.2707	**07060	0.1777	0 1070*	0.2177	0 2147*	-0.0011	-0 0574	0.1057	0.3087**	0.0900	0 0022	0.020.0	**5705 0	-0.1062	plant	vield/	Dry nod	S
		1.0000	1 0000	0.0200	2200 0	0.2702	**carc 0	0.0177	0 0100	0.0007	0 0667	0.0100	-0.0185	0.1000	0 1063	0.2640^{**}	0.1794	-0.0200	0 0250	0.2412	0 0/10**	0.2407**	weight	seed	Hundred	
	1.0000	-0.1220	20 1005	0.0100	0.0160	-0.1022	-0 1635	0.0402	**2875 0	0.0002	**CUUE U	0.2011	*1150 0	0.2220	*9000 N-	-0.4066**	-0.2337*	0.1/34	0 1751	-0.1140	0 11/0	-0.3186**	Index	Harvest		
	0.5175**	0.1020	0 1520	0.0.0	0 5278**	-0.0340	70 03/6	0.0021	**2025 0	0.1017	0 1810*	0.000	**8022 0	0.2000	**9896 0-	-0.2851**	0.0968	0.1300	0 1260	0.0474	0 0/7/	-0.4503**	t ,	vield/plan	Seed	

Harvest muex	Homost index	Hundred seed weight	Dry pod yield/ plant	Green pod yıeld/ plant	Number of pods/plant	Number of clusters/ plant	Number of pods/ cluster	Number of seeds/pod	Pod length	Days to maturity	Days to first flowering	No. of primary branches/ plant	Plant height	Character
-0.1117	0.1117	0 0572	-0.0466	-0.0016	0.0006	0.0005	-0.0109	-0.0301	0.0088	0.0284	0.0380	-0.0138	-0.3550	Plant height
07CD10-	0.0270	0 0527	0.1269	-0.0004	0.0013	-0.0011	-0.0076	0.0076	0.0007	0.0245	0.0172	-0.0792	-0.0619	Number of primary branches/ plant
10.000	0.0527	-0 0101	0.0311	-0.0007	0.0028	-0.0012	-0.0177	-0.0298	0.0015	0.0332	0.1544	-0.0088	-0.0875	Days to first flowering
-0.0749	0.0740	0 0360	0.1252	-0.0011	-0.0016	-0.0002	0.0148	-0.0427	0.0043	0.0993	0.0516	-0.0195	-0.1015	Days to maturity
-0.1430	0 1/26	0 0654	0.0447	-0.0018	-0.0011	0.0013	-0.0036	-0.0565	0.0131	0.0325	0.0176	-0.0041	-0.2396	Pod length
-0.0009	0.017	0 0174	-0.0223	-0.0012	0.0015	0.0000	-0.0169	-0.1237	0.0060	0.0343	0.0372	0.0049	-0.0864	Number of seeds/pod
0.0011	0 0011	-0 01 10	0.0893	0.0002	-0.0089	0.0008	0.0920	0.0228	-0.0005	0.0160	-0.0297	0.0065	0.0419	Numbe r of pod/ cluster
0.1000	0.0140	0 0146	0.0820	-0.0012	-0.0112	0.0092	0.0079	-0.0002	0.0019	-0.0016	-0.0199	0.0097	-0.0196	Number of clusters/ plant
0.1232	0.0007	0 0007	0.1282	-0.0008	-0.0140	0.0074	0.0586	0.0134	0.0010	0.0113	-0.0305	0.0075	0.0147	Number of pods/ plant
-0.0000	0.0600	0 0681	0.1249	-0.0033	-0.0035	0.0034	-0.0059	-0.0435	0.0070	0.0340	0.0305	-0.0089	-0.1752	Green pod yield/ plant
0.00/4	0.0074	0 0052	0.4377	-0.0009	-0.0041	0.0017	0.0188	0.0063	0.0013	0.0284	0.0110	-0.0229	0.0378	Dry pod yield/ plant
-0.0410	0 0/10	0 2562	0.0088	-0.0009	0.0000	0.0005	-0.0040	-0.0084	0.0033	0.0139	-0.0061	-0.0163	-0.0793	Hundred seed weight
2000-0	0.395.0	C6CU U-	0.0088	0.0005	-0.0048	0.0026	0.0204	0.0226	-0.0051	-0.0203	0.0226	0.0071	0.1083	Harvest index
0.4997	0.1201	0 1261	0.5276	-0.0325	0.3227	0.1754	0.3004	-0.2161	-0.2758	0.0897	0.1209	0.0479	-0.4362	Seed yield /plant

Ł
Ę.
L.
•
P
a
th
5
E
la
-
Š
10
at
<u> </u>
P
le
Ë
Ö
đ
7
ĭ
0
Ie
2
ē
È
f
H
+
0
u
T,
e
<u>@</u>
q
5
a
lt
It
a
H
5
1
2
31
E

Interspecific hybrids in cowpea

	Harvest index	Hundred seed	Dry pod yield/ plant	Green pod yield/ plant	Number of pods/plant	Number of clusters/ plant	Number of pods/ cluster	Number of seeds/pod	Pod length	Days to maturity	Days to first flowering	No. of primary branches/ plant	Plant height	Character	
	-0.1173	0.0787	-0.0423	0.0158	-0.0262	-0.0279	0.0291	-0.0842	0.1146	0.0299	0.0673	-0.0288	-0.4591	Plant height	
Z	-0.0423	0.0788	0.1299	0.0038	-0.0636	0.0705	0.0176	0.0232	0.0106	0.0235	0.0323	-0.1472	-0.0897	Number of primary branches/ plant	
ote: Bold fig	0.0646	-0.0183	0.0371	0.0068	-0.1367	0.0652	0.0573	-0.0975	0.0187	0.0367	0.2470	-0.0193	-0.1251	Days to first flowering	TABLE
ures indicat	-0.0861	0.0586	0.1228	0.0113	0.0749	0.0142	-0.0452	-0.1169	0.0573	0.0932	0.0973	-0.0372	-0.1475	Days to maturity	E 4. Path and
e direct eff	-0.1497	0.0863	0.0420	0.0167	0.0513	-0.0793	0.0099	-0.1535	0.1627	0.0328	0.0284	-0.0096	-0.3232	Pod length	nalysis at
fects	-0.0820	0.0347	-0.0228	0.0128	-0.0625	-0.0015	0.0457	-0.2810	0.0889	0.0388	0.0857	0.0122	-0.1376	Number of seeds/pod	genotypic le
	0.0851	-0.0060	0.0854	-0.0018	0.3927	-0.0658	-0.2329	0.0551	-0.0069	0.0181	-0.0608	0.01111	0.0575	Numbe r of pod/ cluster	vel for fo
	0.1106	0.0218	0.0788	0.0117	0.5166	-0.5134	-0.0299	-0.0008	0.0251	-0.0026	-0.0314	0.0202	-0.0249	Number of clusters/ plant	urteen quai
Residual (0.1282	0.0065	0.1181	0.0081	0.6167	-0.4301	-0.1483	0.0285	0.0135	0.0113	-0.0547	0.0152	0.0195	Number of pods/ plant	ntitative cha
effect= 0.49	-0.0602	0.0903	0.1161	0.0309	0.1618	-0.1939	0.0135	-0.1168	0.0881	0.0342	0.0546	-0.0179	-0.2353	Green pod yield/ plant	aracters
03	0.0059	0.0077	0.3979	0.0090	0.1831	-0.1016	-0.0500	0.0161	0.0172	0.0288	0.0231	-0.0480	0.0488	Dry pod yield/ plant	
	-0.0451	0.3269	0.0094	0.0085	0.0123	-0.0343	0.0043	-0.0299	0.0430	0.0167	-0.0138	-0.0355	-0.1105	Hundred seed weight	
	0.3683	-0.0401	0.0064	-0.0051	0.2147	-0.1541	-0.0538	0.0626	-0.0662	-0.0218	0.0433	0.0169	0.1463	Harvest index	
	0.5175	0.1520	0.5378	-0.0346	0.3327	0.1819	0.3308	-0.2686	-0.2851	0.0968	0.1368	0.0474	-0.4503	Seed yield /plant	

These results were in agreement with Malarvizhi et al. (2002) and Oseni et al. (1992) in case of pods per plant. Number of pods per cluster showed positive significant correlation with seed yield per plant, number of pods per plant and dry pod yield per plant. As the number of pods in a cluster increases, automatically number of pods in a plant increases thus yield also. The same observations were recorded by Manggeol et al. (2012) and Sharma et al. (2015). Green pod yield per plant showed positive correlation with dry pod yield per plant, pod length and hundred seed weight. The above findings are in agreement with Vidya and Oommen (2002) and Sapara and Javia (2014). Surprisingly, Plant height, pod length and number of seeds per pod had significant negative correlation with seed yield per plant. The seed yield is complex character and each character had its own effect for establishing correlation with yield. The path coefficient analysis revealed that the characters number of pods per cluster, pods per plant, dry pod yield per plant and harvest index exhibited high positive direct effect on seed yield per plant. Among the character dry pod yield per plant exhibited highest positive direct effect on seed yield per plant at both phenotypic and genotypic level. Green pod yield per plant had negative direct effect on seed yield per plant at genotypic and phenotypic level. Sapara and Javia (2014) and patel et al. (2016) observed similar result at genotypic level.

CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATION

It is to be stated that, on the basis of correlation and path analysis studied, seed yield per plant could be improved through simultaneous selection of number of pods per cluster, number of pods per plant, dry pod yield per plant and harvest index. It is desirable to give more weightage to these characters in selection programme for both seed yield and green pod yield per plant.

REFERENCES

Malarvizhi, D., Rangasamy, P., (2002). Character association and component analysis in F_2 generation of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp). Legume Research. 26(4): 264-267.

Manggoel, W., Uguru, M.I. Ndam O.N. and Dasbak, M. A. (2012) Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis of some yield components of ten cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp] assessions. Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science, 4 (5): 80-86.

Patel, U.V, Parmar, V.K., Patel, P.B. and Malviya, A.V. (2016) Correlation and path analysis study in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.). International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology, Vol. 5: 3897 – 3904.

Sapara and Javia (2014) Correlation and path analysis in vegetable cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*. L). International journal of plant sciences. 9: 138-141.

Sharma, D.N. Mehta and Singh, J. (2015) Early generation selection for green pod yield and its components in vegetable cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.)Walp.]. The Asian journal of horticulture. 10: 1-10.

Vidya C., Oommen, K.S. and Kumar, V. (2002) Genetic variability and Heritability of Yield and related characters in Yard-long bean. Journal of Tropical Agriculture. 40: 11-13.