

GLOBAL JOURNAL OF BIO-SCIENCE AND BIOTECHNOLOGY

© 2004 - 2017 Society For Science and Nature (SFSN). All rights reserved

www.scienceandnature.org

EVALUATION OF CHLOROPHYLL CONTENT INDEX AND NORMALIZED DIFFERENCE VEGETATION INDEX AS INDICATORS FOR COMBINE EFFECTS OF DROUGHT AND HIGH TEMPERATURE IN BREAD WHEAT GENOTYPES

Kirpa Ram, Renu Munjal*, Sunita, Pooja & Naveen Kumar* Department of Botany and Plant Physiology Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar-125001 *Corresponding author Email: dr.kirparamjangra@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) a staple food crop, is of great commercial importance. Its production is restricted due to multiple environmental stresses. The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of high temperature, drought and their combination effect on biomass and yield in addition to the stress adaptive traits like canopy temperature (CT), chlorophyll content (SPAD) and normalized difference vegetative index (NDVI). This study was conducted during winter season of mid-November to April, 2015-16 and 2016-17 with eight selected wheat genotypes *viz*. AKAW-3717, C-306, DHTW-60, HD-2967, HTW-11, Kundan, WH-730 and WH-1105. In control (irrigated) and drought-stressed experiments, genotypes were sown at optimum planting date, while for heat-stressed experiment sowing date was delayed. Experiments were conducted in randomized complete block design with five replications. Chlorophyll provide an assessment of leaf nitrogen, an essential plant nutrient, due to the close relationship between leaf chlorophyll content and normalized difference vegetation index in sensitive genotypes (WH-1105 and HD-2967) whereas in tolerant genotypes (DHTW-60 and C-306) higher SPAD and NDVI under drought and heat stress conditions was observed. Genotypes found tolerant against stress has cooler canopy by maintaining the canopy temperature. The findings form this study showed that wheat genotypes higher in SPAD and NDVI also had higher yield, DHTW-60 and C-306 could be used as genetic stock to develop wheat tolerant varieties in breeding programs.

KEYWORDS: Wheat, drought, high temperature, chlorophyll and NDVI.

INTRODUCTION

High-throughput remote sensing phenotyping tools are a rapid and non-destructive methods to plant screening in both field and controlled conditions for high temperature and drought (White et al. 2012; Araus and Cairns, 2014) with important consequences for crop improvement. Three recent advanced and non-distractive commonly used traits for high-throughput screening are the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI), chlorophyll content index (SPAD) and canopy temperature (CT) (Hao et al. 2015). NDVI is calculated using wavelengths within the NIR (near infrared) and VIS (visible) regions of the electromagnetic spectrum. NDVI relates to leaf chlorophyll content, leaf nitrogen and ultimately the photosynthetic capacity of the plant (Tattaris et al. 2016). CT, which is measured from emitted infra- red radiation, can be used as a tool to indirectly evaluate the transpiration rate, water status and stomatal conductance of a plant (Peñuelas et al. 1992) while NDVI can estimate relative crop biomass at different growth stages (Babar et al. 2006) as well as N deficiency and crop senescence rate (Olivares-villegas et al. 2007).

The heat and drought tolerance of wheat can be determined through evaluation of yield performance and

physiological traits under individual and combine stress conditions (Reynolds et al. 2001; Zhao et al. 2007). Chlorophyll content, normalized difference vegetation index (Reynolds et al. 1994; Fischer et al. 1998) and canopy temperature (Reynolds et al. 1994; Amani et al. 1996) has also been used as a measure of stress tolerance (Mason et al. 2011). Chlorophyll content, normalized difference vegetation index and stay-green trait has also been reported to be associated with heat and drought tolerance in wheat (; Feng et al. 2014; Cao et al. 2015). The visual observation of stay-green trait has been associated with maintenance of leaf chlorophyll and photosynthetic capacity (Fokar et al. 1998). The relationship between NDVI, SPAD and CT under stress conditions and grain yield is well established (Singh et al. 2003; Quiring et al. 2010). High chlorophyll content, cooler canopy temperature (CT) and maximum normalized difference vegetation index is associated with both drought and heat tolerance (Ramya et al. 2015: Munjal and Dhanda, 2016). The aim of present study was to screen the wheat genotypes under independent and combined effects of drought and high temperature on greenness of plant and grain yield under timely, late and very late sown condition.

MATERIALS & METHODS Baising of grop

Raising of crop

Two-year experiment was conducted during winter season of mid-November to April, 2015-16 and 2016-17 with eight selected wheat genotype. In control (irrigated) and drought-stressed experiments (with pre-sowing irrigation only), genotypes were sown at optimum planting date, while for heat-stressed experiment sowing date was delayed. Timely sowing was done on 17 November, 2015 & 13 November, 2016; late sowing on 14 December, 2015 & 16 December, 2016 and very late sowing 13 January, 2016 & 11 January, 2017. The experiment was conducted in the field and laboratory of Wheat and Barley section, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, CCS HAU, Hisar.

Treatments detail: Drought was created by withholding the irrigation at different stages (40 days after sowing (DAS), 80 DAS, 40+80 DAS (Both at 40 and 80 days after sowing) and for complete drought no irrigation was given throughout the crop season).

Sampling: Five plants of each genotype were randomly tagged for each treatment. Data for NDVI, CCI and CT traits were recorded at anthesis and 21 days after anthesis. Grain yield data were recorded at maturity.

Normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI): NDVI was recorded using optical handheld Instrument Green SeekerTM sensor (Trimble industries, Inc.).

Chlorophyll content index (SPAD): Mean chlorophyll of five tagged plants flag leaves were determined by a SPAD-502 chlorophyll meter (Konica Minolta Sensing, Osaka, Japan).

Canopy temperature (CT): CT was measured during 12.00 and 14.00 h with hand-held infrared thermometer Sixth Sense LT300 IRT and five readings for each plot were averaged to get true representative values.

Yield: Grain yield was recorded after harvesting and thrashing the plot. The thrashed grains were cleaned and yield was recorded in gram.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI): NDVI indicate the nitrogen availability, ultimately the photosynthetic capacity of plant. All tolerant and susceptible genotypes showed higher NDVI in timely sown irrigated environments as compare to late and very late drought condition (Fig. 1). Almost of all genotype shows reduction in normalized difference vegetation index at onset of stress whereas, genotype DHTW- 60 and C-306 shows maximum normalized difference vegetation index, followed by HTW-11. Mean normalized difference vegetation index value was 0.84 in timely sown, 0.72 in late sown and 0.69 in very late sown in irrigated condition at anthesis. Combine effects of drought and heat showed mean normalized difference vegetation index value at 21 days after anthesis was 0.49 in irrigated environment (timely sown), 0.39 (late sown) and 0.24 in (very late sown). Our results are documented with Cao et al. 2015 and Ramya et al. 2016 they found similar result and shows a significant variation between the cultivars for NDVI. NDVI is usually associated with the plant biomass production and yield performance (Govaerts et al. 2007).

Chlorophyll content index (SPAD)

Maintaining high leaf chlorophyll content is considered a desirable trait as it indicates a low degree of photo inhibition of the photosynthetic apparatus at high temperatures (Ristic et al. 2007; Talebi 2011). Increasing the time and level of stress show reduction in chlorophyll content whereas, genotype DHTW-60, C-306 and HTW-11 was maintaining chlorophyll content at late and very late sown irrigated as well as drought environment. At anthesis (Table 1a) mean chlorophyll content index value was 38.9 in irrigated environment (timely sown), 25.7 (late sown) and 24.0 in (very late sown), combine effects of drought and heat showed mean chlorophyll content index value 24.6 in irrigated environment (timely sown), 17.5 (late sown) and 16.4 plants whereas at 21 days after anthesis (Table 1b) mean chlorophyll content index value was 27.6 in irrigated environment (timely sown), 23.9 (late sown) and 20.4 in (very late sown), combine effects of drought and heat showed mean chlorophyll content index value 20.4 in irrigated environment (timely sown), 17.4 (late sown) and 10.3 (very late sown). Under drought and heat stress condition, chlorophyll content index declined significantly in the present study that was augmented by Fokar et al. 1998 and Cao et al. 2015.

Canopy temperature (CT)

A cooler CT has been reported to be an important trait associated with grain yield under hot and dry conditions (Kumari et al. 2013; Reynolds et al. 1994). Canopy temperature at 21 days after anthesis was higher than at anthesis. DHTW-60, C-306 and HTW-11 maintained cooler canopy in all three treatment environments (Irrigated, D40, D80, D40+80 and Drought). Drought stress at anthesis (Table 2 a,b,c) showed mean canopy temperature (°C) 16.0 in irrigated environment (timely sown), 20.0 (late sown) and 26.2 MPa in (very late sown environment), combine effects of drought and heat showed mean canopy temperature (⁰C) 20.2 in irrigated environment (timely sown), 23.9 (late sown) and 31.0 in whereas at 21 days after anthesis (Table 2 a,b,c) mean canopy temperature (⁰C) 24.6 in irrigated environment (timely sown), 27.3 (late sown) and 28.8 in (very late sown). Combine effects of drought and heat showed mean canopy temperature (⁰C) 29.5 in irrigated environment (timely sown), 33.3 (late sown) and 35.0 in very late sown. Similar results have been reported by Fotovat et al. (2007) and Hirayama et al. (2006). As reported by Mondal et al. (2013) CT is a result of complex interaction between environment and several traits, it may not show associations under all environmental conditions.

Yield per plot (g)

Combine effect of drought and high temperature showed greater reduction in yield per plot in both susceptible and tolerant genotype whereas, overall average mean grain yield per plot was higher in DHTW-60 and C-306 followed by HTW-11 (Table 3). Interaction of genotype and treatments resulted in significant decrease of grain yield per plot, however maximum decline was observed at drought 40+80 days after sowing and complete drought in late and very late sown condition.

WH-730	HTW-11	HD-2967	DHTW-60	C-306	AKAW 3717		21 DAA		21 DAA		C	CD at 3%		Mean (T)	WH-1105	WH-730	KUNDAN	HTW-11	HD-2967	DHTW-60	C-306	AKAW 3717		MILLIESIS	Anthonic	
20.7	29.7	26.6	34.3	31.8	26.5	Control			ontrol- Irrig	Genotype	Treatmen	38.9	37.1	29.2	34.2	42.1	35.6	51.7	45.8	35.2	Control					
17.3	27.8	26.0	32.7	30.6	23.8	D80			gated, D4 TAI	e (G)= 1.9	tt(T) = 1	36.1	28.6	27.8	32.1	41.4	35.1	47.0	43.5	33.4	D80					
16.3	26.5	24.2	29.6	26.8	22.0	D40		Timely Sown	0- Drou BLE 1	91	.51	33.5	26.0	25.6	26.5	37.4	33.4	45.3	40.9	33.0	D40		. 1	TAF		
20.3 16.6	23.9	20.7	28.1	25.5	20.4	D40+80	Treatments		ıght at 40 da (b): Chlore		TxG=0.1			30.6	24.6	23.1	24.1	35.5	28.4	42.4	38.2	28.9	D40+80	Treatments	Fimely Sowr	BLE 1 (a):
15.5	22.1	18.7	27.6	23.3	18.6	Drought			ys after sowi phyll conte	TxG=0.14		24.6	20.4	15.1	17.2	26.5	26.9	34.4	32.4	23.6	Drought		-	Chlorophyl		
17.3	26.0	23.2	30.5	27.6	22.3	Mean (G)			ng (DAS), D nts (SPAD)	+	-	32.7	27.3	24.1	26.8	36.6	31.9	44.2	40.2	30.8	Mean (G)			l contents ()		
15.8	25.9	22.5	32.7	27.9	24.5	Control			80- Drought in wheat g	Genotyp	Treatme	25.2	24.1	21.0	21.3	26.7	24.0	32.2	30.3	22.3	Control			SPAD) in w		
13.0	22.8	19.2	28.9	25.4	23.3	D80			at 80 D enotype	e (G)= (nt $(T) =$	23.3	23.8	18.4	20.3	22.6	23.7	30.7	28.4	18.7	D80			/heat ge		
14.2	24.9	21.1	29.8	26.0	16.9	D40			AS, D4 (es at 21).92	0.73	22.2	21.7	18.0	19.6	22.2	21.3	29.0	25.0	20.9	D40			notype		
12.7	21.7	19.9	27.4	21.8	12.6	D40+80	Treatments	Late Sown)+80- Droug days after :			18.9	17.5	15.2	16.5	20.2	15.9	28.0	22.7	15.4	D40+80	Treatments	Late Sown	s at anthesi		
11.5	20.7	17.9	25.8	21.2	11.8	Drought			ht at 40+80 I anthesis und	1 XU= 2.0		17.5	16.3	11.8	14.2	19.8	13.3	26.9	23.1	14.5	Drought			s under tim		
13.6	23.2	20.1	28.9	24.5	17.8	Mean (G)			DAS, Droug der timely, l		07		19.6 22.3 18.4 16.9 20.7		19.6	29.3	25.9	18.4	Mean (G)			ely, late and				
10.4 12.4	21.8	20.2	27.3	26.7	20.4	Control			ht- Complete late and ver	Genotyp	Treatme	24.2	22.9	17.0	22.5	26.0	23.6	29.1	28.4	23.8	Control			l very late s		
10.1	18.5	17.5	25.2	20.4	19.0	D80			e drough y late sc)e (G)= (nt(T) =	22.4	21.6	15.9	20.9	24.0	21.5	27.6	26.4	21.3	D80			own co		
8.2	17.8	14.2	22.1	18.8	17.6	D40	. 1	Ve	t, T- Tre: own con).81	0.64	20.6	22.0	11.6	16.1	21.9	20.2	27.0	25.5	20.4	D40		_	nditions		
5.1	1 8.2 6	8.7	19.1	14.9	10.3	D40+80	reatments	ry Late Sowr	atments and ditions			18.4	20.6	10.2	12.0	18.3	19.3	25.6	24.1	17.3	D40+80	Treatment	ery Late Sov			
4.3	8.7	9.4	18.9	14.0	9.5	Drought			G- Genotype	1XU= 1.0	1	16.4	16.2	9.7	11.4	15.0	16.9	25.0	23.1	14.3	Drought		wn			
8.0	15.0	14.0	22.5	19.0	15.4	Mean (G)					ň		20.7	12.9	16.6	21.0	20.3	26.9	25.5	19.4	Mean (G)					

WH-1105 Mean (T)

CD at 5%

 28.7
 26.4
 22.8
 19.1
 18.1
 23.0
 23.5
 21.7
 20.8
 16.0
 14.9
 19.4
 16.2
 12.2
 9.1
 9.1
 8.7

 27.6
 25.6
 23.3
 21.9
 20.4
 23.8
 24.7
 22.4
 21.8
 18.7
 17.4
 20.4
 17.2
 14.8
 10.3

 Treatment (T) = 0.81
 TxG=2.29
 Treatment (T) = 0.17
 TxG=0.50
 Treatment (T) = 0.11
 TxG=0.38

 6
 Genotype (G)= 1.02
 Genotype (G)= 0.22
 TxG=0.50
 Genotype (G)= 0.15
 TxG=0.38

 Control- Irrigated, D40- Drought at 40 days after sowing (DAS), D80- Drought at 80 DAS, D40+80- Drought at 40+80 DAS, Drought- Complete drought, T- Treatments and G- Genotype

11.1

530

				Anthesis			21 Days after anthesis										
			Т	reatments			Treatments										
	Control	trol D80 D40 D40+80 Drought Mean (G)				Control	D80	D40	D40+80	Drought	Mean (G)						
AKAW 3717	16.5	18.7	17.1	18.6	22.3	18.6	26.0	28.2	27.1	29.2	32.1	28.5					
C-306	15.6	17.2	16.7	18.0	19.2	17.3	23.7	25.9	24.9	26.7	28.1	25.9					
DHTW-60	15.2	17.2	16.6	17.9	18.8	17.1	22.7	25.5	24.6	26.4	27.3	25.3					
HD-2967	16.2	18.0	17.0	18.2	20.8	18.0	25.3	27.5	26.3	28.5	30.1	27.5					
HTW-11	15.8	17.3	16.8	18.0	19.5	17.5	24.3	26.2	25.3	27.6	28.6	26.4					
KUNDAN	16.3	18.5	17.0	18.4	21.2	18.3	25.6	28.0	26.5	28.8	30.9	28.0					
WH-730	16.0	17.6	16.8	18.1	19.8	17.7	24.5	26.5	25.7	27.8	29.0	26.7					
WH-1105	16.1	17.8	16.9	18.2	20.4	17.9	24.9	27.3	26.1	28.2	29.6	27.2					
Mean (T)	16.0	17.8	16.8	18.2	20.2		24.6	26.9	25.8	27.9	29.5						
CD at 5%	Treatm Genoty	ent $(T) =$ ype $(G)=0$	0.004 0.005		T×G= 0.01	2	Treatn Genot	nent (T) = type (G)=	0.12 0.15		T×G=0.17						
~																	

TABLE 2(a): Canopy temperature in wheat genotypes under timely sown conditions

Control- Irrigated, D40- Drought at 40 days after sowing (DAS), D80- Drought at 80 DAS, D40+80- Drought at 40+80 DAS, Drought-Complete drought, T- Treatments and G- Genotype

TABLE 2(b): Canopy temperature in wheat genotypes under late sown conditions

				Anthesis			21 Days after anthesis										
			Т	reatments		Treatments											
	Control	D80	D40	D40+80	Drought	Mean (G)	Control	D80	D40	D40+80	Drought	Mean (G)					
AKAW 3717	21.6	24.0	22.8	24.8	26.7	24.0	29.6	33.9	31.6	35.7	32.8	32.7					
C-306	18.9	20.5	19.6	21.1	22.7	20.6	26.0	29.1	27.4	30.0	31.5	28.8					
DHTW-60	18.6	19.9	19.1	20.7	21.4	19.9	25.1	28.8	27.1	29.9	31.7	28.5					
HD-2967	20.7	23.1	21.7	23.7	24.5	22.7	28.0	32.4	30.1	33.4	33.0	31.4					
HTW-11	19.4	21.0	20.2	22.6	22.6 23.2		26.6	29.7	28.4	30.2	33.1	29.6					
KUNDAN	21.0	23.8	22.0	24.2	25.3	23.3	28.7	33.0	30.6	34.6	34.2	32.2					
WH-730	19.7	21.5	20.8	22.9	23.6	21.7	27.1	30.4	28.6	31.5	34.9	30.5					
WH-1105	20.2	22.7	21.4	23.4	24.1	22.4	27.3	31.7	29.2	32.3	35.3	31.2					
Mean (T)	20.0	22.1	21.0	22.9	23.9		27.3	31.1	29.1	32.2	33.3						
CD at 5%	Treatment Genotype	(T)=0.00 (G)=0.01)8 .0		T×G= 0.023			(T) = 0.0 (G) = 0.00	06)8		T×G=0.017						

Control- Irrigated, D40- Drought at 40 days after sowing (DAS), D80- Drought at 80 DAS, D40+80- Drought at 40+80 DAS, Drought-Complete drought, T- Treatments and G- Genotype

				Anthesis			21 Days after anthesis									
			,	Freatments			Treatments									
	Control D80 D40 D40+80 Drought M						Control	D80	D40	D40+80	Drought	Mean (G)				
AKAW 3717	27.1	29.5	28.3	30.4	30.8	29.2	29.9	31.8	30.7	33.2	34.5	32.0				
C-306	25.3	28.5	27.3	29.6	30.7	28.3	28.1	31.1	30.1	32.4	33.5	31.0				
DHTW-60	23.8	28.4	27.2	29.6	30.6	27.9	26.2	31.1	30.0	32.2	34.0	30.7				
HD-2967	26.8	29.3	27.8	30.1	31.0	29.0	29.4	31.5	30.6	33.0	35.0	31.9				
HTW-11	26.3	28.7	27.5	29.8	30.9	28.6	28.8	31.3	30.2	32.5	34.6	31.5				
KUNDAN	27.0	29.4	28.1	30.2	31.3	29.2	29.7	31.7	30.7	33.1	35.8	32.2				
WH-730	26.5	28.9	27.6	29.9	31.3	28.8	29.1	31.3	30.3	32.5	35.8	31.8				
WH-1105	26.7	29.2	27.7	30.0	31.7	29.0	29.2	31.4	30.4	32.6	36.8	32.1				
Mean (T)	26.2	29.0	27.7	30.0	31.0		28.8	31.4	30.4	32.7	35.0					
CD at 5%	Treatmer	(T) = 0	.009		$T_{\rm V}G = 0.02$	1	Treatmen	$\operatorname{tt}\left(T\right) =0$).006	T×G=0.16						
	Genotype	e(G) = 0.	011		1×0= 0.02	.1	Genotype	e(G) = 0	.008							

TABLE 2(c): Canopy temperature in wheat genotypes under very late sown conditions

Control- Irrigated, D40- Drought at 40 days after sowing (DAS), D80- Drought at 80 DAS, D40+80- Drought at 40+80 DAS, Drought-Complete drought, T- Treatments and G- Genotype

A greater decline in grain yield per plot was observed in AKAW-3717 followed by HD-2967, due to interactive effects of combine stresses than DHTW-60 in relation to control in all environment.

Our results are in agreement with those of Hao *et al.* 2015; Ramya *et al.* 2015; Munjal and Dhanda, 2016 and Tattaris *et al.* 2016 who found normalized difference vegetation index, canopy temperature and chlorophyll content are strongly associated with biomass and yield under normal as well as stress condition. Stress tolerant (drought and heat) varieties were able to maintain higher NDVI. Various studies have shown that the ability of plants to maintain leaf chlorophyll content under high temperatures and drought stress is associated with grain yield and yield components (Ali *et al.* 2010; Yang *et al.* 2002).

The combined effect of high temperature and drought is more destructive than individual stress alone. DHTW-60, C-306 and HTW-11 genotypes could be used as genetic stock to developed wheat tolerant varieties in breeding programme and NDVI can be used as selection criteria for high yielding wheat genotype under combine stress environments.

			CD at 5%	Mean (T)	WH-1105	WH-730	KUNDAN	HTW-11	HD-2967	DHTW-60	C-306	AKAW 3717		Ochotype	Constring/	
		. 01- 111.8at	Genotype	970.0	924.0	926.0	880.7	945.3	912.3	1237.3	1067.7	867.0	Control			
NDVI		eu, D4 0-	e(G) = 12	675.5	676.7	679.3	647.0	688.0	666.3	706.0	696.3	644.7	D40			
0.80 0.60 0.40	1.00 Cc	ngnord).00	774.5	766.7	785.0	736.7	793.7	747.7	830.0	816.3	720.0	D80	Т	Tii	
-	ntrol .	at 40 days	of 10 Jam	588.8	573.7	586.0	563.7	601.7	565.0	638.7	628.7	552.7	D40+80	reatments	nely Sown	TABL
	D40 ≡I	hesis (T	TxG= 28.2	338.3	370.3	361.3	220.7	367.3	273.3	448.0	404.0	261.3	Drought			E 3: Grai
	080 - D4	imely So			662.3	667.5	609.7	679.2	632.9	772.0	722.6	609.1	Mean (G)			n yield per
	0+80	wn)	I reatme Genotyp	639.8	601.7	632.0	577.7	652.0	586.3	796.0	704.0	569.0	Control			plot (g) i
	Drought		$rac{1}{2} = 6$ $rac{1}{2} = 6$ $rac{1}{2} = 6$	459.5	450.3	463.0	437.3	477.7	443.7	492.0	486.0	426.0	D40			in wheat
1 1		UA3, D	73 73	526.3	522.3	530.3	498.7	536.3	515.0	559.0	553.3	495.3	D80			genoty
NDVI 0.60	0.80	+0+00- D10		397.5	398.3	407.0	372.7	411.3	389.3	425.3	417.0	359.3	D40+80	Freatments	Late Sown	pes under t
<u> </u>	Contr	ugut at 40+	TxG= 19.52	273.8	272.7	276.7	196.7	325.0	247.3	353.7	333.3	185.0	Drought			imely, late
	ol D40	21 DAA			449.1	461.8	416.6	480.5	436.3	525.2	498.7	406.9	Mean (G)			and very
	■ D80	(Timely	I reatme	421.8	358.7	453.0	333.7	494.3	347.7	534.0	524.7	328.7	Control			late sown
-	D40+8	Sown	nt (1) = 3. e (G)= 4.9	217.8	210.0	222.3	198.0	231.3	203.7	249.7	247.0	180.3	D40			conditio
	0 Dr)	289	295.6	292.3	301.7	273.3	317.0	278.7	324.3	318.0	259.7	D80	Г	Ver	ons
	ought	- Heamlei		84.7	80.3	91.3	59.3	99.0	71.7	118.0	110.3	47.3	D40+80	reatments	y Late Sown	
			TxG = 10.9	65.8	62.0	65.0	45.7	80.0	54.7	95.3	88.7	34.7	Drought			
		Genotype	0		200.7	226.7	182.0	244.3	191.3	264.3	257.7	170.1	Mean (G)			

At AW 3715

Cide DHTWE

10,2467

HTW-11

TUND.W

411,30

WIH. 105

AC44.3513

C.306 DHTWE

10,3967

HTW.II

\$UNDAY

WH, 30

WIH. 1105

Genotype

0.00 0.20

Genotype

0.00 0.20



Control- Irrigated, D40- Drought at 40 days after sowing (DAS), D80- Drought at 80 DAS, D40+80- Drought at 40+80 DAS and Drought- Complete drought

FIGURE 1: Normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) in wheat genotypes at anthesis and 21 days after anthesis under timely, late and very late sown conditions

REFERENCES

Ali, M. B., Ibrahim, A. M. H., Hays, D. B., Ristic, Z. and Fu, J. M. (2010) Wild tetraploid wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L) response to heat stress. Crop Imp. 24, 228–243.

Amani, I., Fischer, A. and Reynolds, M. P. (1996) Canopy temperature depression association with yield of irrigated wheat cultivars in a hot climate. Agro. Crop Sci. 176, 119–129.

Araus, J. L. and Cairns, J. E. (2014) Field high-throughput phenotyping: the new crop breeding frontier. Trends Plant Sci. 19, 52–61.

Babar, M. A., Reynolds, M. P., VanGinkel, M., Klatt, A. R., Raun, W. R. and Stone, M. L. (2006) Spectral reflectance to estimate genetic variation for in- season biomass, leaf chlorophyll and canopy temperature in wheat. Crop Sci. 46, 1046–1057.

Cao, Z., Mondal, S., Cheng, D., Wang, C., Lui, A., Song, J., Li, H., Zhao, Z. and Lui, J. (2015) Evaluation of agronomic and physiological traits associated with high

temperature stress tolerance in the winter wheat cultivars. Acta Plant Physiol. 37, 80-90.

Feng, B., Liu, P., Li, G., Dong, S. T., Wang, F. H., Kong, L. A. and Zhang, J. W. (2014) Effect of heat stress on the photosynthetic characteristics in flag leaves at the grain-filling stage of different heat-resistant winter wheat varieties. Agro Crop Sci. 200, 143–155.

Fischer, R. A., Rees, D. and Sayre, K. D. (1998) Wheat yield progress associated with higher stomatal conductance and photosynthetic rate, and cooler canopies. Crop Sci. 38, 467–1475.

Fokar, M., Blum, A. and Nguyen, H. T. (1998) Heat tolerance in spring wheat. II. Grain filling. Euphytica. 104, 9–15

Fotovat, R., Valizadeh, M. and Toorchi, M. (2007) Association between water-use efficiency components and total chlorophyll content (SPAD) in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under well-watered and drought stress conditions. Food Agri. Environ. 5, 225-227.

Govaerts, B., Verhulst, N., Sayre, K. D., Corte, P., Goudeseune, B., Lichter, K., Crossa, J., Deckers, J. and Dendooven, L. (2007) Evaluating spatial within plot crop variability for different management practices with an optical sensor. Plant Soil. 299, 29–42.

Hao, C., Zhang, J. and Yao, F. (2015) Combination of multi-sensor remote sensing data for drought monitoring over Southwest China. Int. Applied Earth Obs. Geoinfo. 35, 270–283.

Hirayama, M., Wada, Y. and Nemoto, H. (2006) Estimation of drought tolerance based on leaf temperature in upland rice breeding. Breed Sciences. 56, 47-54.

Kumari, M., Pudake, R. N., Singh, V. P. (2013) Association of staygreen trait with canopy temperature depression and yield traits under terminal heat stress in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Euphytica. 190, 87–97.

Mason, R. E., Mondal, S., Beecher, F. W. and Hays, D. B. (2011) Genetic loci linking improved heat tolerance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) to lower leaf and spike temperatures under controlled conditions. Euphytica. 180, 181–194.

Mondal, S., Singh, R. P. and Crossa, J. (2013) Earliness in wheat: a key to adaptation under terminal and continual high temperature stress in South Asia. Field Crops Rese. 151, 19–26.

Munjal, R. and Dhanda, S. (2016) Assessment of Drought Resistance in Indian Wheat Cultivars for Morpho-Physiological Traits. Ekin J. Crop Breed. Gene. 2(1), 74-81.

Olivares-villegas, J. J., Reynolds, M. P. and McDonald, G. K. (2007) Drought- adaptive attributes in the Seri/Babax hexaploid wheat population. Functional Plant Biology. 34, 189–203.

Peñuelas, J., Savé, R., Marfa, O. & Serrano, L. (1992) Remotely measured canopy temperature of greenhouse straw berriesas indicator of water status and yield under mild and very mild water stress conditions. Agri. Front. Mete. 58, 63–77.

Quiring, S. M. and Papakryiakou, T.N. (2003) An evaluation of agricultural drought indices for the Canadian prairies. Agri. Front. Mete. 118: 49–62.

Ramya, P., Jain, N., Singh, G. P., Singh, P. K. and Prabhu, K. V. (2015) Population structure, molecular and physiological characterisation of elite wheat varieties used as parents in drought and heat stress breeding in India. Indi. Genet. Plant Breed. 75, 250–252.

Reynolds, M. P., Balota, M., Delgado, M. I. B., Amani, I. and Fischer, R.A. (1994). Physiological and morpho logical traits associated with spring wheat yield under hot, irrigated conditions. Austr.J. Plant Physiol. 21, 717–730.

Reynolds, M. P., Ortiz-Monasterio, J. I. and McNab, A. (2001) Application of physiology in wheat breeding. CIMMYT, Mexico, pp 124–135.

Ristic, Z., Bukovnik, U. and VaraPrasad, P.V (2007) Correlation between heat stability of thylakoid membranes and loss of chlorophyll in winter wheat under heat stress. Crop Science. 47, 2067–2073.

Singh, R. P., Roy, S. and Kogan, F. (2003) Vegetation and temperature condition indices from NOAA AVHRR data for drought monitoring over India. Int. J. Remote Sens. 24: 4393–4402.

Talebi, R. (2011) Evaluation of chlorophyll content and canopy temperature as indicators for drought tolerance in durum wheat (Triticum durum desf.). Austr. Basic applied sci. 5,1457–1462.

Tattaris, M., Reynolds, M. P. and Chapman, S. C. (2016) A Direct Comparison of Remote Sensing Approaches for High-Throughput Phenotyping in Plant Breeding. Front. plant sci. 7, 1131-1139.

White, J. W., Andrade-Sanchez, P., Gore, M. A., Bronson, K.F., Coffelt, T.A., Conley, M.M. (2012) Field-based phenomics for plant genetics research. Field Crops Research, 133, 101–112.

Yang, J., Sears, R. G., Gill, B. S. and Paulsen, G. M. (2002) Genotypic differences in utilization of assimilate sources during maturation of wheat under chronic heat and heat shock stresses. Euphytica. 125, 179–188.

Zhao, H., Dai, T. B., Jing, Q., Jiang, D. and Cao, W. X. (2007) Leaf senescence and grain filling affected by postanthesis high temperatures in two different wheat cultivars. Plant Growth Reg. 51, 149–158.