

# GLOBAL JOURNAL OF BIO-SCIENCE AND BIOTECHNOLOGY

© 2004 - 2018 Society For Science and Nature (SFSN). All rights reserved

www.scienceandnature.org

# PATH COEFFICIENT ANALYSIS FOR YIELD AND YIELD COMPONENTS IN BLACK GRAM (*VIgna mungo* (L.) Hepper)

M.P. Arya Gopinath, S.S. Desai, M.G. Palshetkar, Hawaldhar Ayyajahamad Harun, A.V. Mane Department of Agril. Botany, College of Agriculture, Dr. B.S.K.K.V Dapoli, Dapoli taluk, Ratnagiri District, Maharashtra State, Pin: 415712. Corresponding author email: aryagopinath111@gmail.com

## ABSTRACT

An experiment was carried out during the *rabi* season of 2017-18 at Research and Education Farm, Department of Agril. Botany, College of Agriculture, Dapoli, Dist. Ratnagiri with Sixty four genotypes of black gram raised in randomized block design with three replications for evaluating the direct and indirect effects for thirteen characters like days to 50 % flowering, days to maturity, plant height, number of primary branches per plant, number of clusters per plant, number of pods per cluster, number of pods per plant, pod length, number of seeds per pod, 100 seed weight, harvest index, protein content and seed yield per plant. The results of path analysis revealed that that positive direct effect on seed yield was exhibited by days to maturity, number of clusters per plant, number of pods per cluster, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, 100 seed weight, harvest index and protein content indicating importance of these characters and can be strategically used to improve the yield of black gram. While the characters *viz.*, days to 50 per cent flowering, plant height, number of primary branches per plant and pod length revealed negative direct effect of given magnitudes towards seed yield per plant.

KEYWORDS: Black gram, Path analysis, Seed yield, phenotypic level, genotypic level.

## INTRODUCTION

Blackgram (Vigna mungo (L). Hepper, 2n=22), known as urdbean, is an important grain legumes for its nutritional quality and the suitability to cropping system. The major portion of black gram is utilized in making dal, curries, soup, sweets and snacks. Its seeds contain protein (24g), fat (1.6g), carbohydrate (63.4g) and total dietary fibre (16.2) (Anon, 2016) on dry weight basis. It is highly prized pulse, rich in phosphoric acid. It also contributes a major portion of lysine in the vegetarian diet and fairly good source of vitamins like thiamine, niacin, riboflavin and much needed iron and phosphorus. It is extensively used in various culinary preparations and recommended for diabetes. Like other pulses, it also enriches the soil fertility, improves the soil structure and used as green fodder for cattle. India is the world's largest producer as well as consumer of black gram. It produces 18.294 million tons of black gram annually from about 31.285 million hectare area, with an average productivity of 585 Kg/ha (Anon, 2016). Though, India is the world's largest producer of black gram, it imports a large amount to meet the growing domestic needs. But the productivity in India is low as compared with world's average. The breeding progress has been slow and uneven because several desirable traits need to be combined for developing appropriate plant type for a particular growing region and cropping system. Path analysis identifies the yield components which directly and indirectly influence the yield; hence help to combine the desirable traits in single variety. So this research effort is undertaken to ascertain the direct and indirect effects of different traits on seed yield calculated as suggested by Dewey and Lu (1959)

among sixty four different black gram genotypes for evolving the superior high yielding ones.

## **MATERIALS & METHODS**

The material for the present study comprised of 64 lines of black gram collected from IIPR Kanpur and PDKV Akola. The experiment was conducted during rabi 2017-18 raised in randomized block design at with three replications in the spacing of 30 cm x 20 cm at Research and Education Farm, Department of Agril. Botany, College of Agriculture, Dapoli, Dist. Ratnagiri and the recommended cultural practices were followed. Each plot had 0.90 m x 2.4m area with three rows for each population. The observations were recorded on five randomly selected plants per cross for thirteen characters like days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height (cm), number of primary branches per plant, number of clusters per plant, number of pods per cluster, number of pods per plant, pod length (cm), number of seeds per pod, 100 seed weight (g), harvest index (5), protein content (%) and seed yield per plant (g).

## **RESULTS & DISCUSSION**

Path analysis furnishes the cause and effect of different yield components which would provide better index for selection rather than mere correlation coefficients (Arya *et al.*, 2017). Correlation gives only the relation between two variables whereas path coefficient analysis allows separation of the direct effect and their indirect effects through other attributes by partitioning the correlation (Wright, 1921).

	1_	_		_	_		An	ary	/S18	s 1	or	y16		and	yiel		om	ipo	ne	nt	S 11	nc	na	ск	gr	an	n				
	Protein content(%)	Harvest index (%)	100 seed weight (g)	Number of seeds per pod	Pod length (cm)	Number of pods per plant	Number of pods per cluster	Number of clusters per plant	per plant	Number of primary branches	Plant height(cm)	Days to maturity	Days to 50% flowering	Sr. no.					Protein content(%)	Harvest index (%)	100 seed weight (g)	Number of seeds per pod	Pod length (cm)	Number of pods per plant	Number of pods per cluster	Number of clusters per plan	vumber of primary branche per plant	Plant height (cm)	Days to maturity	Days to 50% flowering	Sr. no.
* Significant at 5 % level	0.0050	-0.0160	-0.0294	0.0100	-0.0141	-0.0129	-0.0152	-0.0110	-0.0000	0 0065	-0.0587	-0.1163	-0.1175	50% flowering	Days to				0.0009	-0.0027	-0.0057	0.0016	-0.0028	-0.0014	-0.0016	t -0.0013	s -0.0006	-0.0100	-0.0235	-0.0239	Days to 50% flowerin
	-0.0083	0.0169	0.0241	-0.0085	0.0151	0.0131	0.0136	0.0110	0.0032	0 0027	0.0559	0.1245	0.1232	maturity	Days to	TABLE 2 : Path analysis for different c	* Signi	-0.0024	0.0046	0.0071	-0.0021	0.0046	0.0022	0.0021	0.0020	0.0002	0.0147	0.0392	0.0385	Days to maturity	
	-0.0007 -(	-0.0002 0.	-0.0033 -(	0.0008 0.	-0.0009 -(	-0.0022 0.	-0.0010 -(	-0.0022 0.	-0.0008 -0	0 0000	-0.0077 -(	-0.0035 -(	-0.0038 -(	(cm) p	Plant N		RIF 2 . Dath analysis for different of	ficant at 5 % level ** Significar	-0.0006	-0.0005	-0.0053	0.0012	-0.0014	-0.0037	-0.0021	-0.0033	-0.0020	-0.0136	-0.0051	-0.0057	Plant height (cm)
	).0106	.0012	0.0149	.0073	0.0178	.0026	).0222	.0106	0.0873	0 0072	).0095	).0022	).0048	ranches per lant	umber of rimary				-0.0048	0.0006	-0.0102	0.0059	-0.0123	-0.0017	-0.0169	0.0053	-0.0686	-0.0101	-0.0003	-0.0018	Number of primary branches p plant
	0.0084	0.0134	-0.0170	0.0221	0.0070	0.0767	0.0177	0.0950	-U.U.U	0 0115	0.0272	0.0084	0.0089	ciusters per plant	Number of				0.0095	0.0101	-0.0182	0.0204	0.0073	0.0916	0.0153	0.1174	-0.0091	0.0281	0.0061	0.0065	Number of cluster per plant
	0.0168	-0.0233	0.0102	0.0413	0.0396	0.1305	0.1928	0.0360	0.0491	0 0/01	0.0261	0.0211	0.0249	pods per cluster	Number of	haracters at		nt at 1% level	0.0070	-0.0142	0.0075	0.0181	0.0294	0.1000	0.1621	0.0211	0.0399	0.0248	0.0085	0.0105	Number c s pods per cluster
	0.0536	0.0404	-0.0660	0.1775	0.0913	0.6382	0.4320	0.5149	-0.0189	0 0 1 0 0	0.1854	0.0671	0.0703	or pods per plant	Number	genotypic level in Black g		Residual effect = $(r=0.2437)$	0.0418	0.0247	-0.0614	0.1366	0.0888	0.6850	0.4225	0.5345	0.0172	0.1863	0.0377	0.0397	of Number of pods per plant
	-0.0013	-0.0003	0.0000	-0.0019	-0.0033	-0.0005	-0.0007	-0.0002	-0.0007	7000	-0.0004	-0.0004	-0.0004	(cm)	Pod length				0.0128	0.0023	0.0003	0.0169	0.0336	0.0044	0.0061	0.0021	0.0060	0.0034	0.0040	0.0039	of Pod length (cm)
	0.1113	0.0627	-0.1521	0.3228	0.1859	0.0898	0.0691	0.0752	-0.0271	1700 0	-0.0325	-0.0221	-0.0273	seeds per pod	Number of	gram			0.0788	0.0457	-0.11111	0.2620	0.1316	0.0522	0.0292	0.0456	-0.0224	-0.0231	-0.0141	-0.0175	Number of seeds per pod
	0.0056	-0.0551	0.3742	-0.1763	0.0031	-0.0387	0.0198	-0.0671	0.0000	0 0630	0.1617	0.0723	0.0936	(g)	100 seed				0.0048	-0.0432	0.3110	-0.1319	0.0025	-0.0279	0.0143	-0.0482	0.0463	0.1202	0.0561	0.0737	100 seed weight (g)
	-0.0008	0.0613	-0.0090	0.0119	0.0052	0.0039	-0.0074	0.0087	-0.0000	0000	0.0020	0.0083	0.0083	index (%)	Harvest				-0.0008	0.0448	-0.0062	0.0078	0.0030	0.0016	-0.0039	0.0039	-0.0004	0.0015	0.0052	0.0051	Harves t index (%)
	0.0367	-0.0005	0.0006	0.0127	0.0149	0.0031	0.0032	0.0032	0.0044	0 0011	0.0032	-0.0025	-0.0016	content (%)	Protein				0.0314	-0.0006	0.0005	0.0094	0.0120	0.0019	0.0014	0.0025	0.0022	0.0013	-0.0019	-0.0012	Protein content (%)
	0.2157**	0.1005	0.1172	$0.4196^{**}$	0.3259 **	0.9035**	0.7017**	$0.6741^{**}$	-0.0331	0 0221	0.3527**	0.1548*	0.1738*	per Plant (g)	Seed yield				0.1786*	0.0716	0.1083	0.3458**	0.2962**	0.9042**	0.6285**	0.6817**	0.0088	0.3235**	0.1120	0.1276	Seed yield per Plant (g)

# **TABLE 1:** Path analysis for different characters at phenotypic level in Black gram

## Analysis for yield and yield components in black gram



FIGURE 1: Phenotypical path diagram for seed yield per plant



FIGURE 2: Genotypical path diagram for seed yield per plant

Path coefficient analysis (Table 1 and 2) results showed that positive direct effect on seed yield was exhibited by days to maturity, number of clusters per plant, number of pods per cluster, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, 100 seed weight, harvest index and protein content. These characters have also been identified as major direct contributors towards seed yield in blackgram by earlier workers Veeramani et al. (2005), Shivade et al. (2011), Pushpa et al. (2013), Panigrahi et al. (2014), Yashoda et al. (2016) and Sohel et al. (2016) .The observation showed the extent of reliability of these traits as a good selection index for grain yield. Hence, selection based on these traits would be effective in increasing the seed vield. Conversely, the other characters viz., days to 50 per cent flowering, plant height, number of primary branches per plant and pod length revealed negative direct effect of given magnitudes towards seed yield per plant. These are in accordance with findings of Sateesh et al. (2016) for plant height, Yashoda et al. (2016) for number of primary branches per plant and Panigrahi et al. (2014) for pod length.

The negative direct effect of days to 50% flowering and plant height were nullified by positive indirect effects through days to maturity, number of clusters per plant, number of pods per Cluster, number of pods per plant,100 seed weight and harvest index which resulted in the positive and significant association with seed yield per plant at genotypic level. Pushpa *et al.* (2013) reported he similar results for days to 50 per cent flowering.

Number of clusters per plant, number of pods per cluster and number of pods per plant had moderate to high positive direct effect on seed yield per plant and positive indirect effect through days to maturity, number of seeds per pod and protein content resulted in in very strong positive association with seed yield per plant. Gowsalya *et al.* (2016) reported positive direct effect of number of pods per cluster and number of pods per plant on seed yield per plant. 100 seed weight and harvest index showed nonsignificant association with seed yield even though they had positive direct effects. It may be due to their high negative effects through other characters like days to 50 per cent flowering and plant height.

## CONCLUSION

Black gram is grown in varying agro-ecological conditions and cropping systems with diverse cultural practices, so it needs appropriate plant type for each growing situation. The present study revealed that selection based on days to maturity, number of clusters per plant, number of pods per cluster, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, 100 seed weight, harvest index and protein content could help in genetic improvement of seed yield per plant in black gram population under study. So direct selection for these traits can help to improve black gram seed yield per unit area.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Necessary facilities provided by Department of Agril. Botany are acknowledged. Authors express their gratitude to Head of the department of Botany Dr. B.L.Thaware Sir and Dr. V.V. Dalvi (Associate Professor) for their valuable guidance and support.

## REFERENCES

Anonymous (2016) http://iipr.res.in

Anonymous (2016) http://nfsm.gov.in

Arya, P., Gaibriyal M. Lal. and Sapna S Lal (2017) Correlation and path analysis for yield and yield components in black gram (*Vigna mungo*). *International journal of advanced biological research*, *IJABR*, **7** (2): 382-386.

Dewey, D.R. and Lu, K.H. (1959) A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production, *Agron. J*, **51**: 515-518.

Gowsalya, P., Kumaresan, D., Packiaraj, D. and Kannan Bapu, J.R. (2016) Genetic divergence in black gram (*Vigna mungo* (L) Hepper). *Indian J. Agric. Res*, **5**1 (2): 184-187.

Panigrahi, K.K., Mohanty and Baisakh. (2014) Genetic divergence, variability and character association in landraces of black gram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper) from odisha, *journal of crop and weed*, **10** (2):155-165.

Pushpa, R.Y., Koteswara Rao, Y., Satish, Y. and Sateesh Babu, J. (2013) Estimates of genetic parameters and path analysis in black gram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper). *International Journal of Plant, Animal and Environmental Sciences*, Vol. **3**: 4.

Sateesh babu, J., Pushpa reni, Y. & Ramana, M.V. (2016) Character correlation and path coefficient in black gram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper). *International research journal of natural and applied sciences*, **3** (7):23-26.

Shivade, H.A., Rewale and Patil, S.B. (2011) Correlation and path analysis for yield and yield components in black gram (*Vigna Mungo* (L.) Hepper). *Legume Research*, **34** (3): 178 – 183.

Sohel, M.H., Rasel, M., Shaikh, J.M., Sajjadul Islamc, K. M., Mezanur, R. and Anamul, H. (2016) Correlation and path coefficient analysis of black gram (Vigna mungo L.). *J. Biosci. Agric. Res*, **07** (02): 621-629

Veeramani, Venkatesan, N.M., Thangavel, P. & Ganesan, J. (2005) Path analysis for yield and its components in  $F_2$  populations of black gram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper). *Legume Res*, **28**(1): 62-64.

Wright, S. (1921) Correlation and causation. *J. Agric. Res*, **20** : 557-585.

Yashoda, Gowda, T.H., Vishnutej ellur and Swetha (2016) Genetic variability and character association for yield and its components in black gram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper], *The bioscan*, **11** (2):1059-1063.