

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED BIOLOGICAL RESEARCH

© 2004-2020 Society For Science and Nature (SFSN). All Rights Reserved.

www.scienceandnature.org

GENETIC DIVERSITY OF CITRUS GERMPLASM IN NORTHEASTERN REGION OF INDIA

A.C. Barbora, Sikha Deka*, R.K. Kakoti, J.P Dutta, A. Gogoi, and J. Saikia Citrus Research Station, Assam Agricultural University, Tinsukia-786125, Assam, India. *Corresponding author email: sikhadekacrs@gmail.com,

ABSTRACT

Citrus diversity in India is mainly intense to the Northeastern and Northwestern region of India. A vast reservoir of Citrus diversity exists in wild and semi wild form and is distributed all over the region without commercial cultivation. Out of the 27 species reported in India, 23 belong to North-eastern Region. However, these species are now going to be extinct. Therefore, special attention should be needed for conserving the germplasm to make it available for further utilization of the species. A total of 7 wild and 3 semi wild citrus species were rated as endangered citrus species of this region. The diversity within a genus and species needs to be preserved for the posterity. The current research efforts are addressed to eco-geographic survey, collection, characterization, evaluation and quality assessment of citrus genotypes that were identified in different areas of North-eastern region. A total of 27 Citrus genotypes from different areas were characterized and evaluated. The collected available genotypes and bud races were maintained for future use at field condition in germplasm block of Citrus Research Station, Assam Agricultural University, Tinsukia. All the collected germplasm was primarily grown in nursery and after that they were transplanted into the main field. The evaluation in respect of plant growth, fruit yield and physio-chemical characters of fruits indicated wide range of variation amongst and within the same species. Based on certain desirable characters, some of the genotypes and bud races were evaluated for commercial cultivation or rootstock uses. Moreover, 57 of the landraces of widely cultivated Khasi mandarin representing North-eastern states of Assam, Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Manipur, Mizoram and Tripura have been found having high commercial value. Further studies need to be conducted for these genotypes to be recommended for commercial farming.

KEY WORDS: Diversity, Citrus, Northeastern region, Conservation, Cultivation.

INTRODUCTION

Northeastern region of India is one of the richest reservoirs of genetic diversity of citrus. A large number of citrus species are believed to have originated from this region. Out of the 27 Citrus species reported in India, 23 belong to North-eastern Region of India (Sharma et al., 2004, Ramani, 2013, Barbora et al., 2015). The availability of so huge diversities has earned this area a special status as a treasure house of Citrus germplasm. It has been described as a major region for diverse producers for citrus in both wild and cultivated forms. However, the rich citrus genetic diversity in the NE region is under a serious threat of rapid extinction. Numerous wild and semi-wild forms of Citrus are under threat of loss due to deforestation and also as it is frequently removed from farmers' field in exchange for crops of higher economic value. Out of 6000 nos. of citrus accessions listed worldwide, India have 1495 nos. of accessions inclusive of wild species, rootstocks, old cultivars, advanced cultivars, and breeding lines (Singh and Singh, 2006). A total of 7 wild citrus species, e.g., Citrus indica. Citrus macroptera. Citrus megaloxycarpa. Citrus assamensis, Citrus latipes, Citrus rugulosa and Citrus ichangensis and 3 semi wild type sweet orange were rated as endangered citrus species of this region. These wild citrus species have potentially promising resistance ability which if exploited could lead to solution of many problems of citrus industry in India. Citrus fruits play an important role in human health as it is rich in vitamins and minerals. Additionally, they are rich in plant compounds that have various health benefits, including anti-inflammatory and antioxidant effects. The flavours provided by citrus are among the most preferred in the world. Currently, in India, Citrus is cultivated over an area of about 1,055 thousand hectares with a production of 12,746 thousand metric tons and the productivity of 12.08 MT/ha. Out of this, Mandarin alone occupies an area of 429 thousand hectares with a production of 4754 thousand metric tons and the productivity of 11.08 MT/ha. However, in North Eastern states mandarin occupies an area of about 117.96 thousand hectares with a production of 691.26 thousand metric tons and the productivity of 5.86 MT/ha (Horticultural Statistics at a Glance, 2017). Citrus fruits, particularly Khasi mandarin (Citrus reticulata), Assam lemon (Citrus limon), rough lemon

reticulata), Assam lemon (*Citrus limon*), rough lemon (*Citrus jambhiri*) and pummelo (*Citrus grandis*) are of the major commercial horticultural crops grown widely and plays a vital role in the socio-economic development of the people in this region. Apart from these Citrus species, many other species native to this region is cultivated and they also grow wild in forests. The health benefits of Citrus have been well known for centuries, but it has therapeutic values that have long been utilized in conventional herbal medicine. Experimental studies show

that its bioactive compounds have tremendous pharmaceutical activity like, antibacterial, antimicrobial, antiviral, antioxidant, cancer preventing *etc.* which clearly indicate the potential of the crop for the pharmaceutical industry. In the world, citrus is dominated by sweet orange with a 64% contribution followed by mandarins with 20%, limes and lemons with 10% and rest of the 6% contributed by grapefruit and other citrus fruits (Anonymous, 2016).

Although genetic diversity of citrus has got immense potentiality to raise the quality fruit production and productivity, the conservation and use of these genotypes have not yet been carried out in depth in a systematic manner. In absence of identification, conservation and utilization of indigenous citrus genotypes, the losses of such valuable genotypes will occur and continues to occur. Therefore, identification of elite valuable genotypes, their proper use and conservation of these valuable unique resources are essential to increase quality production for internal consumption as well as for export markets (Budathoki et al. (2004). Citrus fruits found in the region are needed to be protected, either at natural habitat or through concerted conservation programme. Conservation implies preservation and enhancement of natural environment and effective conservation required both off site and in situ preservation. The growers of the region, generally prefers to grow more economically important species than others; as a result, there is a threat of gradual erosion of citrus species and citrus germplasm base. So, in future, there is every possibility and chance that some of the species in the region will become completely extinct. It is high time and urgent need to collect all available citrus genotypes and bud races and maintain them in field gene bank for future use. To meet the above objective, Citrus Research Station, Assam Agricultural University, Tinsukia has been maintaining a field citrus germplasm block where Citrus germplasm collected from different sources are preserved, evaluated and maintained for future use. This will explore the opportunities of these genotypes for the benefit of large number of farmers, market and consumers; thereby, reduce poverty and enhance food security in the rural areas in the country.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study consisted of survey, collection, characterization, evaluation and quality assessment of citrus germplasm that were identified in different areas of Northeastern states. Field survey was carried out by using participatory rural appraisal (PRA) to assess the genetic diversity. Genetic diversity was studied by using IPGRI produced citrus descriptors. Discussion with focus group, interview with individual fruit growers and in situ observation of orchards, fruit tree was also carried out in each site. During field survey, elite plants with valuable traits were selected and these trees were marked with code number by using colored enamel paints for future identification. The laboratory analysis was undertaken at Citrus Research Station, AAU, Tinsukia for quality assessment of the fruits collected from different orchards.

Germplasm of most of the species of Citrus both cultivated and wild type were collected either through seeds or bud wood or seedlings from indigenous sources. Planting materials are are produced from the collected germplasm at nursery and then the planting materials were transplanted in the germplasm block by following recommended package of practices. Plant growth, fruit yield and quality were observed as per procedure. The quality parameters were fruit size, rind thickness, number of segments, seed number, TSS, juice content and acidity.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

Collection

A total of 110 genotypes from 27 Citrus species are collected and maintained at the centre. The collected germplasm included indigenous species to this region mostly Khasi mandarin, Sweet orange, Limon, Citron, Rough lemon, Pummelo, Grapefruit, Sour pummelo, Acid lime, Sweet lime, King mandarin, Karna khatta, Galgal, Adajamir, Khasi papeda, Volkamariana, Rangpur lime, Trifoliate orange, Trifoliate hybrid, Taiwainica etc. presented in Table 1.

Moreover, 56 of the local landraces of widely cultivated Khasi mandarin (Table 2) representing Northeastern states of Assam, Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Manipur, Mizoram and Tripura have been found having high commercial value. This 56 Khasi mandarin germplasm have also also been maintained at the centre. Further studies need to be conducted for these genotypes to be recommended for commercial farming.

It has been reported that there are about 17 species, 52 varieties and 7 possible hybrids of citrus (Singh, 1990) in North-eastern region. Some of the citrus species are indigenous to this region as reported by Bhattacharya and Dutta (1956) such as *Citrus limon* Burm, *C. medica* Linn., *C. jambhiri* Lush, *C. inchangenis* Swing, *C. lalipes* Tanaka, *C. macroptera* Montr, *C. assamensis* Dutta and Bhattacharya, *C. indica* Tanaka, *C. aurantium* Linn., *C. karna* Raf., *C. aurantifolia* Swing, *C. limetta* Risso, *C. nolulis* Lour., *C. sinensis* Osbeck., *C. grandis* L. and *C. megaloxycarpa* Lush. The natural hybrids indigenous to this region are Soh-Khyllah No. 1, Soh-Khyllah No. 2, Soh-Khyllah No. 3, Hashkhuli, Sarbati, Nieholsray and Dewa tenga (Shadeque, 1989).

Evaluation and conservation

All the collected germplasm was primarily grown in nursery and after that they were transplanted into the main field. Some of the accessions are in fruiting and some accessions are still in vegetative phase with good growth. However, some accession showed poor performance with regards to plant growth. All the plants in germplasm block are being maintained under uniform cultural practices including plant protection measures. Management of Citrus genotypes in germplasm block had proved to be difficult due to their variation in adoptability or more susptability to insect pest and disease incidence. Wide range of variability was observed among different accessions in respect of plant growth, flowering, fruit characters and incidence of insect pest and diseases. Similar variability among different species also observed by Bhattacharyya and Dutta (1956), Verma and Ghosh (1979), Govind and Yadav (1999) and Sing et al (1999). Maximum plant height and canopy volume was observed in grapefruit (8.33 m) followed by Khasi papeda (5.75m), and Pummelo (5.41m) with spreading growth habit. The minimum plant height and canopy volume were observed

in Ada jamir (1.47m), Acid lime (2.48m) and Karna khatta (2.98 m) (Table 3). Lemon, Citron and Galgal are bushy type with spreading habit. Pummelo, Rough lemon and Mandarin were also of spreading habit. Rangpur lime was in medium growth habit with rounded tops. Trifoliate and its hybrid exhibited cylindrical growth habit and were observed to be deciduous in nature.

Analysis of physico-chemical characters of fruits (Table 4) revealed the specific traits and the range of variation within the fruits of different species. The variation in fruit size, rind thickness, seeds per fruit, juice content and acidity were found to be more pronounced. Variability among genotypes have also been earlier reported by Bhattacharyya and Dutta (1956), Verma and Ghosh (1979) and Govind and Yadav (1999). Based on the yield and physico-chemical properties of fruits, certain Mandarin, Lemon, Sweet orange, Pummelo, Grapefruit have been screen out for commercial cultivation in the State.

Khasi mandarin (*Citrus reticulata* Blanco.) is economically most important Citrus crop available in Northeastern region and plays a vital role in the socioeconomic development of the people. Khasi mandarin is well known for its quality, fruit colour, unique sugar acid blend and shelf life which make it the most popular citrus cultivar in North-Eastern region (Barbora et al. 2019). The four citrus species, viz., Khasi mandarin (C. reticulata), Assam lemon (Citrus limon), Pummelo (C. grandis) and Rough Lemon (C. jambhiri) are commercially grown all over the region. Apart from these, other promising natives so far identified in different groups having scope for commercialization are other Lemons (C. limon) like Elachi Nemu, Pati Nemu etc., Citrons (C. medica) like Bira jora, Bor jora, Tipani jora etc., Sweet lime (C. lamittoides), Sour orange (C. aurantium), Sweet pumello (C. grandis), Sour pumello (C. megaloxycarpa), Khasi papeda (C. latipes), various types of Rough lemon (C. jambhiri) like Mitha chakala, Mitha tulia, etc (Barbora et al., 2015). Rough lemon, Volkamariana, Rangpur lime, Cleopetra mandarin and Trifoliate orange are being used as rootstock for Khasi mandarin. Two more rootstocks namely

Pummelo and Letipes are being evaluated for Khasi

mandarin with promising results.

FABLE 1: C	Bermplasm	collected and maintained	from l	North-	eastern	region	of India at	Citrus	Research	Station,	AAU,

SI. No	Common Name	Species	Variety / local name	Status	Distribution
1	Khasi mandarin	<i>Citrus reticulata</i> Blanco	Sumthira/sontora/Komola (Assam), Soh-niamtra, Soh-Syiem Soh- umkhudai, (Meghalaya) Naga Santra, Narengi (Nagaland), Komla (Manipur) Narengi (Arunachal Pradesh)	Cultivated and edible	Assam, Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Manipur, Tripura, Nagaland, Sikkim
2	Sweet orange	<i>Citrus sinensis</i> Osbeck	Mosambi, Mitha chakala, Chakala tenga, (Assam), Soh bitara, Soh- niangriang (Meghalaya), Tasa (Arunachal Pradesh).	Cultivated and edible	Assam, Meghalaya Arunachal Pradesh, Mizoram, Nagaland
3	Lemon	<i>Citrus limon</i> Burmf.	Assam lemon, (Nemu tenga, Kazi nemu locally known in Assam) Soh Synthang (Meghalaya) <i>Pati-Nenu/lebu, Shasni-Nemu/</i> <i>lebu, Soh-madrit,</i> Elachi-nemu, Pani-jamir Baramasia, Seville, Nepali oblong, Nepali lemon, Italian lemon and Eureka lemon, Kata-jamuri, Godhpati-nemu/lebu, Galgal, Kata jamuri, Khatta-lebu or Ghora-nemu/lebu.	Cultivated and edible, round the year flowering, strongly sour lemon,	Assam, Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Manipur, Tripura, Nagaland, Sikkim
			Soh-liang	Flowering once in a year	Meghalaya
4	Citron	Citrus medica L	Pani jamir (sweet lemon) Mitha jora, Pinchipunia, Pati jora, Bira jora, Gandharaj, Citron pongam, Jora-tenga, Bira-jora, Bon-jora, Sipa-egra, Singking, Tashing, Soh manong, Haijange, Naya-Changney	Sweet pulp Cultivated and edible	Meghalaya Assam, Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Manipur, Nagaland, Sikkim
5	Rough lemon	<i>Citrus jambhiri</i> Lush	Gul nemu, Nemu tenga, Lemon Borapani, Hati nemu, Soh	Cultivated and edible	Assam, Meghalaya

Tinsukia

			myndong, Champa umthambi, Rough lemon, Sindhuri lemon, Soh khaylla, Nainty zambiri, Soh- jhalia, Kata jamir, Sinduri namutanga, Kachai lamon		Arunachal Pradesh Manipur
6	Pummelo	<i>Citrus grandish</i> Osbeck	Soh-myngor, Pummelo red, Pummelo white, Chakotra, Gagar, Pink fleshed, Kanapora, Bhogote, Sagothra, Rabab tenga, Jambura,	Cultivated and edible	Assam, Meghalaya
7	Sour pummelo,	Citrus megaloxycarpa	Bortenga, Holong tenga, Hukna tenga, Jimir tenga	Inedible	Assam, Tripura
8	Grapefruit	<i>Citrus paradisi</i> Macf	Grapefruit	Cultivated and edible	Assam, Meghalaya, Arunachal Pradesh, Mizoram
9	Acid lime	<i>Citrus</i> <i>auriantifolia</i> (Chirstm)	Kagzi lime, Abhayapuri lime, Karimganj lime	Cultivated and edible	Assam Plains (Lower Assam and Barak valley) Nagaland, Meghalaya
10	Sweet lime	Citrus limettioides	Sorbati, Mitha nemu, Mitha Kagzi	Cultivated and edible	Garo and Jaintia hills of Meghalaya, Assam, Arunachal Pradesh, Mizoram
11	King orange	<i>Citrus nobilis</i> Lour	Jeneru tenga	edible	Plains of upper Assam district
12	Karna khatta	<i>Citrus karna</i> Raf	Soh- sarkar	inedible	Mizoram, Meghalaya (Khasi hills)
13	Hill lemon (Galgal)	Citrus pseudolimon	Galgal, Jamir	Semi wild, edible	Meghalaya
14	Ginger Citrus	<i>Citrus</i> assamensis (Dutta and Bhattacharya)	Adajamir, Soh-Sying,	Semi wild, edible	Barak valley of Assam, Khasi hills and lower Jaintia hills of Meghalaya
15	Khasi papeda	<i>Citrus latipes</i> Tanaka	Soh Shyrkhoit	Extremely rare in cultivation, the fruit is edible	Khasi hills of Meghalaya, Nagaland
16	Volkameriana	Citrus volkemeriana	Volkama lemon	Very vigorous rootstock, Cultivated and edible	Meghalaya, Assam
17	Rangpur lime	Citrus limonia	Rangpur lime, lemandarin	cultivated, edible rootstock,	Meghalaya, Assam
18	Cleopetra mandarin	Citrus reshni	Cleopetra orange		Meghalaya
19	Calamandin mandarin	citrus mitis	Calamandin, Philippine lime	edible	Meghalaya
20 21	Taiwainica Trifoliate orange	Citrus taiwainica Poncirus trifoliate	Taiwainica Trifoliate, Rubidoux trifoliate	Inedible	Meghalaya Meghalaya
22 23	Troyer citrange Sour orange	<i>Trifoliate hybrid</i> C. aurantiwn	Troyer citrange Karan jamir, Gandha-Hantara, Karun Jamir, Sohmyndong, Mole kaipuli	Inedible Inedible	Meghalaya Nagaland, Meghalaya, Assam Arunachal

					Pradesh
24	Indian Wild	Citrus indica	Mimang Narang	Inedible	Some pockets of
	orange	Tanaka			Assam,
					Meghalaya,
					Mizoram,
					Manipur,
					Nagaland,
25	Narengi	Citrus.	Kokni, keonla, Reshmi orange,	Inedible	Barak valley of
	ç	crenatiifolia	Narangi	Semi-wild	Assam,
		Lush.	C		Meghalaya
26	Melamesian	Citrus	Satkara, Tithkara, Soh-Kwit,		Assam,
	papeda	macroptera	Hampur-arong, Chamarbaphang,	Inedible,	Meghalaya,
		•	Hareb	Semi-wild	Tripura, Manipur
27	Ichang papeda	Citrus	Kettsa-shopify	Inedible	Nagaland and
		ichanggensis		Semi-wild	adjacent hilly
					areas of Assam

TABLE 2: Collection of Elite Khasi mandarin (*Citrus reticulata*) Blanco germplasm representing North-eastern states of Assam, Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Manipur and Tripura maintained at CRS, Tinsukia

	7 issuin, 7 i unachai i rau	esh, weghalaya, wampur and mpura maintained at c	
Sl. No.	Accessions	Valuable traits	Place of collection
1.	CRS-1	Yield - 1223.33 fruits/plant, unique sugar-acid blend	Tinsukia, Assam
		(TSS: Acid- 16.53),	
2.	CRS-2	Yield - 1453.67 fruits/plant, tolerant to gummosis.	Tinsukia. Assam
		Early maturity (220days)	
3	CRS-3	Yield - 1314.00 fruits/plant, lesser number of seeds	Tinsukia, Assam
0.		in fruits (5)	
4	CRS-4	Yield - 1782 67 fruits/plant_unique sugar-acid blend	Tinsukia Assam
		(TSS: Acid- 18 53) fruit juiciness at harvest	i mouriu, i iosum
		maturity - more than 48% Shelf-life - 21.60 days	
5	CRS-5	Vield - 1556.00 fruits/plant tolerant to trunk borer	Tinsukia Assam
5.	CPS 6	Vield 1683 00 fruits/plant, toterant to trank borer	Tinsukia, Assam
0. 7	CPS 7	Vield 1173.00 fruits/plant, hole sweethess	Tinsukia, Assam
7.	CKS-/	Shalf life 18.60 days	Tilisukia, Assaili
0	CDC 9	Shell-life – 18.00 days	Timeralia Arran
δ.	CRS-8	rield - 1292.07 iruits/plant, late matured (Time	Tinsukia, Assam
0		taken for maturity 250 days)	T 1 . A 1 1
9.	CRS-KM-AR-L-1a	Yield - 1612.00 fruits/plant, 155 at narvest maturity:	Lonit, Arunachal
10		More than 30° brix	Pradesh
10.	CRS-KM-AR-L-1b	Biotic stress tolerant, pleasant-taste, more sweetness	Lohit, Arunachal
			Pradesh
11.	CRS- KM-AR-L-1c	Yield - 1092.00 fruits/plant, unique sugar-acid	Lohit, Arunachal
		blend, tolerant to gummosis	Pradesh
12.	CRS- KM-AR-ES-2a	Yield - 1360.50 fruits/plant, Good size and colour of	East Siang, Arunachal
		fruits	Pradesh
13.	CRS- KM-AR-ES-2b	Number of seeds per fruit at harvest maturity- less	East Siang, Arunachal
		than 5	Pradesh
14.	CRS- KM-AR-ES-2c	Yield - 1392.67 fruits/plant, TSS at harvest maturity	East Siang, Arunachal
		- More than 23° brix	Pradesh
15.	CRS- KM-AR-LDV-3a	Yield - 1468.60 fruits/plant, Fruit rind (epicarp)	Lower Dibang Valley,
		colour at harvest maturity - Dark orange, late	Arunachal Pradesh
		maturity (Time taken for maturity 260 days)	
16.	CRS- KM-AR-LDV-3b	Yield - 1292.67 fruits/plant, Fruit juiciness at harvest	Lower Dibang Valley,
		maturity - More than 45%	Arunachal Pradesh
17.	CRS- KM-AR-LDV-3c	Yield - 1592.67 fruits/plant, unique sugar-acid	Lower Dibang Valley,
		blend, tolerant to gummosis, Shelf-life – 25.60 days	Arunachal Pradesh
18.	CRS- KM-ML-EKH-1a	Biotic stress tolerant, Number of seeds per fruit at	East Khasi Hills,
		harvest maturity - less than 5	Meghalaya
19.	CRS- KM-ML-EKH-1b	Yield - 1560.67 fruits/plant, total soluble solids at	East Khasi Hills,
		harvest maturity: More than 21° brix	Meghalaya
20.	CRS- KM-ML-EKH-1c	Big size, Fruit rind (epicarp) colour at harvest	East Khasi Hills,
		maturity - Dark orange	Meghalaya
21.	CRS- KM-ML-WGH-	Yield - 1402.67 fruits/plant Number of seeds per	West Garo Hills,
	2a	fruit at harvest maturity - less than 5	Meghalaya
22.	CRS- KM-ML-WGH-	Yield - 1430.00 fruits/plant, Biotic stress tolerant,	West Garo Hills,
	2b	tolerant to trunk borer	Meghalava

23.	CRS- KM-ML-WGH-	Yield - 1570.00 fruits/plant tolerance to various	West Garo Hills,
	2c	biotic and abiotic stresses	Meghalaya
24.	CRS- KM-ML-RB-3a	Yield - 2530.00 fruits/plant, Big size fruit, Fruit rind	Ri Bhoi, Meghalaya
		(epicarp) colour at harvest maturity - Dark orange	
25.	CRS- KM-ML-RB-3b	Yield - 1530.00 fruits/plant Fruit juiciness at harvest	Ri Bhoi, Meghalaya
		maturity: More than 45%	
26.	CRS- KM-ML-RB-3c	Yield - 1330.00 fruits/plant Total soluble solids at	Ri Bhoi, Meghalaya
		harvest maturity: More than 26° brix	
27.	CRS- KM-MN-T-1a	Yield - 1665.00 fruits/plant Biotic stress tolerant.	Tamenglong, Manipur
28.	CRS- KM-MN-T-1b	Big size, Fruit rind (epicarp) colour at harvest	Tamenglong, Manipur
		maturity - Dark orange	
29	CRS- KM-MN-T-1c	Number of seeds per fruit at harvest maturity. More	Tamenglong Manipur
27.		than 5	rumengiong, mumpur
30	CRS- KM-MN-T-1d	Vield - 1690.00 fruits/plant_unique sugar-acid blend	Tamenglong Manipur
31	CRS_KM_MN_T_1e	Big size Fruit rind (enicarn) colour at harvest	Tamenglong Manipur
51.		maturity Dark orange	Tamengiong, Mampu
22	CDS VM MN D 20	Viold 1580.00 fruits/plant Good Elevent telerance	Dichnunur Moninur
52.	CK5- KWI-WIN-B-2a	to various histic and chistic strasses	Bisiniupui, Manipui
22	CDC KM MN D 2h	Viald 1645 00 fruits (alant Distingtions to larger to	Dishaaraan Maajaara
33. 24	CRS- KM-MIN-B-2D	Yield - 1645.00 fruits/plant, Biotic stress tolerant,	Bisnnupur, Manipur
34.	CRS- KM-MN-B-2c	Yield - 2430.00 fruits/plant, tolerance to various	Bisnnupur, Manipur
~~		biotic and abiotic stresses	
35.	CRS- KM-MN-B-2d	unique sugar-acid blend, tolerance to various biotic	Bishnupur, Manipur
		and abiotic stresses	
36.	CRS- KM-MN-B-2e	Good size and colour of fruits, Fruit weight at	Bishnupur, Manipur
		harvest maturity: 180 – 200 g	
37.	CRS- KM-MN-N-3a	Fruit rind (epicarp) colour at harvest maturity: Dark	Noney, Manipur
		orange	
38.	CRS- KM-MN-N-3b	Total soluble solids at harvest maturity: More than	Noney, Manipur
		11° brix	
39.	CRS- KM-MN-N-3c	Good size and colour of fruits Fruit weight at harvest	Noney, Manipur
		maturity: 170 – 190 g,	
40.	CRS- KM-MN-N-3d	Number of seeds per fruit at harvest maturity: More	Noney, Manipur
		than 5	
41.	CRS- KM-MN-N-3e	Fruit juiciness at harvest maturity: More than 45%	Noney, Manipur
42.	CRS- KM-TR-K-3a	Yield - 1230.00 fruits/plant	Khowai, Tripura
43.	CRS- KM-TR-K-3b	Fruit juiciness at harvest maturity - More than 45%	Khowai, Tripura
44	CRS- KM-TR-K-3c	Fruit rind (epicarp) colour at harvest maturity - Dark	Khowai Tripura
		orange	iiiowai, iiipaia
45	CRS- KM-TR-K-3d	Good size and colour of fruits. Fruit weight at	Khowai Tripura
ч.Э.	CKB- KW-TK-K-50	horvest maturity: 150 100 g	Kilowal, Inpula
16	CDS KM TD K 20	Number of goods per fruit at hervost maturity loss	Khowai Tripura
40.	CKS- KM-IK-K-Se	then 5	Kilowal, Ilipula
47	CDC KM TD C 1-	than 5 Viald 1800.00 fmits/alant Canadairs and calany of	Circhible Trimer
47.	CR5- KM-1R-5-1a	Field - 1890.00 fruits/plant, Good size and colour of	Sipanijaia, Tripura
10		fruits, Fruit weight at narvest maturity: 180 – 200 g	a
48.	CRS- KM-1R-S-1b	Fruit rind (epicarp) colour at harvest maturity - Dark	Sipahijala, Tripura
4.0		orange	~
49.	CRS- KM-TR-S-1c	Yield - 2380.00 fruits/plant, Fruit juiciness at harvest	Sipahijala, Tripura
		maturity: More than 45%	
50.	CRS- KM-TR-S-1d	Yield - 1089.00 fruits/plant, Total soluble solids at	Sipahijala, Tripura
		harvest maturity: More than 24° brix	
51.	CRS- KM-TR-S-1e	Yield - 1789.00 fruits/plant, Number of seeds per	Sipahijala, Tripura
		fruit at harvest maturity- less than 5	
52.	CRS- KM-TR-G-2a	Fruit juiciness at harvest maturity - More than 45%	Gomati, Tripura
53.	CRS- KM-TR-G-2b	Yield - 1830.00 fruits/plant	Gomati, Tripura
54.	CRS- KM-TR-G-2c	Yield - 1970.00 fruits/plant, unique sugar-acid blend	Gomati, Tripura
55.	CRS- KM-TR-G-2d	Yield - 1390.00 fruits/plant, Good size and colour of	Gomati, Tripura
		fruits	· •
56.	CRS- KM-TR-G-2e	Yield - 3400.00 fruits/plant	Gomati, Tripura
57.	Honey orange	Yield - 1230.00 fruits/plant	Mizoram

Sl. No	Species	Plant ht (m)	Stem girth (cm)	N-S spread (m)	E-W spread (m)	Canopy volume (m ³)	Fruits/ tree	Fruit yield (kg/plant)	Fruit yield (t/ ha)	Fruit weight (gm/ fruit)
1	Khasi mandarin, Creticulata	4.52	34.5	3.13	3.25	27.11	185	22.54	9.16	124
2	Sweet orange,	4.16	33.9	2.53	2.29	27.39	105	2.04	0.81	408
3	C. sinensis Citron, C. medica	3.45	23.8	3.87	3.25	25.77	335	51.25	20.50	153
4	Lemon, <i>Climon</i>	2.99	19.2	2.75	2.98	9.43	85	2.65	1.06	106
5	Rough lemon, C. jambhiri	3.25	21.6	3.16	3.24	19.61	94	3.36	1.34	140
6	Pummelo, <i>C. grandis</i>	5.41	74.4	7.66	7.87	192.54	145	130.93	52.37	903
7	Grapefruit, C. paradisi	8.33	58.10	7.76	9.44	365.18	165	78.87	31.54	478
8	Sour pummel, <i>C. megaloxycarpa</i>	4.22	37.9	5.41	5.92	79.83	105	83.47	33.38	795
9	Acid lime, <i>C. auriantifolia</i>	2.48	18.15	2.17	2.78	10.76	60	0.82	0.32	82
10	Sweet lime, <i>C. limettoides</i>	3.89	19.10	3.98	3.15	29.14	25	0.82	0.32	133
11	King orange, <i>C. nobilis</i>	3.65	40.16	3.45	3.42	25.38	45	14.71	5.88	327
12	Karna khatta, <i>C. karna</i>	2.98	38.75	3.68	4.20	27.27	25	9.30	3.72	372
13	Galgal, C. pseudolimon	3.55	20.12	3.85	3.76	30.29	13	1.88	0.75	145
14	Adajamir, C. assamensis	1.47	21.05	1.57	2.37	3.36	13	1.20	0.48	403
15	Khasi papeda, <i>C. letipes</i>	5.75	127.10	6.25	6.86	145.65	131	84.49	33.49	645
16	Volkameriana, C. volkameriana	4.13	30.28	3.15	2.98	22.87	98	15.19	6.07	155
17	Rangpur lime, <i>C. limonia</i>	3.19	22.81	3.77	3.05	21.86	56	3.19	12.76	57
18	Cleopetra mandarin, C. reshui	4.10	31.85	3.16	3.89	30.03	115	3.45	1.38	30
19	Trifoliate orange, Poncirus trifoliate	5.10	26.95	4.44	4.58	61.15	115	8.62	3.44	75
20	Troyer citrange, Trifoliate hybrid	3.19	25.51	4.46	3.99	33.56	95	5.13	2.05	54
21	Calamandin mandarin, <i>C. mitis</i>	4.51	32.15	3.89	3.25	31.97	115	10.46	4.84	91
22	Taiwainica, <i>C. taiwinica</i>	3.54	22.65	3.56	3.45	25.63	10	3.10	1.24	310

TABLE 4: Va	riation in	physico-cher	nical characters	s of fruit of different	species of Citrus	germplasm of Tinsukia
		1 2			1	

	1	-				T	0	L	
S1.	Species	Fruit size	e (cm)	Rind	Segment/	Seed/	Juice/	TSS (%)	Acidity
No		Length	Diameter	thickness	fruit	fruit	fruit		(%)
				(mm)			(mi)		
1	Khasi mandarin,	6.35	6.20	1.90	11.50	22.00	105.0	10.61	0.45
	C. reticulata								
2	Sweet orange,	9.18	9.31	5.57	13.00	18.00	86.50	12.27	0.92
	C. sinensis								
3	Citron,	15.84	13.0	4.90	12.50	10.00	85.00	6.00	4.70
	C. medica								
4	Lemon,	26.48	15.65	15.25	12.50	19.00	73.50	6.87	3.65
	C.limon								
5	Rough lemon,	4.07	4.80	3.25	13.00	12.50	16.50	7.42	3.70
	C. jambhiri								

Citrus	germplasm	in	northeastern	region	of	India
onuas	Sermpraom	***	montineastern	region	01	mana

6	Pummelo,	11.29	9.95	16.75	18.50	45.00	165.0	9.65	2.69
	C. grandis								
7	Grapefruit,	7.60	8.10	6.9	13.50	46.00	185.5	9.89	2.12
	C. paradisi								
8	Sour pummel,	5.35	5.25	5.05	12.50	25.00	150.0	10.20	3.95
	C. megaloxycarpa								
9	Acid lime,	3.15	2.63	1.55	9.00	8.50	11.50	7.25	5.45
	C. auriantifolia								
10	Sweet lime,	4.00	4.45	1.65	9.00	8.50	20.00	7.80	3.50
	C. limettoides								
11	King orange,	7.00	7.43	2.55	12.00	10.00	55.00	13.20	0.94
	C. nobilis								
12	Karna khatta,	7.35	7.32	10.32	12.00	9.00	66.00	11.25	0.45
	C. karna								
13	Galgal,	9.08	7.20	5.46	9.00	29.50	30.00	7.79	3.85
	C. pseudolimon								
14	Adajamir,	5.50	6.00	4.54	10.50	13.50	24.50	6.89	4.15
	C. assamensis								
15	Khasi papeda,	7.20	6.88	4.55	13.50	42.50	91.00	8.10	4.10
	C. letipes								
16	Volkameriana,	4.70	4.52	3.25	12.50	15.00	16.50	7.23	4.09
	C. volkameriana								
17	Rangpur lime,	5.00	5.00	2.00	12.50	12.50	110.0	11.45	6.20
	C. limonia								
18	Cleopetra mandarin,	2.50	3.5	1.13	13.00	8.00	27.50	8.70	3.38
	C. reshui								
19	Trifoliate orange,	4.50	4.00	0.54	10.00	12.50	10.00	5.65	3.91
	Poncirus trifoliate								
20	Troyer citrange,	5.00	4.50	0.55	11.00	18.50	16.00	5.75	4.00
	Trifoliate hybrid								
21	Calamandin mandarin,	3.01	3.45	1.04	12.50	12.00	40.00	7.65	3.96
	C. mitis								
22	Taiwainica,	4.25	4.12	2.15	12.50	16.50	30.35	6.85	2.70
	C. taiwinica								

CONCLUSION

The North Eastern states of India offer tremendous potential for boosting Citrus fruit processing industries as well as for export marketing. Systematic study and largescale cultivation are required for promoting the citrus based industry in this region. In view of the genetic erosion taking place at an alarming rate, there is an urgent need for collection and conservation of germplasm of different Citrus species and wild relatives. Citrus Research Station of Assam Agricultural University is maintaining one of the richest Citrus Germplasm blocks. It has actively participated in collection, characterization, conservation and evaluation of citrus germplasm. Management of Citrus genotypes in germplasm block had proved to be difficult due to their variation in adoptability or susceptibility to insect pest and disease incidence. Many of the germplasm are under the deteriorated condition due to several factors. These genotypes are needed to be re-establishing under controlled conditions.

REFERENCES

Anonymous (2016) Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. FAOSTAT database,.

Barbora, A.C., Saikia, J., Kakoti, R.K., Deka, S., Hazarika, B. and Gogoi, A. (2019) Survey, Selection and Evaluation of Elite Khasi Mandarin (*Citrus reticulata* Blanco.) Genotypes for Growth, Yield, Quality and Biotic Stress Tolerance under Climatic Conditions of North-Eastern Region, *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci*, 7(1): 469-474.

Barbora, A.C., Deka, S., Gogoi, A. Kakoti, R.K., Hazarika, G.N. (2015) Citrus Biodiversity of North Eastern India with special reference to Lemons (Citrus limon) *Proceedings of the National Symposium on Sustainable Citrus Production: Way Forward*, CCRI, Nagpur 2015, pp. 365-377.

Bhattacharya, S.C. and Dutta, S. (1956) Classification of Citrus fruits of Assam. ICAR Scientistific monograph No. 23.

Govind, S. and Yadav, D.S. (1999) Genetic resources of Citrus in North Eastern Hill region of India. *Proc. Int. Symp. Citri.* Held during 23rd-27th Nov. at NRCC, Nagpur, India, 1999, Pp-38-46.

Budathoki, K., Regmi, H. N., Pradhan, N.G. Gotame, T.P. and Poudyal, K.P. (2004) Citrus diversity, their characterization and evaluation in Nepal. *Advances of Horticulture Research in Nepal Proceeding of the Forth National Workshop on Horticulture*: 2004, Pp: 116-122

Ramani, M. (2013) Biodiversity and conservation of citrus crop and different spp. of citrus. (http://www.slideshare. net) 2013.

Singh, I.P. and Singh, S. (2006) Exploration, collection and characterization of citrus germplasm- A review. Agricultural Reviews, 2006, 27(2):79-90

Sharma, B.D., Hore, D.K. and Gupta, S.G. (2004) Genetic resources of Citrus of North-Eastern India and their potential use. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution, 2004, 51: 411-418.

Singh, I.P.; S. Singh and A. Singh, Field gene bank of Citrus and its relatives at NRCC. *Proc. Int. Symp. Citri*. Held during 23rd-27th Nov., 1999 at Nagpur, India, 1999, Pp-47-53.

Singh, V.B. Fruits of N.E. Region. Wiley Eastern Ltd., New Delhi, India, 1990.

Shadeque, A. (1989) Genetic resources diversity in horticultural crops of the North-eastern region. *Proc. Indian Natn. Sci. Acad.* 1989, B55 Nos 5 & 6 pp 473-476.

Verma, A.N. and Ghosh, S.P. (1979) Citrus germplasm collection from North Eastern Hills and their evaluation. Indian. Hort. Oct-Dec. 2-4.