

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED BIOLOGICAL RESEARCH

© 2004-2020 Society For Science and Nature (SFSN). All Rights Reserved.

www.scienceandnature.org

EFFECT OF STAGE AND PERIOD OF PREHARVEST BAGGING ON FRUIT RETENTION AND SOME QUALITY ATTRIBUTES IN MANGO (MANGIFERA INDICA L.) CV. ALPHONSO

^aMalshe, K.V. and ^bParulekar, Y.R.

Mango Research Sub-Centre, Rameshwar, Tal. Deogad, Dist. Sindhudurg. 416806 (MS) Dr. B. S. Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, Dist. Ratnagiri

ABSTRACT

The experiment was conducted at Mango Research Sub-Centre, Rameshwar, Deogad to study the stage and period of preharvest bagging on quality of mango cv. Alphonso. The bagging was done at marble stage and retained the bags upto 45, 60, 75 days and at egg stage and retained upto 45, 60 days and at harvest. The maximum fruit retention was recorded in T₄ (89.44%) followed by T₆ (88.11%) and T₁ (86.89%). The lowest fruit retention was recorded in control (77.0%). The maximum days (104.56) required for harvesting was recorded in treatment; Bagging at marble stage and removing bags at 75 days after bagging (T₃). The maximum fruit weight (232.53 g), The lowest spotted fruits (2.00%) were also recorded in treatment T₃. The lowest occurrence of spongy tissue in the fruits of T₆ (2.78%) which was at par with T₃ (3.56%), and T₅ (3.78%).

KEY WORDS: Mango, bagging, fruit retention, spongy tissue.

INTRODUCTION

Mango (Mangifera indica L.) is the leading fruit crop in world and considered to be the choicest fruit of India. It is a dominant fruit crop of India growing in almost all the states. It has varietal wealth but Alphonso is the most popular and delicious variety. It is a leading cultivar of Konkan region of Maharashtra. It has high export potential. It has distinctive characteristics like firm, fibreless flesh and good keeping quality however its productivity is comparatively less than other varieties. In the recent years, the climate aberrations during mango fruiting period had adversely affected the fruit quality. Delayed and offseason rain, deviation in temperature and humidity adversely affect the crop during fruit development causes incidence of pest and diseases, fruit drop, etc. The preharvest bagging of fruits proved the beneficial for reduction of such losses in many fruit crops like apple, banana and litchi (Sharma et al., 2014).

The skirting bags which are technical textile product which are generally used for preharvest bagging in different fruit crops. The appropriate stage of bagging is also important and the attempt was made to study the stage and period of preharvest bagging on quality of mango cv. Alphonso.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

The experiment was conducted at Mango Research Sub-Centre, Rameshwar, Tal. Deogad, Dist. Sindhudurg on 35 years old Alphonso mango trees during the fruiting seasons of the years 2012-13 to 2014-15. The Uniform trees were selected and the experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications and seven treatments (Table 1). The recommended cultural practices were followed in experimental trees block. After fruit set, the uniform fruits were tagged and bagging was done with skirting bags to 25 fruits of each tree as per the treatments. The bags were removed as per the treatment details. The fruits of these trees were harvested at 85% maturity. The observations on days required for maturity, average fruit weight, spotted fruits due to pest and disease incidence, incidence of stem end rot disease, occurrence of spongy tissue, days required for ripening, T. S. S. were recorded. The statistical analysis was performed as per the ANOVA suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1985).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data on influence of stage and period of bagging on fruit retention and maturity period in mango cv. Alphonso are given in Table 2. It is evident that the preharvest bagging with skirting bags on Alphonso mango fruits exhibited the significant effect on fruit retention and maturity. Fruit retention in all the bagging treatments was significantly improved over control. The pooled data for three years exhibit that, the maximum fruit retention was recorded in T₄ (89.44%) followed by T₆ (88.11%) and T₁ (86.89%). The lowest fruit retention was recorded in control (77.0%). The beneficial effect of bagging was also reported by Kireeti (2013).

The number of days required for maturity varied significantly due to different bagging treatments. The maximum days (104.56) required for harvesting were recorded in treatment T_3 (Bagging at marble stage and removing bags at 75 days after bagging) followed by treatment T_6 . In control treatment (T_7), fruits matured in 85.11 days. Weather parameters, especially temperature and relative humidity are the most important factors which are closely associated with fruit development. The fruit bagging changes the micro environment for fruit

development. The delay in maturity due to fruit bagging was reported earlier in Kesar mango (Shinde et al., 2014). The fruit weight was significantly increased due to bagging of fruits as compared to control (Table 3). The maximum fruit weight (232.53 g) was recorded in treatment T_3 (Bagging at marble stage and removing bags at 75 days after bagging) while rest of the bagging treatments were at par. The fruits in control treatment recorded 198.64 g weight. The bagging changes the microclimate around the fruit which possibly helpful for fruit size and weight improvement. The bagging enhanced the fruit weight in apple (Fallahi et al., 2001). The ripening of mango fruits were also significantly delayed in treated fruits. The fruits of treatment T_3 and T_6 ripened in 13.76 and 13.33 days, respectively (Table 3) and the unbagged fruits ripened in 9.79 days. The chemical changes during ripening of the fruits might be influenced by bagging. The increased shelf life of mango fruits was also reported by Shinde et al. (2014).

The data on percent spotted fruits and incidence of stem end rot disease are given in Table 4. The lowest spotted fruits due to pest and diseases (2.00%) were noticed in treatment T_3 followed by T_6 , T_2 , T_5 and T_1 . The percentage of spotted fruit was higher (17.78%) in unbagged (Control) fruits. The incidence of stem end rot disease was also noticed lowest (1.44%) in T_6 treatment (Bagging at egg stage and retaining bags till harvest) which was at par with T_3 . In treatments T_3 and T_6 , the period of bagging was higher as compare to rest of treatment which protects fruits for longer period at preharvest development stage. The bagging of fruits may act as barrier for disease infestation (fungal development) on fruit surface. Hofman *et al.* (1997) also reported that fruit bagging 100 days before harvest helped to reduce anthracnose and stem end rot diseases in Keitt mango.

The occurrence of spongy tissue was remarkably reduced in all the bagged fruits. It was lowest in the fruits of T_6 (2.78%) which was at par with T_3 (3.56%), and T_5 (3.78%) where fruits were not directly exposed to sunlight. The spongy tissue content recorded in control was highest (14.89%). There was low incidence in bagged fruits which might because to less exposure fruits to sunlight. The relationship of spongy tissue with atmospheric temperature was reported by Burondkar *et al.* (1994). T. S. S. (⁰B) of fruits in T_3 and T_6 treatments was also slightly improved over control.

Treatment	Stage and period of preharvest bagging
T_1	Bagging with skirting bags at marble stage and removing bags at 45 days after bagging.
T_2	Bagging with skirting bags at marble stage and removing bags at 60 days after bagging.
T ₃	Bagging with skirting bags at marble stage and removing bags at 75 days after bagging.
T_4	Bagging with skirting bags at egg stage and removing bags after 45 days
T ₅	Bagging with skirting bags at egg stage and removing bags after 60 days
T_6	Bagging with skirting bags at egg stage and retaining bags till harvest.
T ₇	Control (No bagging)

TABLE 2. Effect of Stage and period of preharvest bagging on fruit retention and maturity in mango cv. Alphonso (Pooled data from 2013-15)

Sr.	Treatments		0	ention (%		No. of days required for maturity			
No.		2013	2014	2015	Mean	2013	2014	2015	Mean
1.	T_1	86.67	87.00	87.00	86.89	96.67	96.67	92.00	95.11
2.	T_2	82.67	83.00	83.00	82.89	98.67	103.00	95.33	99.00
3.	T ₃	84.00	85.00	83.33	84.11	103.67	108.33	101.67	104.56
4.	T_4	89.33	89.66	89.33	89.44	92.67	94.33	91.00	92.67
5.	T ₅	80.00	79.67	80.33	80.00	95.67	95.67	95.00	95.45
6.	T_6	88.00	88.00	88.33	88.11	100.33	104.00	97.00	100.44
7.	T_7	76.00	77.00	78.00	77.00	87.33	85.33	82.67	85.11
	SE <u>+</u>	1.53	1.72	1.14	0.30	1.01	1.39	2.48	0.93
	CD at 5%	4.73	5.28	3.52	0.93	3.10	4.29	7.63	2.86

TABLE 3. Effect of Stage and period of preharvest bagging on fruit weight and ripening in mango cy. Alphonso (Pooled data from 2013-15)

	mango ev. Appionso (Pooled data from 2015-13)										
Sr.	Treatments		Weight	of fruit (g)	No. of days required for ripenin						
No.		2013	2014	2015	Mean	2013	2014	2015	Mean		
1.	T_1	208.03	209.33	213.33	210.23	10.7	11.0	11.3	10.99		
2.	T_2	211.47	216.00	214.33	213.93	11.7	11.3	13.0	11.99		
3.	T ₃	231.93	235.00	230.67	232.53	13.7	13.3	14.3	13.76		
4.	T_4	205.40	208.00	211.33	208.24	11.0	12.0	11.0	11.33		
5.	T ₅	207.73	213.33	210.33	210.46	11.3	12.3	12.7	12.11		
6.	T_6	215.57	217.67	211.67	214.97	13.0	13.3	13.7	13.33		
7.	T_7	198.6	195.00	202.33	198.64	9.7	9.7	10.0	9.79		
	SE <u>+</u>	5.01	3.42	4.79	1.60	0.63	0.47	0.51	0.26		
	CD at 5%	15.42	10.55	14.74	4.92	1.94	1.44	1.58	0.81		

TABLE 4. Effect of Stage and period of preharvest bagging on spotted fruits percentage and stem end rot incidence in
mango cy. Alphonso (Pooled data from 2013-15)

Sr.	Treatments		fruits (%)	Incide	Incidences of Stem end rot disease			
No.		2013	2014	2015	Mean	2013	2014	2015	Mean
1.	T_1	9.33	9.33	6.33	8.33	2.67	2.00	2.67	2.45
2.	T_2	7.33	5.67	6.00	6.33	2.67	2.67	3.33	2.89
3.	T ₃	3.33	1.33	1.33	2.00	0.00	3.33	1.67	1.67
4.	T_4	12.00	9.33	8.67	10.00	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.45
5.	T ₅	8.67	7.00	7.67	7.78	1.33	2.67	3.33	2.44
6.	T_6	6.00	5.67	4.33	5.33	1.33	2.00	1.00	1.44
7.	T_7	21.33	18	14	17.78	6.67	6.33	5.67	6.22
	SE <u>+</u>	2.24	1.15	1.41	0.72	3.32	1.00	1.22	0.46
	CD at 5%	6.92	3.53	4.42	2.20	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	1.41

Table 5. Effect of Stage and period of preharvest bagging on of occurrence of spongy tissue and T.S. S. in mango cv.
Alphonso (Pooled data from 2013-15)

Sr.	Treatments	Spo	ce (%)		T. S.	S. (⁰ B)			
No.		2013	2014	2015	Mean	2013	2014	2015	Mean
1.	T_1	11.67	12.33	11.00	11.67	18.9	19.3	19.8	19.32
2.	T_2	6.33	7.00	7.33	6.89	19.1	19.3	19.6	19.34
3.	T ₃	4.00	5.00	1.67	3.56	19.3	19.8	20.1	19.72
4.	T_4	4.33	3.33	5.00	4.22	19.2	19.3	19.8	19.43
5.	T ₅	4.00	1.33	6.00	3.78	18.9	19.1	19.7	19.24
6.	T ₆	2.33	2.67	3.33	2.78	19.5	19.8	19.9	19.72
7.	T ₇	17.67	14.00	13.00	14.89	18.9	19.3	19.7	19.29
	SE <u>+</u>	2.94	1.35	1.67	0.92	0.14	0.21	0.18	0.07
	CD at 5%	9.07	4.17	5.13	2.84	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	0.22

CONCLUSION

Thus, the investigation indicate that the bagging of Alphonso fruits with PP non woven fabric at marble and retaining up to 75 days or bagging at egg stage and retaining up to harvest improved fruit retention and fruit weight. It also reduced incidences of stem end rot, percentage of spotted fruits in Alphonso. However, the days required for harvesting were extended.

REFERENCES

Burondkar, M.M., Gunjate, R.T. and Govekar, M.A. (1994) Effect of Mulching and Mulch regulated soil and atmospheric temperature on occurrence of spongy tissue in Alphonso Mango. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.* **19** (3): 408-409.

Fallahi, E., Colt, W.M., Baird, C.R., Fallahi, B. and Chun, I.J. (2001) Influence of nitrogen and bagging on fruit quality and mineral concentration of 'BC-2 Fuji' apple. *Hort. Technology.* **11(3)** : 462-465.

Hofman, P.J., Smith, L.G. Joyce, D.C. and Johnson, G.I. (1997) Bagging of mango (*Mangifera indica* cv. `Keitt') fruit influences fruit quality and mineral composition. *Postharvest Biology.and Technology*. **12** (1): 83-91.

Kireeti Alawa (2013) Effect of bagging on physiochemical properties of mango Cv. Alphonso. M. Sc. Thesis. Dr. B.S.K.K.V., Dapoli (Unpub.).

Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. (1985) Statistical methods for agricultural workers, Pub ICAR, New Delhi. 145- 148.

Sharma, R.R., Reddy, S.V.R. and Jhalegar, M.J. (2014) Preharvest fruit bagging– A review. *J. of Horticulture Science and Biotechnology*. **89** (2) : 101-113.

Shinde, S.M., Haldankar, P.M., Parulekar, Y.R., Haldvanekar, P.C., Bhave, S.G., Godase, S.K. and Lawande, K.E. (2015) Effect of pre-harvest bagging with different type of bags on physic-chemical properties of mango Cv. Kesar. *Green farming*, **6** (4): 809-812.