

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED BIOLOGICAL RESEARCH

© 2004 - 2012 Society for Science and Nature (SFSN). All rights reserved

www.scienceandnature.org

## GAMMA-RAYS INDUCED MUTATIONS ON THE GROWTH ATTRIBUTES AND LEAF YIELD OF S<sub>34</sub> MULBERRY VARIETY *MORUS ALBA*

<sup>a</sup>Muniswamy Reddy, P.M. & <sup>b</sup>Munirajappa

<sup>a</sup>Central Tasar Research and Training Institute, Central Silk Board, Ministry of textiles, Piska Nagri Ranchi -835303, Jharkhand, India, <sup>b</sup>Moriculture Laboratory, Department of Studies in Sericulture, Bangalore University, Bangalore 560 056, India

#### ABSTRACT

 $S_{34}$  is a drought tolerant mulberry variety cultivated under rainfed condition. In order to increase the leaf yield and to improve the quality the hard wood stem cuttings of this taxon were irradiated with  $\gamma$ -rays (4kR, 5kR, 6kR, 7kR, 8kR, 9kR and 10kR). Growth attributes such as sprouting performance, rooting ability, survivability, yield and phytochemical components were recorded in the irradiated population. Comparable controls were also maintained. It is observed that the lower dosages of gamma - rays i.e., 4kR and 5kR were less effective in inducing variability. At higher dosages viz., 8kR, 9kR and 10kR deformities like delayed sprouting, poor rooting, weathering of inflorescence, stunted growth, plants with weak and feeble branches, plants bearing small leaves with wrinkled and coriacious texture were observed. On the other hand, at 6kR beneficial mutants were recorded. These variants exhibited superiority over the control in yield, phytochemical constituents and commercial characteristic features of the cocoon. The present study recommends the exploitation of these promising mutants of  $S_{34}$  for commercial exploitation.

**KEY WORDS:** Gamma irradiation, Diploid, S<sub>34</sub> mulberry, Chemo-assay, Bioassay

### **INTRODUCTION**

Mulberry plant (Morus alba) being cultivated widely for the culture of silkworm Bombyx mori L. Mulberry leaf is known to be rich in protein, starch and vitamins, helping the silkworm to meet its dietary requirements. The growth and development of silkworm is mainly depends on the nutrition composition of the food plant (Krishnaswamy et al., 1985). Many varieties of mulberry have been evolved and are being cultivated to enhance the silkworm growth performance and silk production. Efforts have been made to alter the genetic structure of the mulberry through conventional and mutation breeding techniques yielding valuable results. Mutation breeding employing radiation (X-rays, Gamma rays, Fast neutrons, etc.,) was initiated (Swaminathan, et al., 1963, Swaminathan, 1964; Hazama, 1967, 1968a, 1968b; Katagiri and Wada, 1971; Nakajima, 1973; Fujita, 1974; Kukimura, et al., 1975, Aliev, 1977) to explore the feasibility of developing new varieties of mulberry (Jayaramaiah and Munirajappa, 1987; Ramesh, 1997). Mutagenesis has been proved to be extremely useful in creating a new variability in the existing gene pool. Of late, genetically effective radiations have been widely used for induction of mutation in mulberry (Reddy, and Munirajappa, 2004; Kumar and Kumari, 2010, Udensi, et al., 2012). ). Gamma - rays induced diploids have shown all the symptoms such as larger leaf size, bigger leaf area, dark green colored leaves, delayed flowering, slower growth etc., when compared to control (Jayaramaiah and Munirajappa, 1987; Reddy, and Munirajappa, 2011,). The present work is an attempt to improve the yield traits in the existing cultivar  $S_{34}$  by the induction of beneficial mutation using gamma irradiation.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Hardwood stem cuttings of S<sub>34</sub> mulberry genotypes were selected from young and healthy bushes. Each cutting measuring about 6-8 inches in length half inch in diameter bearing 3-4 active vegetative buds was preferred cuttings were irradiated with 4kR 5kR, 6kR, 7kR, 8kR, 9kRand 10kR doses of gamma rays from a Co 60 gamma rays source at Kidwai Memorial Institute of Oncology Bangalore-560021. In each dose 20 cuttings in three replications were used. The irradiated cuttings were planted in polythene bags and maintained providing necessary agricultural inputs for their further response. Comparable controls (untreated cuttings) were also maintained. Growth and Morphological characters of saplings were observed included sprouting, rooting, survivability percentage, plant height, number of branches, length of the petiole, leaf area etc.,  $(R_1 \text{ generation})$ . Phytochemical constituents and commercial characteristic features of cocoons were analyzed by following standard procedures (R<sub>2</sub> generations).

#### RESULTS

The stem cuttings of this taxon irradiated with different doses (4kR to 10kR) of gamma rays showed varied responses in sprouting behaviour. In general, sprouting percentage ranged from 55.00% to 75.66%. Similarly, number of days taken for sprouting differed with different doses of radiation. In control, the cuttings took 9 days for sprouting with a sprouting percentage of 85%. In the present study, it is evident that root development, decreased in a linear trend with the increase of gamma irradiation dosage.

CD@ 5%	SEM	IUNIV	101-D	JVIV	a/10	ONIN	0,10		71,D	UNIV	0-17	JNN	2/0	460	a'l/	CONTROL	Control		Treatments	
5.570	2.597	$\pm 2.88$	55.00	$\pm 1.66$	61.66	$\pm 2.88$	65.00	$\pm 2.88$	70.00	$\pm 1.66$	73.33	$\pm 1.66$	71.66	$\pm 2.88$	75.00	$\pm 2.88$	85.00	(70)	3mnordo	Chrouting
9.063	4.225	$\pm 2.88$	45.00	$\pm 2.88$	50.00	$\pm 2.88$	60.00	$\pm 2.88$	65.00	$\pm 2.88$	85.00	$\pm 2.88$	70.00	$\pm 2.88$	75.00	$\pm 2.88$	80.00	(70)	(0/) Bundon	Pooting
8.987	4.190	$\pm 1.66$	48.33	$\pm 1.66$	51.66	$\pm 2.88$	55.00	$\pm 4.40$	56.66	$\pm 2.88$	60.00	$\pm 1.66$	63.33	$\pm 4.40$	73.33	$\pm 2.88$	80.00	(%)	ability	Surviv-
9.854	4.594	$\pm 2.48$	125.13	$\pm 2.34$	134.20	$\pm 0.92$	140.73	$\pm 3.59$	156.16	$\pm 2.86$	172.70	$\pm 5.62$	149.50	$\pm 2.96$	158.26	$\pm 2.00$	166.26	(cm)	the plant	Height of
2.549	1.188	$\pm 0.33$	4.33	$\pm 0.33$	4.66	$\pm 0.33$	4.66	$\pm 0.88$	5.66	$\pm 1.20$	6.33	$\pm 0.88$	5.66	$\pm 1.15$	6.00	$\pm 0.57$	5.00	Branches	of	Number
NS	0.160	$\pm 0.06$	3.83	$\pm 0.05$	3.84	$\pm 0.07$	3.79	$\pm 0.05$	3.83	$\pm 0.22$	3.12	$\pm 0.05$	3.54	$\pm 0.19$	3.37	$\pm 0.01$	3.71	(cm)	distance	Internodal
NS	0.279	$\pm 0.21$	3.63	$\pm 0.11$	3.74	$\pm 0.14$	3.83	$\pm 0.20$	3.30	$\pm 0.57$	3.10	$\pm 0.28$	3.63	$\pm 0.18$	3.33	$\pm 0.17$	3.46	(cm)	or of the second	Length
5.875	2.739	$\pm 0.64$	159.77	$\pm 0.98$	160.99	$\pm 0.27$	160.97	$\pm 0.49$	166.86	$\pm 5.15$	174.02	$\pm 0.62$	172.51	$\pm 0.34$	171.69	$\pm 3.78$	164.14	$(cm^2)$	area	Leaf
6.951	3.240	$\pm 1.52$	27.00	$\pm 2.18$	31.66	$\pm 2.64$	31.00	$\pm 1.45$	27.66	$\pm 2.84$	37.66	$\pm 3.21$	32.00	$\pm 1.45$	29.33	$\pm 1.76$	36.66	ence		Number of
0.458	0.213	$\pm 0.08$	2.44	$\pm 0.11$	2.54	$\pm 0.15$	2.65	$\pm 0.08$	2.52	$\pm 0.04$	2.92	$\pm 0.09$	2.80	$\pm 0.10$	2.80	$\pm 0.33$	3.12	cence (cm)	inflores-	Length of
8.236	3.840	± 5.87	45.86	$\pm 6.77$	48.81	$\pm 5.06$	54.42	± 7.65	57.88	± 5.72	66.39	$\pm 3.93$	72.16	$\pm 1.59$	78.36	$\pm 2.54$	82.20	(%)	fertility	Pollen

TABLE-1. Effect of gamma irradiation on propagation and growth attributes of  $S_{34}$  mulberry variety ( $R_1$  generation)

Treatments	Maturity	Protein	Reducing	Amino acid (µmoles/gf.	Chl - a	Chl - b	Total chlorophyll	Moisture content	Moisture r (%)	etention capacity
	OI IEAVES	(70)	sugar (70)	wt.)	(1118/BT.MT)	(IIII) (IIII) (IIII)	(mg/gf.wt)	(%)	6hrs	12hrs
	Tender	24.28	3.38	12.74	2.04	0.31	2.41	74.87	76.52	65.74
		$\pm 0.496$	$\pm 0.088$	$\pm 0.126$	$\pm 0.012$	$\pm 0.005$	$\pm 0.038$	$\pm 0.225$	$\pm 0.854$	$\pm 0.897$
	Medium	23.59	2.73	12.56	2.20	0.35	2.53	74.30	74.76	63.57
Control		$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 0.104$	$\pm 0.107$	$\pm 0.033$	$\pm 0.005$	$\pm 0.037$	$\pm 0.202$	$\pm 0.297$	$\pm 0.413$
	Coarse	22.40	2.66	11.98	1.92	0.26	2.17	70.66	67.09	57.51
		$\pm 0.006$	$\pm 0.143$	$\pm 0.124$	$\pm 0.045$	$\pm 0.005$	$\pm 0.034$	$\pm 0.722$	$\pm 0.420$	$\pm 1.178$
	Tender	25.49	4.29	13.35	2.26	0.41	2.59	76.09	77.28	66.14
		$\pm 0.527$	$\pm 0.156$	$\pm 0.031$	$\pm 0.005$	$\pm 0.005$	$\pm 0.061$	$\pm 0.120$	$\pm 0.279$	$\pm 0.470$
	Medium	24.26	4.31	12.89	2.21	0.39	2.59	74.67	75.64	63.99
Mutant		$\pm 0.13$	$\pm 0.011$	$\pm 0.074$	$\pm 0.052$	$\pm 0.003$	$\pm 0.023$	$\pm 0.025$	$\pm 0.337$	$\pm 0.192$
	Coarse	24.22	3.89	12.66	2.07	0.37	2.46	73.02	69.02	58.07
		$\pm 0.337$	$\pm 0.205$	$\pm 0.049$	$\pm 0.011$	$\pm 0.005$	$\pm 0.082$	$\pm 0.041$	$\pm 0.457$	$\pm 0.337$
SEM		0.356	0.120	0.096	0.028	0.005	0.044	0.339	0.379	0.583
CD 5%		NS	0.378	NS	0.088	0.018	0.409	0.068	NS	NS
TABLE-3.	Rearing effic	ciency of CH	3 silkworm ra	ce (PMxNB <sub>4</sub> D	2) fed with the	leaves of S <sub>34</sub> m	utant recovered	l at 6kR gamr	na irradiatior	$(R_2 \text{ generation})$
Treatments	Average larval we	Sing Sight cocc	gle S	ingle shell	Shell	Filament	Reelability	Renditta	Denier	Effective rate of
	(g)	wei	ght (g) V	/eigiit (g)	weigiit (70)	iengin (m)	(70)			rearing (20)
Control	3.26	1.67	0	.26	14.63	685.12	86.54	8.08	2.16	81.57
	$\pm 0.219$	± 0.	031 ±	0.088	$\pm 0.141$	$\pm 5.967$	$\pm 1.115$	$\pm 0.023$	$\pm 0.028$	$\pm 1.412$
Mutant	3.38	1.80	0	.29	15.53	757.94	94.49	7.81	2.20	93.03
IVIULAIIL	$\pm 0.050$	$\pm 0.$	021 ±	0.058	$\pm 0.155$	$\pm 17.789$	$\pm 1.119$	$\pm 0.380$	$\pm 0.036$	$\pm 1.962$
SEM	0.072	0.03	0 6	.008	0.269	15.186	0.932	0.391	0.060	2.800
CD@5%	NS	0.17	2 0	.037	1.157	65.342	4.011	NS	SN	12.048

T
P
BI
È
2
Ph
¥
8
he
Ξ.
ca
10
ĝ
Ist
Ē
en
Its
of
Ś
34 ]
B
Ш
ĕŗ
ry
Ш
ut
an
fr
č
VQ VQ
ere
ď
at
6k
P
ga
B
m
1
па
d.
ati.
on
$\overline{\mathbf{T}}$
3
ge
ne
ra
tio
Ď

Control plants showed 80.00% rooting which is the optimum value required for the commercial exploitation of mulberry genotypes. Rooting percentage varied from 75.00% to 45.00% in different doses of gamma irradiation. Cuttings irradiated with 4kR and 5kR gamma rays showed slightly decreased rooting ability when compared to control. Further, cuttings, which are administered with 6kR gamma rays revealed better rooting ability (85.00%). Saplings recovered from the cuttings irradiated at 6kR gamma rays showed extensive root system both in spread and depth when compared to control. In the irradiated populations, survival percentage ranged from 73.33% to 48.33% when compared to control (80.00%). At higher doses (7kR to 10kR), this genotype exhibited poor growth with feeble and weak branches. On the other hand, reduction in survivability was not significant at lower doses of gamma rays (4kR to 6kR). Survival percentage was maximum at 4kR (73.33%) and minimum at 10kR (48.33%). LD<sub>50</sub> for this taxon was found to be around 9kRsince the survivability percentage was 51.66% (Table-1).



**FIGURE** 1- 4: 1. Control plant, 2. Mutant plant (6kR), 3 & 4 cuttings irradiated at 9kR and 10kR Gamma irradiation.

Plant height and leaf area was found to be decreased with increase in dose of gamma rays showing negative correlation. The marginal reduction in plant height, stunted growth, deformities in growth like fasciation, plant with weak and feeble branches, plants bearing small leaves with wrinkled and coriacious texture were noticed in the populations of cuttings irradiated at 9kR and 10kR. However, some of the populations irradiated with 6kR gamma rays exhibited increased plant (172.70cm) height

when compared to control (166.26cm). These variants also showed increasing leaf area with thick, succulent and dark green leaves (Fig-1). Significant results were noticed with respect to internodal distance, many irradiated saplings revealed decreased internodal distance when compared to control (Table-1).

No significant variation was observed in length of the inflorescence at any of the treatments. Inflorescence at higher dosages did not attain maturity, often-deformed inflorescence was observed. Pollen fertility lowered which gradually decreased with increased dosage of gamma rays. Total foliage yield per plant and average weight of leaves (100Nos) was greater (383.44g) when compared to control (343.46g). Initially, the average yield determined to be 0.611kg/bush compared to 0.548kg in the control counterparts in the R<sub>2</sub> generation.

#### **Phytochemical Studies**

Protein, reducing sugars, amino acids, chlorophyll-a, chlorophyll-b and total chlorophyll were estimated in the mutants grown in the  $R_2$  generation. In the mutant, the amount of proteins in tender, medium and coarse leaves were 25.49%, 24.26% and 24.22% respectively which are higher than the protein percentage in the control (24.28%, 23.59 % and 22.40 %) (Table-2). Amount of reducing sugar present in tender medium and coarse leaves of induced mutant were 4.29 %, 4.31 % and 3.89 % respectively which are higher than the control (Table-2).

Amino acid content was estimated to be 13.36µ moles/gf.wt., 12.89µ moles/ gf.wt. and 12.66µ moles/gf.wt. respectively in tender, medium and coarse leaves of induced mutants as against the values 12.74µ moles/ gf.wt., 12.56µ moles/ gf.wt. and 11.98 µmoles/g f.wt.found in control (Table- 2). Chlorophyll-a was found to be 2.26, 2.21 and 2.07mg/gf.wt. respectively in tender, medium and coarse leaves of induced mutants compared to 2.04, 2.20 and 1.92mg/gf.wt. for the control. Chlorophyll-b and total chlorophyll were also found to be higher in induced mutants compared to control plants of S<sub>34</sub> mulberry variety (Table-2). Moisture percentage was found to be slightly higher in mutant with 76.09%, 74.67% and 73.02% in tender, medium and coarse leaves respectively as against 74.87%, 74.30% and 70.66% of the control. Similarly, moisture retention capacity at 6 hrs and 12 hrs of mutant was also found to be higher than the control (Table-2).

Various commercial characteristics like ERR, Larval weight, Cocoon weight, Shell weight, Shell weight percentage, Filament length, Reelability percentage, Renditta and Denier were recorded. Larvae fed with the leaves of induced mutants showed an average weight of 3.38gms, which was higher than control (3.26gms). Average cocoon and shell weight were found to be 1.80gms and 0.29gms respectively in case of mutants compared to control (1.67gms and 0.26gms). Higher shell weight percentage was also encountered in the mutants. Similarly filament length was found to be was higher in the mutants (757.94m) compared to control (685.125m). Renditta and Denier were also found to be better in the leaves of induced mutants of  $S_{34}$  mulberry variety. (Table-3).

#### DISCUSSION

Gamma irradiation studies reveled that the lower dosages (4kR & 5kR), in general were less productive in the induction of mutations whereas at the higher dosages (8kR, 9kR & 10kR) deformity and inhibition of growth leading to semi lethality to complete lethality were observed. The morphological leaf mutants like oblong leaf with wrinkled lamina, variants with slender branches bearing small deformed leaves and mutants with increased lamina (Broad leaves) were secured in irradiated populations. Similar findings have been reported in large number of mulberry genotypes obtained through irradiation by several workers (Gehan, G. Mostafa. 2011; Luvaha et al., 2008; Imran Kozgar et al., 2011; Katagiri, 1970; Tarar, 1970; Kuchkarov and Ogurtrou, 1987; Dandin et al., 1996; Sawhney, et al., 1977). On the other hand, the moderate dosage like 6kR was found to be fruitful in the induction of beneficial mutants. Hazama et al., (1968) studied the varietal defences to radio sensitivity and bud mutations of mulberry trees in gamma irradiation populations and found the inhibition of height and branching pattern. Similar results have also been reported by Rao et al., (1984), Jayaramaiah (1987) and Ramesh (1997) in S<sub>5</sub>, S<sub>54</sub> and local mulberry varieties due to the effect of irradiation. Katagiri (1976a) reported deformation in leaf and inhibition of growth at higher doses of gamma rays in mulberry variety Ichinose. Induced beneficial mutants of S<sub>34</sub> variety exhibited constructive improved trend in the amount of protein, reducing sugar, amino acid and chlorophyll content which is important from the dietary point of silkworm (Ito and Arni, 1963, Ito and Nimura, 1966). Protein in the main constituent of mulberry leaf which plays a vital role in the development of silk gland (Anfinsen, et al., 1958; Fukuda, et al., 1959; Qader, 1987; Bongale, et al., 1993, 1995; Bose, et al; 1991). It has been proved that the silkworm derives about 70% of proteins from the mulberry leaves for the biosynthesis of silk (Fukuda, et al., 1956; Kaware, 1975). Amino acid and reducing sugar are also required for the synthesis of fibroin and sericin (Vijayan, et al., 1997; Dorcus and Vivekanandan, 1997; Sastry, et al; 1988; Muniswamy Reddy and Munirajappa, 2005). Effect of moisture content on silkworm rearing is well documented (Ito, 1963). Low leaf moisture is known to affect the growth and development of silkworm larvae.

The effective rate of rearing, larval weight, cocoon weight, shell weight, shell percentage and silk reeled (average filament length, renditta, denier, reelability percentage) data related to worked out the beneficial mutant mulberry leaves fed with the cross breed silkworm larvae  $(PM \times NB_4D_2)$ . The mean values for these parameters with regard to both the beneficial mutants compared to their respective control counterparts were found to be in the humanizing trend reflecting the superiority of mutants obtained in the present investigation. The percentage of improvement recorded in the larval weight (6.90%) filament length (8.90%) reelability (7.02%) renditta (7.45%) denier (6.54%) and effective rate of rearing (11.01%) with regard to mutants recovered from  $S_{34}$ mulberry variety. The present study clearly establishes the domination of the induced mutants secured in the present work. Comparable results have also been reported by the

earlier workers (Sastry, *et al.*, 1969, Mastfaev, 1968, 1970, 1971; Badalov, 1971; Das and Prasad, 1974; Sikdar, 1993; Bongale, Chaluvachari, 1995; Ramesh, 1997, 2001 and Chopra, 2005).

#### REFERENCES

Aliev, M.O. (1977) Use of chemical mutagens combined with hybridization of mulberry forms differing in ploidy (in Russian). Plant Breed. Abstr., 49 (1979): No. 5129.

Anfinsen, J.R., Anson, C.B., Kenneth Bailey, M.L., Edsaii, M.L. and John, T. (1958) Biosynthesis and spinning of silk proteins. Advances in protein chemistry, Vol. **13**: 116-118.

Badalov, N.G. (1971) Result of testing the triploid mulberry Khanalar-tut for silkworm feeding in spring, summer and autumn. Referativnyi Zhurnal, Bongale, U.D. and Chaluvachari. 1995. Evaluation of eight mulberry Germplasm variety by leaf biochemical and bioassay moulting studies. Sericologia, Vol. **35** (1): 83-94.

Bongale, U.D., Chaluvachari., Lingaiah., Rao, B.V.N. and Mallikarjunappa, R.S. (1993) Leaf quality evaluation of mulberry gardens in Karnataka. Trends in Life Sciences (India). Vol. 8 (1): 33-37.

Bose, P. C., Majumdar, S. K. and Sengupta, K. (1991) A comparative biochemical study of six mulberry *(Morus alba* L.) varieties. Indian J. Seric., Vol. **30** (1): 83-87.

Chopra, V. L. (2005) Mutagenesis: Investigating the process and processing the outcome for crop improvement; *Curr. Sci.*, VOL. **89**, NO. 2, 25: 353-359.

Das, B. C. and Prasad, D. N. (1974) Evaluation of some tetraploid and triploid mulberry varieties through chemical analysis and feeding experiments. Indian J. Seric., Vol. **13**: 17-22.

Dorcus, D. and Vivekanandan, M. (1997) Exploitation of mulberry genotypes for drought tolerance potential. J. Seric. Sci. Jpn., Vol. **66**(2): 71-80.

Fujita, H. (1974) Induced dieback disease resistant bud mutations by gamma irradiation in mulberry. Mutant. Breed. Newsl., Vol. 4 : 6.

Fukuda, T., Sudo, M., Matuda, M., Hayashi, T., Kurose, T., Horiuchi, Y. and Mareen Florrin (1959) Formation of silk protein during the growth of the silkworm larvae. *Bombyx mori L*. Proc. 4<sup>th</sup> Ant. Cong. Biochemistry, Vol. **12:** 90-112.

Gehan, G. Mostafa (2011) Effect of sodium Azide on the Growth and variability Induction in *Helianthus annuus* L. International Journal of Plant Breeding and Genetics; Vol. 5 (1): 76 -85.

Hazama, K. (1967) On the useful mutants of mulberry induced by radiations. In Proc. 8<sup>th</sup> Jpn. Conf. Radioisot., pp. 415-417.

Hazama, K. (1968a) Breeding of mulberry tree. J.A.R.Q., Vol. **3**: 15-1 9.

Hazama, K. (1968b) Adaptability of mutant in mulberry tree. Gamma Field Symp., Vol. 7: 79-85.

Imran Kozgar, M. Sonu Goyal and Samiullah Khan (2011) EMS Induced Mutational Variability in Vigna radiata and Vigna mungo. Research Journal of Botany, Vol. 6 (1):31-37.

Ito, J.A. and Nimura (1966) Referred in: the silkworm; an important labortary tool. Ed. By Tazima, Y. 1978. Kodensha Ltd., Tokyo.

Ito, T. and Arai, N. (1963) A food value of mulberry leaves for the silkworm, *Bombyx moril*. Determined by means of artificial diets. 1. Relationship between kinds of mulberry leaves and larval growth. Bull. Seric. Expt. Stn. Jpn., Vol. **18** 117-120.

Jayaramaiah, V.C. and Munirajappa (1987) Induction of mutations in mulberry variety 'Mysore Local' by gamma-irradiation. Sericologia, Vol. **27** (2): 199-204.

Katagiri, K. (1976a) Radiation damage and induced tetraploidy in mulberry *Morus alba* L. Environ. Exp. Bot., Vol. **16** (2/3): 119-130.

Katagiri, K. and Wada, M. (1971) Induction of bud mutation resistant to stem blight disease in mulberry by chronic gamma-irradiation. Jpn J. Breed., Vol. **12**:156.

Kawase (1975) In: Text book of tropical sericulture. Japan overseas co-operation volunteers, Tokyo: 155.

Kukimura, H., Ikeda, F., Fujita, H., Maeta, T., Nakajima, K., Nakahira, K. and Somegou, M. 1975, 1976. Genetical, cytological and physiological studies on the induced mutants with special regard to effective methods for obtaining useful mutants in perennial woody plants. I. In: Improvement of vegetatively propagated plants through induced mutations, Tokai, IAEA, Vienna, pp. 83-104.

Kumar, R. and Mani, S.C. (1997) Chemical mutagenesis in Manhar variety of raice (Oryza sativa L.). Indian J. Genet., Vol. **57**: 120-126.

Luvaha, L. Netondo, G.W. and Ouma (2008) Effect of water deficit on the physiologicaland morphological characteristics of Moago (*Mangifera indica*) Rootstock seedlings. American journal of Plant Physiology; Vol. **3**. No. 1: 1-15.

Machaiah, J.D. and Vakil, U.K. (1979) The effect of gamma in the formulation of  $\alpha$ -amylase isoenzyme in germinating wheat. Envir Exp Bot., Vol. **19**:337-348.

Muniswamy Reddy P.M. and Munirajappa (2004) Induction of genetic variability in diploid mulberry genotype  $S_{34}$ . *The nucleus* Vol 47 (1, 2):52-54.

Muniswamy Reddy P.M. and Munirajappa (2011) Impact of induced mutations on the growth performance and yield of  $S_{13}$  mulberry variety using Gamma-Rays International Journal of Science and Nature ;VOL. 2(3) :467-472

Muniswamy Reddy P.M. and Munirajappa (2005) Electrophorotic studies in induced mutants of diploid mulberry genotype S<sub>13</sub>. Indian Journal of Biotechnology Vol. 4 July; pp: 422-423.

Mustafaev, A.S. (1970) Biological and economic properties of triploid forms of mulberry. Polypioidy U. Sheikovitsy, Moscow: 30-35.

Mustafev, A.S. (1968) Study on the effect of leaf quality of experimentally obtained triploid mulberry forms on the biological indices of Chinese silkworm. Doki. Akad. Nank. Az. SSR, Vol. **22** (4): 49-54.

Mustafev, A.S. (1971) Biological and economic properties of triploid form of mulberry. Referativnyi. Zhurnal, Vol. 6 (55): 526.

Nakajima, K. (1973) Induction of useful mutation in mulberry by gamma rays. In induced mutation in vegetatively propagated plants. IAEA, Vienna: 105-117.

Patel, J.D. and Shah, J.J. (1974) Effect of gamma irradiation on seed germination and organization of shoot apex in *Solanum melongena* and *Capsicum annuum* Phytomorophology, Vol. **24**:174-180.

Pradeep Kumar, S and Ranjitha Kumari. B.D. (2010) Effect of Amino Acids and Growth Regulators on Indirect Organogenesis in *Artemisia vulgaris* L. Asian journal of Biotechnology, Vol. 2 (1): 37-45.

Ramesh, H.L and Munirajappa (2001) Colchicine-induced variability in mulberry variety kosen. Bull. Ind. Acad. Seri., Vol. **5** (2): 34-41.

Ramesh, H.L. (1997) Induction of variability for important morpho-economic traits in mulberry. Ph.D., thesis, Bangalore University, Bangalore.

Reddy,K.J.M and Vidyavathi (1985) Effect of sumithion on the germination, growth, chromosomal aberration and the enzyme amylase of *Dolichos biflorus* L. J. Indian Bot. Soc.., Vol. **64**:88-92.

Sastry, C.R., Venkataramu, C. and Azeez Khan (1969) Induced tetraploid of an improved strain, Kanva-2 of mulberry (*Morus alba* L.) Silkworm. Inf. Bull., Vol. **1** (1): 95-99.

Sastry. C.R., Jolly, M.S., Subramanyan, M.R. and Madhav Rao, Y.R. (1988) Studies on the varietal differences in the loss of moisture from harvested mulberry leaves. Indian J. Seric., Vol. **27**: 85-90.

Sawhney, R. N., Chopra, V. L. Rajinder Kumar and Mohindroo, H. R., 1977. Radiation induced amber-grained mutants in variety Tonari 71 of wheat. *Curr. Sci.*, **46**, 317–318.

Selim, A.P., Hussein, H.A.S. and Kishawaf, I I S (1974) EMS and gamma ray induced mutation in *Pisum sativum* L. II Effect of EMS and gamma rays on  $M_1$  generation seedling height and fertility. Egyp J. genet Cytol., Vol. **3** :172-192.

Sikdar, A.K. (1993) Chemo and Bio-assay of high yielding triploids and diploids of mulberry *(Morus* spp.). Indian J. Seric., Vol. **32** (2): 169-174.

Swaminathan, M. S., Jagathesan, D. and Chopra, V. L., 1963. Induced sphaerococcum mutations in *Triticum aestivum* and their phylogenetic and breeding significance. *Curr. Sci.*, VOL. **32**, 539–540.

Swaminathan, M. S. (1964) Biological effects of neutron irradiations. *Curr. Sci.*, Vol. **33**: 299–300.

Tork, S. Hegazy, W.K. El-kawokgv, T.M.A and El-Gebaly, O.G.A. (2009) Induction of Thermotolerance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Strain(s) using Different Mutation Methods. Asian journal of Biotechnology, Vol. 1 (1): 29-36.

Udensi, O. Edu, E.A. Ikpeme, E.V. Ebiwgai, J.K. and Ekpe, D.E. (2012) Biometrical Evaluation and Yield performance Assessment of Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata

(L) Walp) Landraces Growth under lowland Tropical Conditions. International Journal of Plant Breeding and Genetics; Vol. 6 (1): 47-53.

Vijayan, K., Raghunath, M.K., Das, K.K., Tikadar, S.P., Chakraborti, B.N. Roy. and Qadri, S.M.H. (1997) Studies on leaf moisture of mulberry germplasm varieties. Indian J. Seric., Vol. **36** (2): 155-157.