

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED BIOLOGICAL RESEARCH

© 2004-2013 Society For Science and Nature (SFSN). All Rights Reserved.

www.scienceandnature.org

INTERACTION OF *METARHIZIUM ANISOP*LIAE AND *ACALYPHA ALNIFOLIA* ON THE MOSQUITOCIDAL AND IGR ACTIVITY OF DENGUE VECTOR, *AEDES AEGYPTI* (L.) (CULICIDAE: DIPTERA: INSECTA)

^aKamalakannan, S. & ^bGopinath, C.

^aDivision of Entomology, Department of Zoology, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore -641 046, India ^bDepartment of Biotechnology, School of life Sciences, Barathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli-620024, TN, India.

ABSTRACT

In the mortality assessment of laboratory concern there was 98% larval (I & II instar) mortality was recorded at 10% concentration of *Acalypha alnifolia* on 24hrs duration. The % values of LC_{50} (LC_{90}) are 5.69 (9.23) in first instar and 6.00 (9.35) in second instar stages. The 3rd and 4th instar larval mortality was 94 and 92% and the % of LC_{50} (LC_{90}) values are significant in the 3rd and 4th instar larval mortality. *M. anisopliae* treatment affect the larval survival and movement, after the treatment there was 94,90,85 and 82% mortality was noted in the I,II,III and IV instar stages. The % of LC_{50} (LC_{90}) values are 7.96 (11.75) in 3rd and 8.42 (12.38) in 4th instar stages. In our combined study of plant and fungal metabolites, the % of larval mortality was 74,82,90,98 and 100 at I, II, III and IV larval instars, respectively. The % of LC_{50} (LC_{90}) values of 12.96(26.20) at 5x10⁶ conidia/ml + 1% (fungi with plant) concentration and it was increased LC_{50} (LC_{90}) values of 12.96(26.20) at 5x10⁶ conidia/ml + 5% concentration. Higher larvicidal mortality was noted in the combined treatment then in the individual treatment. Both fungi and plant are interacting with each other and control *Aedes aegypti* larvae very effectively. Similarly, we conduct the effect of *A. alnifolia* on IGR activity of *A.aegypti*. The IGR activity significantly reduced the total life span. The emergency of larval, pupal and adult duration was extended in the experiment. Finally we concluded that plant and microbial combination was greater significant role to reduced the mosquito population have potential for biological control of dengue vector, *Aedes aegypti*.

KEYWORDS: Acalypha alnifolia. Metarhizium anisopliae. Aedes aegypti. IGR. Mortality

INTRODUCTION

Chemical measures in public health programs were initially considered likely to decrease mosquito populations, but these have failed because the constant use of chemical insecticides has often led to disruption of natural biological control system and outbreaks of insect species ((Brown, 1986; Lee et al., 2001). Moreover, problems created by using synthetic insecticide include the development of mosquito resistance, environmental pollutions and undesirable effects on humans, mammals and other non target organisms (Brown, 1986; Lee et al., 2001). In an attempt to resolve these problems, attention to insecticide of natural origin, particularly plant derived products, has been recently revived. A considerable number of studies have emphasized the research and development of herbal substances for controlling mosquitoes (Sukumar et al., 1991; Jayabalan et al., 2003; Kamalakannan et al., 2010). Mosquitoes are pestiferous insects, which are responsible for the transmission of various dreadful diseases like malaria, filariasis, dengue and yellow fever, Japanese Encephalitis etc. Culex quinquefasciatus commonly known as the domestic mosquito is an obligatory ecto-parasitic vector. It plays a major role in the transmission of filariasis disease, which is transmitted by nematode parasites, Wuchereria bancrofti. The Anopheles species transmits the four parasites (Plasmodium falciparum, Plasmodium vivax, Plasmodium malaria and Plasmodium ovale). Dengue is spread by the bite of Aedes aegypti, mosquito. Dengue viruses occur in most tropical areas of the world (Kamalakannan et al., 2010). Phytochemicals derived from various botanical sources (The most promising botanical groups are Meliaceae, Rutaceae, Asteraceae, Annonaceae, Labiatae, Aristolochiaceae and Malvaceae) (Regnault-Roger, 1997) have provided numerous beneficial uses ranging from pharmaceuticals to insecticides (Kamalakannan et al., 2010). The phytochemicals derived from plants sources, which is not only act as larvicidal activity and also involved many biological activity. Neem products have multiple effects in insects such as antifeedant, growth regulation, fecundity, suppression and sterilization, oviposition, repellency or attractancy and changes in biological fitness. Neem contains only traces of azadirachtin but compounds like salanin, nimbin, meliantriol etc are also present in neem, which are useful in the control of same insect species (Murugan et al., 2007). Similarly, Acalypha alnifolia is a tribal (Irulas) plant and mainly used for adult mosquito control by burning of dried plant as a smoke. Biological control at the larval stages of development of mosquitoes is one of the techniques which afford a cheap, easy to use and environmental friendly method of mosquito control. Natural insecticides are phytotoxic and do not accumulate chemical residue in the flora, fauna and soil. Phytochemicals with mosquito larval activity occurs in the oil, leaves and roots of plants (Sosan et al., 2001). Many

biological control agents have been evaluated against larval stages of mosquitoes, of which the most successful ones (Fillinger et al., 2003). Similarly, entomopathogenic fungi are considered excellent candidates for biopesticides due to their safety, relatively limited host range, ease of production and suitability of large scale production (Ferron 1978; Kamalakannan et al., 2008). Following successful use of an entomopathogenic fungus mosquito larvae and adult of the malarial, filarial and dengue vector. Metarhizium is being considered as a potential biological control agent since the species is pathogenic for the larvae of several tree hole breeding mosquito species. However, the large does required for the significant mortality rates of mosquito larvae was not considered practical (Pinnock et al., 1973; Chapman, 1974). Entomopathogenic fungi play an important role in the regulation of insect population. There is a diverse array of fungal insect pathogenic species from within the four different classes. Adaptations range from obligate pathogens of specific insect species to generalists capable of infecting many hosts species to species that are facultative pathogens. The early attempts in using fungi as microbial control agents of pest insects were soon overshadowed by the development of chemical insecticides. It was not until the late 1950s that attempts to use entomopathogenic fungi for insect pest management resurfaced. To date, there are many commercial products available worldwide, based on less than 10 fungal species (Shah and Goettel 1999; Copping 2001). Hence, in the present study to evaluate the fungal pathogen combined with plant compound was more significant mortality of A. aegypti species of mosquitoes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The *Metarhizium anisopliae var. anisopliae* (Metsch.) Sorokin sample were obtained from T- Stenes & Company, Research and Development (R&D) Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India. The *Acalypha alnifolia* Klien ex Willd collected form Kallar Biosphere reserve forest station; Coimbatore and it were botanically identified at Botanical Survey of India, Coimbatore, India. **Mosquito larval and pupal rearing and adult maintenance**

The eggs of *Aedes aegypti* were collected from the local (in and around Bharathiar University Campus, Coimbatore) area with the help of "O" type brush. These eggs were kept to the laboratory and transfer to 18x 13x4cm size enamel trays containing 500ml of water and kept for larval hatching in the laboratory condition and it for cultured. The mosquito larval culture maintained in our laboratory at 27+2 °C, 75 - 85% RH, Under 14L : 10D Photoperiod cycles. The larvae will be fed with dog biscuits and yeast at 3: 1 ratio. The feeding was continuing till the larvae transformed into the pupal stage.

The pupae will be collected from the culture trays and transferred to plastic containers (12x12 cm) containing 500 ml of water with the help of a dipper. The plastic jars will be kept in 90 x 90 cm size mosquito cage for adult emergence. The cage made up of wooden frames and

covered with polythene sheets on four sides (two laterals, one back and other one upper) and the front part covered with a muslin cloth. The bottom of the cage fitted with 10% sugar solution for a period of three days before they will be provided an animal for blood feeding. The adult female mosquitoes are allowed to feed on the blood of a rabbit (showed on the dorsal side) for two days, to ensure adequate blood feeding for 5 days. After blood feeding enamel trays with water from the culture trays will be placed in the cage for the adults to lay eggs.

Larvicidal Bioassay (Individual and combined)

Excised Plant parts were washed with tap water and shade dried at Room Temperature. The help of an Electrical mixie powdered the dried Plant parts. From each sample $\frac{1}{2}$ Kg of the powdered materials was extracted with Methanol using the apparatus (Vogel, 1978). The solvent was to evaporate through by rotary vacuum evaporator.

To make 1% Concentration, one gram of plant residue dissolved in 100 ml of methanol from the stock the desirable concentration was prepared. To make 1% one gram of sample was dissolved in 100 ml of distilled water. Leaves of the various plants were collected during the flowering season (January to April) of the plant, shade - dried and finely ground. The finely ground plant material was extracted with petroleum ether (Boiling point range 60-80°C) and methanol by standard method of extraction (Sujatha *et al.*, 1988). The residue was then made into a 1% stock solution with acetone. The stock solutions for various test concentrations were prepared and one ml of the sock solution was added to 249 ml of tap water in a 500 ml enamel bowl.

Larvicidal activities of the methanolic extracts were determined by following the standard procedure (WHO, 1975). 50 early fourth instars larvae and 50 pupae of vector of Aedes aegypti (obtained from the cyclic colony that has been maintained for the past 6 month at the laboratory were transferred to 249 ml of tap water taken in 500 ml bowls . Five replicates were set up for each test concentration and the plant extract was tested at concentrations ranging from (2 to 10% of A. alnifolia concentration and 1×10^6 to 5×10^6 conidia/ml of M. anisopliae) with two replicates of control with addition of 1 ml acetone alone to 249 ml of tap water. Bioassav was conducted at room temperature $27 \pm 3^{\circ}C$ with 85%relative humidity. In the case of experiment for determining pupicidal activity, the mouth of each bowl containing pupae was covered with muslin cloth to prevent the escape of any emerged adult mosquitoes. Mortality in larvae / pupae was recorded 24 h post - treatment.

The control mortality was corrected by using Abbott's formula (Abbott's, 1925)

Corrected Mortality = Observed mortality in treatment - Observed Mortality in control / 100 – Control Mortality X 100

Percentage of Mortality = Number of dead larvae / Number of larvae Introduced X 100

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$1 \times 10^{6} + 1$ $2 \times 10^{6} + 2$ $3 \times 10^{6} + 3$ $4 \times 10^{6} + 4$ $5 \times 10^{6} + 5$	LCL – Lower different at 5 Conc. (% + conidia/ml) (fungi + plant)	Instars	Instars I I II IV
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$0^{6} + 1$ $0^{6} + 2$ $0^{6} + 3$ $0^{6} + 4$	nt at 5% nt at 5% nc. 5 + 5 + a/ml) a/ml)		$\frac{2}{3^{ab}}$
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	5^{e} 11 ^d 19 ^c 25 ^b 33 ^a	5 level b TAB	No. of $\frac{2}{5^{ab}}$	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	15^{e} 19^{d} 25^{c} 30^{b} 40^{a}	ntial Lim y DMRT LE 3. (LE 3. (3 rd (3 rd)	$\frac{\text{Flarvae}:}{\text{Flarvae}:}$ $\frac{\text{Flarvae}:}{5\times10}$ $\frac{3}{18^{b}}$ 18^{b}	rvae 50 $\frac{1}{1 \operatorname{rs}(24h)}$
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	22 ^e 27 ^d 32 ^c 39 ^b 48 ^a	rvae 50 8		$(Death / (\%)) \\ \hline (\%)) \\ (\%)) \\ \hline (\%)) \\ \hline (\%)) \\ \hline (\%)) \\ (\%)) \\ \hline (\%)) \\ $
	${30^{ m de}}\over{33^{ m d}}$ ${40^{ m c}}^{ m d}$ ${44^{ m b}}^{ m 50^{ m a}}$	Upper Square v. <u>ned larv</u> (Death / instars)	$\frac{\text{ABLE 2}}{\text{th}}$	$\frac{10}{47^{ab}}$
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	_	Confidential Lim alue significant at <u>icidal effect of A</u> Hrs) % of 24	2. Laboratory ev. % of Mortalin (Mean ± SE 90 (19± 0.28 85 (16.4± 0.3 82 (14.6± 0.3	% of Mortality/ (Mean ± SE) 98 (26.4±0.24) 97 (25±0.26) 94 (22±0.25) 94 (22±0.25) 92 (18.2±0.29) 1- Upper Confide evel by DMRT_0
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21.8±0.19) 26.2±0.18) 32.2±0.18) 37.4±0.23) 44.2±0.25)	it, S.E: Standard :P< 0.05 level. <u><i>A. anisopliae</i> a</u> ? Mortality/ ean ± SE)	$\frac{\text{aluation of } M_{}}{\text{gluation of } M_{}}$ $\frac{\text{aluation of } M_{}}{\text{yl}} Value$ $\frac{1}{\text{yl}} LC_{50}$ $\frac{1}{\text{yl}} 7.00 (1)$ $\frac{1}{\text{yl}} 7.33 (1)$ $\frac{1}{\text{yl}} 7.96 (1)$ $\frac{1}{2} 8.42 (1)$	% Value c LC ₅₀ L0 5.69 (9.2 6.00 (9.3 6.05 (10.3 7.47 (11.1 mital Limit, S.E: Thi Scuare value
$ \begin{array}{c c} Sy_0 \ confidential \ Limit \\ CL \\ CL \\ CL \\ (LC_{90}) \ LC_{50}(LC_{90}) \ X \\ (V) \\ (R.47) \ 6.20 \ (10.31) \ 0.3613 \ (-2.0562) \\ (9.51) \ 7.21 \ (11.63) \ 0.3822 \ (-2.2943) \\ (9.51) \ 7.21 \ (11.63) \ 0.3442 \ (-2.2906) \\ (10.15) \ 8.06 \ (12.42) \ 0.3566 \ (-2.6654) \ 1 \\ (-Within the column means \ (\pm S.E) \ followed by the same lett \\ 95\% \ confidential \ Limit \\ P5\% \ confidential \ Limit \\ CL \\ C_{50}(LC_{90}) \ LC_{50}(LC_{90}) \ X \\ (Y) \\ (10.18) \ 7.94 \ (12.54) \ 0.3514 \ (-2.4628) \\ 82 \ (11.21) \ 9.19 \ (14.25) \ 0.3275 \ (-2.4765) \\ 44 \ (7.78) \ 7.57 \ (11.92) \ 0.3514 \ (-2.4628) \\ 82 \ (11.21) \ 9.19 \ (14.25) \ 0.3375 \ (-2.4765) \\ 42 \ (10.72) \ 8.62 \ (13.65) \ 0.3375 \ (-2.4765) \\ 42 \ (12.12) \ 9.19 \ (14.25) \ 0.3242 \ (-2.7328) \\ 10 \ confidential \ Coeff. \\ LCL \ UCL \ C_{50}(LC_{90}) \ LC_{50}(LC_{90}) \ X \ Y \\ \\ \hline \ LC_{50}(LC_{90}) \ LC_{50}(LC_{90}) \ X \ Y \\ \hline \ S5 \ (20.50) \ 18.53 \ (42.31) \ 0.096 \ (-1.284) \\ 9.05 \ (12.54) \ 0.3271 \ S5 \ (-2.4765) \ 0.089 \ (-9.73) \\ 10.67(19.98) \ 16.47(3.27) \ 0.066 \ (-0.675) \ 15.20(41.45) \ 0.089 \ (-0.401) \\ \end{array}$	9.28 (38.91) 10.08(29.22) 10.88(25.22) 12.80(21.85) 12.96(26.20)	Error Within th nd <i>A. alnifolic</i> % Value of LC ₅₀ LC ₉₀	anisopliae on anisopliae on e of LC90 L 1.05) 6 1.175 7. 2.38) 7.	$\begin{array}{c c} & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ &$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	6.66 (23.17) 8.05 (20.50) 9.05 (19.15) 10.67(19.98) 11.05(17.88)	re column mean r against deng 95% o LCL LC ₅₀ (LC ₉₀)	dengue vector 95% confide LCL C ₅₀ (LC ₉₀) C ₅₀ (LC ₉₀) 40 (10.78) 79 (10.18) 79 (10.72) 82 (11.21)	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	00000	ts (\pm S.E) follow ue vector, <i>Aede</i> confidential Limit UCL LC ₅₀ (LC ₉₀)	; Aedes aegypti ntial Limit UCL LC ₅₀ (LC ₉₀) 7.57 (11.92) 7.94 (12.54) 8.62 (13.65) 8.19 (14.25)	
$\begin{array}{c c} Chi \\ Va \\ (Y) $		ed by the same 1 <u>'s <i>aegypti</i></u> Regr Coeft) X (Y		Regr. Coeff. X (Y 0.3613 (-2.056 0.3822 (-2.294 0.3442 (-2.290 0.3566 (-2.665 E) followed by
$\begin{array}{c} {\rm square} \\ {\rm square} \\ {\rm lue} \ (x^2) \\ {\rm erf(s)} \\ \\ {\rm chi} \\ {\rm square} \\ {\rm value} \\ {\rm (x^2)} \\ {\rm 0.797} \\ {\rm 0.771} \\ {\rm 0.114} \\ {\rm 0.154} \end{array}$	814) 0.771 254) 0.156 0.973) 0.163 0.675) 0.114 0.401) 0.154	letter(s) are not signifi r. Chi f. square 7) $Value (x^2)$		Chi square Value (x ²) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7

I.J.A.B.R., VOL. 3(1) 2013: 24-30

LCL - Lower Confidential Limit, UCL-Upper Confidential Limit, S.E: Standard Error Within the column means (± S.E) followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different at 5% level by DMRT, Chi-Square value significant at P< 0.05 level

ISSN 2250 - 3579

Test for Insect Growth Regulatory Activity (IGR)

The Acalypha alnifolia were tested for larval, pupal and adult development activity against freshly emerged first instar larvae of Aedes aegypti followed by the standard procedure (Amalraj et al., 1988). Tests of the microbial pesticide for development activity were done at different concentration ranging from 2 to 10% concentration. The desired concentration of the test solution achieved by adding 1.0 ml of an appropriate stock solution to 249ml of dechlorinated water. Five replicate for each concentration were set up. All larvae were monitored till to adult emergence and were provided with larval food. Observations were done at 24h intervals and the death larvae and pupae were daily removed and counted. The developmental stages of larvae, pupae and adults were recorded. The emergence inhibition concentration (EI₅₀), (EI₉₀) was derived from the experimental data through probit analysis (Finney, 1971).

Statistical Analysis

The data gets from the bioassays subject to statistical analysis. The Dungun Multiple Range Test (DMRT) and ttest were used. The analytical data together with tables are presented in appropriate places in the report. SPSS soft ware package was computing all the data including probit analysis, correlation equation, SE and mean of the sample.

RESULTS

The data were presented in the table 1 provided the larvicidal effect of methanolic extract of Acalypha alnifolia on various stages (I, II, III, IV) of A. aegypti. The percentage of larval mortality of I instar was 98% at 10% concentration. Whereas, II instar larval mortality was 97%; III instar 94% and IV instar 92% larval mortality was observed in the laboratory test. The LC₅₀ (LC₉₀) values, regression equation and chi-square values are 5.69 (9.23), Y = -2.0562 + 0.3613at I instar larval mortality. When compare to IV instar, the LC₅₀ (LC₉₀) values, regression equation and chi-square values are 7.47 (11.06), Y = 0.3566 + -2.6654 and $x^2 = 1.033$. The percentage of larval mortality was also concentration dependent. When concentration increased the mortality also increased. The younger instars are more susceptible than older once. Similarly, in M. anisopliae fungal spores (5×10^6) conidia/ml) concentration, the % of larval mortality in I instar was 94% whereas, in other stages (II, III and IV) of larval mortality also increased with increasing concentration. The LC_{50} (LC₉₀) values, regression equation and chi-square values are 7.00 (10.65), Y= -2.4628 + 0.3514 and x²=0.653 at I instar mortality. Similarly, II, III and IV instar larval mortality was 90, 85, 82% and LC₅₀ (LC₉₀) values, regression equation and chi-square values are noted in the table 2.

Table 3 provided the combined larvicidal (only used 3 and 4^{th} instars) effect of *A. alnifolia* and *M. anisopliae* on dengue vector, *A.aegypti*. The percentage of larval mortality was 64% at 1+1x10⁶ plant extract/conidial spores concentration. Similarly, 100% mortality was observed at 5.00 + 5x10⁶ extract/conidial spores concentration on 3 and 4^{th} instar larvae. The combined treatment of plant and fungal spores most effective against larval stages when compare to individual study or treatment. 100% mortality was observed

in at 24hrs of combined treatment. The individual study there was 75% total effect of larval stages of *Aedes aegypti*. The LC_{50} (LC_{90}) values, regression equation, chi-square values are given in the table 3. Similarly, The DMRT values are significant different 5% level. The SD error was also followed in the table 3.

Table 4 provided the biology of dengue vector/ IGR activity of A. aegypti. The A. alnifolia at 2% concentration, the adult emergence was raised up to 12.5 days and in at 4% concentration the adult emerged up to 14.8 days and at 10% concentration, it was raise 20.8 days when compare to control the adult was emerged at 12.58 days. The % of EI_{50} (EI₉₀) values are 1.17 (9.74). The larval, pupal and adult emergence duration was maximum extending in treated group than in control group. The survival rate was decreased with increasing concentration of active compound and conidial spores concentration. The combination of microbial and botanical compound greatly inhibits the larval and pupal development. The larval, pupal and adult emergency was greatly inhibit due to toxin produced by the plant compound/ fungal metabolites.

DISCUSSION

Over the last 5 decades the indiscriminate use of synthetic insecticides in agriculture and public health programs for the control of pest species has created multifarious problems viz. insecticide resistance, environmental pollution, toxic hazards to humans and other non-target organisms. In attempt to overcome these problems, great emphasis has been recently placed on the research and development of forms of pest control using plant products and microbial origin. Studies on natural plant products as larvicides have indicated that they could provide possible alternative to synthetic insecticides. Plants extract and phytochemicals have potential as products for mosquito control because many of them are selective, may often biodegradable to non toxic products, and may be applied to mosquito breeding places in the same way as conventional insecticides (Sukumar et al., 1991; Jayabalan et al., 2003; Murugan et al., 2007). Similarly, Acalypha alnifolia having potential for the control Aedes aegypti larvae, pupa and adult stages proved in the laboratory study. Murugan and Jeyabalan (1995) studied the effect of some indigenous properties in Anopheles stephensi. Babu and Murugan (2000) investigated that the larvicidal effect of resinous exudates from tender leaves of Azadirachta indica. Vahitha et al., (2002) have studied the larvicidal efficacy of Pavonia zevlamica L. Acacia ferruginea D.C. against Culex quinquefasciatus say. In the present study reveals that the tribal plant Acalypha alnifolia (Euphorbiaceae) having multiple effect on the mosquito larvae of Aedes aegypti. It has insect growth regulatory activity, larvicidal, pupicidal, adulcidal properties was observed in the experiment.

Murugan *et al.*, (2003) studied the interactive effect of botanical and *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp *isralensis* on *C. quinquefasciatus* say. Earlier, Jeyabalan *et al.* (2003) investigated the effects of *Pelagonium citrosa* leaf extracts on malarial vector, *Anopheles stephensi* liston. In the present study also nimbecidine and *A. alnifolia* showed to be a potent mosquitocidal effect on *Aedes aegypti* and it may be

due to the presence of active compounds. In our study mainly focus on combined effect of microbial and botanical compound are more virulent or toxicity to larval stages. There was 100% larval mortality was observed at 24 hrs duration. Senthil Nathan et al. (2005) tested the methanolic extracts of leaves from the Indian white cedar Dysoxylum malabaricum Bedd. (Meliaceae) against mature and immature Anopheles stephensi Liston (Diptera) mosquitoes under laboratory condition. The extract showed strong larvicidal, pupicidal, adulticidal and antiovipositional activity. The maximum leaf extract concentration tested in this study was 10%, which produced pronounced effect. In the present study the larvicidal treatment of A. alnifolia showed higher (98%) mortality was observed in the first and second instar than in the third and fourth instar. The plant compound treatment, the younger larvae more susceptible than the older one and is due to active compound present in the plant. The Acalypha alnifolia contain the active the active compound like Acalyphin etc. In the present study showed the IGR activity of Aedes aegypti are more susceptible to Acalypha alnifolia. However, relatively moderate levels of mortality were observed in female by 21 days. The mean egg hatchability was also decreased. Similarly, the adult emergence was significantly reduction in at 10% concentration of plant treatment. The oviposition test was also observed in the study area, the % of emergency inhibition (EI₅₀) was 1.17% treated A. aegypti, whereas, percentage of EI_{90} was 3.66%. The oviposition attractancy test was conducted the entire biology period of dengue vector. The effective concentration was given to the larvae and it allowed for developing adult stage and finally treated adult was produce eggs. In the experimental test, the active compound produced for plant insecticide was arrest the development of gonad in the mosquito reproductive system due to the toxin found in the endocrine system of insect. The search for effective mosquito pathogens that can be used in mosquito control operations has been ongoing for several decades. Both laboratory and field studies of those fungi that appeared to have potential for operational use, have been evaluated. The fungal pathogens are mainly effective against the larval stages of mosquitoes (Federici, 1995). Concerning mosquito-pathogenic fungi, three genera are generally considered important; Lagenidium, Coelomomyces and Culicinomycees (Roberts, 1974) has one or more traits useful for mosquito control, but none of them possesses the full array of properties needed for general applied and cost effective control. In our study of laboratory evaluation of M. anisopliae are more suitable for the control of Aedes aegypti. The early larval stages are more susceptible then the later once

Many laboratory studies have shown the potential of *Metarhizium anisopliae* as a mosquito control agent. Roberts (1970) observed effects on larvae of *Anopheles stephensi*, *Anopheles quadrimaculatus, Aedes aegypti, Ochlerotatus atropalpus, Ochlerotatus taeniorhynchus, Culex pipiens, Culex restuans and Culex salinarius*, and found all species susceptible to (unformulated) conidia. In a laboratory experiment reported by Ramoska (1982), the fungus

suppressed Culex quinquefasciatus larval populations for nearly a month. On the other hand, the strain used by Alves et al. (2002) had lost its effect on the same mosquito species after only three days. Daoust and Roberts (1982), found that over half of 52 strains from a variety of hosts taken from nine countries caused more than 50% mortality of Culex *pipiens* larvae treated with 1 mg dry conidia / 16 cm^2 . The strains most virulent to *Culex pipiens* proved to be highly pathogenic to larvae of Aedes aegypti and Anopheles stephensi as well. In the same study it was shown that virulence of strains towards mosquitoes could increase 1.6 -2.5 times by passage through mosquito larvae. In small scale outdoor tests, using 300 or 600 mg of conidia m-² in small artificial ponds reduced Culex pipiens by 91% and 94% (Roberts, 1974). Besides larvae, also adult mosquitoes proved to be susceptible to the fungus. Recently, adult Culex quinquefasciatus and Anopheles gambiae s.s were infected in the laboratory study. Both species proved susceptible and succumbed to infection with unformulated dry, and oilformulated conidia, with LT₅₀ values ranging from 4-6 days (Scholte 2003; Kamalakannan et al., 2008). In the present study investigated that the M. anisopliae most virulent to Aedes aegypti larval stages at laboratory study. The spore concentration at 5×10^6 conidia/ml was more effective to control larval stages. The time duration was also decreased with increasing concentration. The synergistic/interactive effect observed in bioassays using a combination of botanical extracts and different synthetic insecticides have been observed in several previous studies (Kalyanasundaram and Babu, 1982; Kalyanasundaram and Das 1985; Mulla and Su, 1999; Thangam and Kathiresan, 1991). Similarly, we investigated the combined effect of plant and microbial compound are higher toxicity to all

larval stages than the individual compound treatment. Therefore, combined studies are rapidly killed the larvae and mortality time duration was also reduced. Some extracts have also produced synergistic effects with insect growth regulators. Mulla and Su (1999) showed that neem seed kernel extract has synergistic effects when combined with the juvenile hormone analog methoprene. In our study the tribal plant A. alnifolia having insect growth regulatory activity. A few studies have mentioned synergism between different botanical extracts. Mwaiko (1992) reported that a mixture of the peel oil extract of three citrus species (lemon, orange and bitter orange) was much more effective than for the peel oils extract for the individual species. In the present study observed combined action of plant and microbial insecticides having strong synergism. Both compounds are suitable for plant- microbial interactive insecticide to the control of Aedes aegypti. Mwaiko (1992) studied the joint action and with the synthetic pyrethroid insecticides cypermethrin against Cx. Pipiens larvae. In the present study made to attempt on the combined effect of M. anisopliae with and A. alnifolia had showed higher toxicity and also observed higher mortality was evident in the larval and pupal and adult population. We conclude that the application of interaction of fungal pathogens and plant compound rapidly kill larva, pupa and adult dengue vector could

significantly reduce parasite transmission and therefore lead to reduced dengue risk.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to Professor Christian Luz, Laboratory of Invertebrate Pathology at IPTSP, Federal University of Goiás, Goiânia, Brazil, for providing necessary correction and suggestion of this manuscript work. This study was funded by University Grants Commission, Government of India, New Delhi.

REFERENCES

Abbott, W.S. (1925) A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. J Econ Entomol 18: 265-266.

Alves, S.B., Alves, L.F.A., Lopes, R.B., Pereira, R.M., and Vieira, S.A. (2002) Potential of some *Metarhizium anisopliae* isolates for control of *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Dipt., Culicidae). J of Applied Entomology 126:504-509.

Amalraj, D., Vasuki V., Kalyanasundaram, M., Tyagi, B. K. and Das, P.K. (1988) Laboratory and field evaluation of three insect growth regulators against mosquito vectors. Ind J Med Res 87:24-34.

Babu, R. and Murugan, K. (2000) Larvicidal effect of resinous exudates from the tender leaves of *Azadirachta inidca*. Neem News Lett 17-(1):2000.

Brown, A.W.A. (1986) Insecticide resistance in mosquitoes: pragmatic review. J Am Mosq Contr Assoc 2:123-140.

Chapman, H.C. (1974) Biological control of mosquito larvae. Annual Review of Entomology 19: 33-59.

Daoust, R.A., Ward, M.G. and Roberts, D.W. (1982) Effect of formulation on the virulence of *Metarhizium anisopliae* conidia against mosquito larvae. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 40: 228-236.

Federici, B.A. (1995) The future of microbial insecticides as vector control agents. J of Am Mosq Control Assoc 11: 260-268.

Ferron, P. (1978) Biological control of insect pests by entomogenous fungi. Annual Review of Entomology 23, 409-442.

Fillinger, U., Knols, B.G.J., Becker, N. (2003) Efficacy and efficiency of new *Bacillus thuringienesis var. israelensis* and *B. sphaericus* formulations against the malarial vector *Anopheles gambiae* in Western Kenya. Trop Med Intl Health 8: 37-48.

Finney, D.J. (1971) In: Statistical Methods in Biological Assay; 3rd ed., Griffin Press, London, U.K. p.508.

Jayabalan, D., Arul, N. and Thangamathi, P. (2003) Studies on effects of Pelargonium citrosa leaf extracts on Malarial

vector, *Anopheles stephensi* Liston. Bioresource Technol 89: 185-189.

Kamalakannan, S., Madhiyazhagan, P., Dhandapani, A., Murugan, K., Barnard, D. (2010) *Pedilanthus tithymaloides* (Euphorbiaceae) leaf extract phytochemicals: toxicity to the filariasis vector *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae). Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 10(8):817-20.

S. Kamala Kannan, K. Murugan, A. Naresh Kumar, N. Ramasubramanian and P. Mathiyazhagan (2008) Adulticidal effect of fungal pathogen, *Metarhizium anisopliae* on malarial vector *Anopheles stephensi* (Diptera: Culicidae). African Journal of Biotechnology 7(6) 838–841.

Kalyanasundaram, M. and Babu (1982) Biologically active plants extracts as mosquito larvicides. Indian J Med Res 82: 19-23.

Kalyanasundaram, M. and Das (1985) Larvicidal synergistic activity of plant extracts for mosquito control. Indian J. Med. Res 82: 19-23.

Lee, S.E., Kim, J.E. and H.S. Lee. (2001) Insecticide resistance in increasing interest. Agric Chem Biotechnol 44:105-112.

Mulla, M.S. and Su, T.Y. (1999) Activity and biological effects of neem products against arthropods of medical and veterinary importance. J Am Mosq Contr Assoc 15(2): 133-152.

Murugan, K. and Jeyabalan, D. (1995) Antifeedent and ovipositional deterrent effect of neem root extract (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss). Neem Newsl 12(4): 45-46.

Murugan, K., Thangamathi, P. and Jeyabalan, D. (2003) Interactive effect of botanicals and Bacillus thuringiensis subsp. *Israelensis* on *Culex quinquefasciatus* say. J Sci Indus Res 61: 1068-1076.

Murugan, K., Murugan, P. and Noortheen, A. (2007) Larvicidal and repellent potential of *Albizzia amara* Boivin and *Ocimum basilicum* Linn against dengue vector, *Aedes aegypti* Insecta: Diptera: Culicidae). Bioresource Technology 98: 198–201.

Mwaiko, G.L. (1992) Citrus peel oil extracts as mosquito larvae insecticides. E Afr Med J 69:223-226.

Pinnock, D.E., Garcia, R., Cubbin, C.M. (1973) *Beauveria tenella* as a control agent for mosquito larvae. J of Invertebrate Pathology 2-143-147.

Ramoska, W.A. (1982) An examination of the long –term epizootic potential of various artificially introduced mosquito larval pathogens. Mosquito News 42: 603-607.

Ramoska, W.A., Watts, S., Watts, H.A. (1981) Effects of

sand formulated *Metarhizium anisopliae* spores on larvae of three mosquito species. Mosquito News 41: 725-728.

Regnault- Roger, C. (1997) The potential of botanical essential oils for insect pest control. Integr Pest Manag Rev., 2: 25-34.

Roberts, D.W. (1967) Some effects of *Metarhizium anisopliae* and its toxins on mosquito larvae. In: Van der Laan, editor. Insect Pathology and Microbial Control. Amsterdam: 243-246. North –Holland Publishing Company.

Roberts, D.W. (1970) Coelomomyces, Entomophtora, Beauveria, and Metarhizium as parasites of mosquitoes. Miscellaneous Publications of the Entomological Society of America 7: 140-155.

Roberts, D.W. (1974) Fungal infections of mosquitoes. In: Le controle des moustiques/Mosquito control Edited by: Aubin A, Belloncik S, Bourassa JP, LaCoursiere E, Pellissier M. La Presse de l'Universite du Quebec, Canada; 143-193.

Scholte E-J., Takken, W. and Knol., B.G.J. (2003) Pathogenicity of six east African entomopathogenic fungi to adult *Anopheles gambiae* s.s. (Diptera: Culicidae) mosquitoes. Proc Exp Appl Entomol NEV, Amsterdam 14: 25-29.

Sengottayan Senthil Nathan, Kandasamy Kalaivani, Kadarkarai Murugan, (2005) Effect of neem limonoids on the malarial vector, *Anopheles stephensi* Liston (Diptera: Culicidae). Acta Tropica 96: 47-55.

Sosan, M.B., Adewoyin, F.B., Adewunmi, C.O. (2001) Larvicidal properties of three indigenous plant oils on the mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. Nig J Nat Prod Med 5: 30-33.

Sujatha, C.H., Vasuki, V., Mariappan, T., Kalyanasundaram, M. and Das, P.K. (1988) Evaluation of plant extracts for biological activity against mosquitoes. Intl Pest Control 30: 122-124.

Thangam, T.S. and Kathiresan, K. (1991) Mosquito larvicidal activity of marine plant extracts with insecticides. Botanica Marina 34:537-539.

Vahitha, R. (2002) Studies on the interactive effect of neem, pongamia and Leucas aspera with *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *israelensis* for the control of filarial vector, *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say. (Insecta: Diptera: Culicidae) Ph.D. Thesis, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore.