



## EFFECTS OF LIPOPHILIC STATIN ON GLUCOSE INDICES IN OBESE HYPERLIPIDEMIC PATIENTS WITH TYPE 2 DIABETES

<sup>a</sup>Yasir A. Atia & Mohammed A. Taher

<sup>a</sup>Al-kindy College of Medicine, Department of Biochemistry, University of Baghdad,

<sup>b</sup>Department of Clinical Laboratory Sciences, College of Pharmacy University of Baghdad.

### ABSTRACT

80 patients with type 2 diabetes (55males & 25females), ranged between 32-56 year old, insulin resistant, hypercholesterolemic patients divided into 2 groups randomly ,and 24 non-diabetic, age- matched, normocholesterolemic patients were enrolled as control group. While maintaining their usual eating habits, fasting blood samples were collected at baseline and at the end of the 12 weeks period of treatment. After 3 months of treatment significant reduction ( $p < 0.05$ ) of BMI were observed in group I ( $28.33 \pm 1.1$ ) and group II ( $29.53 \pm 1.34$ ) compared with baseline level also significant reduction ( $p < 0.05$ ) of FPG, FI &HOMA- IR in group I were observed while in group II only FPG, HOMA- IR were reduced significantly, contrarily, non significant decrease in FI was observed in group II because the effect of metformin on glucose metabolism, were neutralized by atorvastatin, which resulted in significant increases in fasting insulin . Significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) improvement in dyslipidemia from baseline level were observed in both groups. This study proved that atorvastatin is effective in improving of dyslipidemia but increase biochemical insulin resistance in patients with type 2 diabetic

**KEYWORDS:** diabetic, metformin, glucose metabolism, atorvastatin, dyslipidemia *etc.*

### INTRODUCTION

Insulin acts on cells through its cell surface receptor, which is a heterotetramer made up of 2  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  dimers linked by disulfide bonds <sup>(1,2)</sup>, which belongs to a family of  $\alpha 1$  – helix protein<sup>(3)</sup>. Any change in binding of insulin to its receptor or in post binding signal cascade could theoretically lead to reduced cellular response to insulin, hyperinsulinemia & consequently insulin resistance <sup>(4)</sup>, which is mediated through serine phosphorylation, rather than autophosphorylation on specific tyrosine residues activity <sup>(5)</sup>. Insulin resistance is a common feature & central to the pathogenesis of Type 2 diabetes mellitus (TIIDM) and contributes to impaired regulation of circulating lipoprotein and glucose levels <sup>(6,7)</sup>. Hyperglycemia increases the risk of microvascular complications <sup>(8)</sup>, while dyslipidemia is a major risk factor for macrovascular complications in patients with TIIDM <sup>(9)</sup>. Dyslipidemia, an established risk factor for CVD, is strikingly common in patients with type 2 diabetes, affecting almost 50% of this population and remains largely uncontrolled in patients with TIIDM <sup>(11)</sup>, it is increasingly recognized that insulin resistance contributes to the characteristic dyslipidemia associated with TIIDM <sup>(7)</sup> and disturbance of lipid metabolism appears to be an early event in the development of TIIDM, potentially preceding the disease by years <sup>(12)</sup>. Impaired insulin action at the level of the adipocyte is believed to result in defective suppression of intracellular hydrolysis of triglycerides (TGs) with the release of nonesterified free fatty acids (NEFAs) into the circulation <sup>(6)</sup>, this increased influx of NEFAs to the liver promotes TG synthesis and secretion of large very low density lipoproteins VLDL; also impairment in the ability of insulin to suppress hepatic production of (VLDL) <sup>(13)</sup>, this results in elevated

plasma VLDL levels and postprandial hyperlipidemia that is compounded by impaired lipoprotein lipase activity (Both TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-6 inhibit lipoprotein lipase activity and decrease its production in adipocyte cell) <sup>(14)</sup>. When low density lipoprotein (LDL) particles become small and dense, they are more prone to oxidation and more readily adhere to and subsequently invade the arterial wall, contributing to atherosclerosis; these particles therefore regarded as more atherogenic than their larger precursor <sup>(6)</sup>. Elevated plasma LDL-c and TGs concentrations and low plasma HDL-cholesterol levels very frequently occur in TIIDM <sup>(15)</sup>; the hyperlipidemic state is characterized by an increase in oxidative stress and proinflammatory cytokines, which may play an important role in the initiation and progression of atherosclerosis <sup>(16)</sup>. Statin therapy is recommended as the initial pharmacological treatment for lowering LDL-C levels in patients with type 2 diabetes <sup>(17)</sup>. Atorvastatin is a lipophilic HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor. Inhibition of this enzyme decreases de novo cholesterol synthesis, increasing expression of low-density lipoprotein receptor on hepatocytes & increasing LDL uptake, decreasing the amount of LDL-c in the blood & increasing HDL-c <sup>(18)</sup>. Atorvastatin have pleiotropic actions that might cause unfavorable metabolic effects such as reduction of insulin secretion and exacerbation of insulin resistance <sup>(19)</sup>. Studies showed that treatment with statins is associated with an increase in plasma glucose levels in diabetic and non-diabetic patients <sup>(20, 21)</sup>, and the risk of worsening glucose homeostasis differs between different statins <sup>(22)</sup>. Metformin is the most commonly used insulin sensitizer worldwide in the treatment of TIIDM and insulin resistance. It is as considered an insulin sensitizer since it lowers plasma glucose levels without increasing insulin secretion, it's a biguanide derivative <sup>(23)</sup>,

affects glucose metabolism by increasing intracellular glucose transport, insulin receptor binding, peripheral glucose utilization, oxidative and non-oxidative glucose metabolism and decrease hepatic glucose production<sup>(24)</sup>. Metformin has been shown to reduce LDL-C, TC, and TG levels and increase HDL-C levels<sup>(25)</sup>.

## SUBJECTS & METHODS

80 patients were enrolled in the study (55 out of these were males & the other 25 were females), ages ranged between 32-56 y old, diabetic patients<sup>(26)</sup>, Insulin resistant (indicated by HOMA index)<sup>(27)</sup>, hypercholesterolemic<sup>(28)</sup>. In addition, another 24 age-matched non-diabetic, normocholesterolemic patients were enrolled as control group.

### Groups' randomization and type of treatments

#### 1- Group I includes 37 Patients

These patients received metformin 850mg b.i.d for 12 weeks.

#### 2- Group II includes 43 Patients

These patients received metformin 850mg b.i.d & Atorvastatin 40 mg/day for 12 weeks.

#### 3- Control includes 24 healthy persons

The study protocol was approved by the ethical & scientific committee at AL kindy College of Medicine.

### Exclusion criteria

Patients with hepatic dysfunction, renal dysfunction, uncompensated heart failure and uncontrolled hypertension were excluded.

## Sampling

While maintaining their usual eating habits, fasting blood samples were collected at baseline and at the end of the 12 weeks period of treatment & transferred into plain tubes, left for 30 minutes for clotting, centrifuged and then serum was separated and used for measurement of glucose, insulin level, lipid profile. Insulin resistance score by homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR).

### Laboratory analysis

Serum insulin level determined by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA kits). Fasting glucose level<sup>(27)</sup>, total cholesterol<sup>(28)</sup>, triglycerides<sup>(29)</sup>, and HDL-c<sup>(30)</sup> levels were measured enzymatically. LDL-c was calculated using the (Friedewald equation):  $LDL-c = Total\ Cholesterol - HDL - TG/5$ <sup>(31)</sup>.

Homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) was computed with the following formula:  $HOMA\ index = [FPG\ (mmol/L) \times fasting\ plasma\ insulin\ (\mu U/mL)]/22.5$ . Insulin resistant patients were defined as having  $HOMA > 2.7$ ; Low HOMA values indicate high insulin sensitivity<sup>(27)</sup>. Body mass index (BMI) = (weigh) Kg/ (height) M<sup>2</sup><sup>(33)</sup>.

## RESULTS

Table 1: Comparison of mean between control, baseline & after 3 months treatment.

**TABLE 1:** Comparison of mean between control, baseline & after 3 months treatment

Data are expressed as Mean  $\pm$  SD

a P<0.05 for comparison with control group

\* P<0.05 for comparison with Baseline

Analytes	Controls N=24	Group I n=37 (metformin)		% Of changes	Group II n=43 (metformin & Atorvastatin)		% Of changes
		Baseline	After tx		Baseline	After tx	
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	25.97 $\pm$ 2.1	30.79 $\pm$ 1.22 <sup>a</sup>	28.33 $\pm$ 1.1 <sup>*</sup>	8%	31.46 $\pm$ 0.97 <sup>a</sup>	29.53 $\pm$ 1.34 <sup>*</sup>	6.2%
Insulin level ( $\mu$ U/ml)	12.74 $\pm$ 0.56	19.29 $\pm$ 3.67 <sup>a</sup>	14.9 $\pm$ 3.09 <sup>*</sup>	22.75%	18.58 $\pm$ 3.72 <sup>a</sup>	16.83 $\pm$ 2.50 <sup>NS</sup>	9.5%
FBG (mmol/L)	4.51 $\pm$ 0.17	6.42 $\pm$ 0.32 <sup>a</sup>	4.96 $\pm$ 0.53 <sup>*</sup>	22.75%	5.93 $\pm$ 0.31 <sup>a</sup>	4.83 $\pm$ 0.35 <sup>*</sup>	18.5%
HOMA – IR index	2.55 $\pm$ 0.14	5.5 $\pm$ 0.25 <sup>a</sup>	3.28 $\pm$ 0.23 <sup>*</sup>	40%	4.9 $\pm$ 0.19 <sup>a</sup>	3.31 $\pm$ 0.11 <sup>*</sup>	32%
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	1.93 $\pm$ 0.05	3.2 $\pm$ 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	2.88 $\pm$ 0.19 <sup>*</sup>	10%	3.83 $\pm$ 0.14 <sup>a</sup>	2.15 $\pm$ 0.24 <sup>*</sup>	43%
T. cholesterol (mmol/L)	3.75 $\pm$ 1.13	5.67 $\pm$ 0.14 <sup>a</sup>	4.51 $\pm$ 1.49 <sup>*</sup>	20.5%	6.16 $\pm$ 1.18 <sup>a</sup>	3.72 $\pm$ 1.57 <sup>*</sup>	39.6%
HDL-c (mmol/L)	1.91 $\pm$ 0.02	1.1 $\pm$ 0.8 <sup>a</sup>	1.47 $\pm$ 0.53 <sup>*</sup>	33%	1.57 $\pm$ 0.09 <sup>a</sup>	2.12 $\pm$ 0.65 <sup>*</sup>	35%
LDL-c (mmol/L)	1.45 $\pm$ 0.31	3.93 $\pm$ 0.47 <sup>a</sup>	2.46 $\pm$ 0.72 <sup>*</sup>	37.4%	3.82 $\pm$ 0.14 <sup>a</sup>	1.17 $\pm$ 0.79 <sup>*</sup>	69.3%

NS non significant P > 0.05

### Statistical analysis

The data was expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM. The results were statistically analyzed using student's t-test, statistical significance was set at (p<0.005).

#### 1- Effect of treatment on BMI

As presented in table 1, significant increment (P<0.05) in mean BMI (Kg/m<sup>2</sup>) of baseline level in group I (30.79  $\pm$  1.22) & group II (31.46  $\pm$  0.97) compared with control group (25.97  $\pm$  2.1) and it was decreased significantly (P<0.05) after 3 months of treatment to (28.33  $\pm$  1.1) in group I & to (29.53  $\pm$  1.34) in group II compared with baseline level, and the percent of decrement were 8% in group I and 6.2% in group II.

#### 2- Effect of treatment on Insulin, FBG, and HOMA- IR

Insulin level ( $\mu$ U/ml) showed that significant increment (P<0.05) in mean baseline level in group I & group II (

19.29  $\pm$  3.67 & 18.58  $\pm$  3.72 respectively) compared with control group (12.74  $\pm$  0.56) and it was decreased significantly (P<0.05) after 3 months of treatment to 14.9  $\pm$  3.09 in group I & non significantly to 16.83  $\pm$  2.50 in group II compared with baseline level. The percent of decrement were 22.75% in group I and 9.5% in group II.

Fasting glucose level (mmol/L) in table 1, showed that significant increment of baseline level (P<0.05) (6.42  $\pm$  0.32) in group I & (5.93  $\pm$  0.31) in group II compared with control group (4.51  $\pm$  0.17) and it was decreased significantly (P<0.05) after 3 months of treatment to (4.96  $\pm$  0.53) in group I & (4.83  $\pm$  0.35) in group II compared with baseline level. The percent of decrement were 22.75% in group I and 18.5% in group II.

The study showed that significant increment of mean HOMA- IR baseline level (P<0.05) in group I (5.5  $\pm$  0.25)

& group II ( $4.9 \pm 0.19$ ) compared with control group ( $2.55 \pm 0.14$ ) and it was decreased significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) after 3 months of treatment to ( $3.28 \pm 0.23$ ) in group I & ( $3.31 \pm 0.11$ ) in group II compared with baseline level. The percent of decrement were 40% in group I and 32% in group II.

### 3- Effect of treatment on lipid profile

As presented in table 1, significant increment ( $P < 0.05$ ) in mean total cholesterol (mmol/L) of baseline level in group I ( $5.67 \pm 0.14$ ) & group II ( $6.16 \pm 1.18$ ) compared with control group ( $3.75 \pm 1.13$ ) and it was decreased significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) after 3 months of treatment to ( $4.51 \pm 1.49$ ) in group I & ( $3.72 \pm 1.57$ ) in group II, and the percent of decrement were 20.5% in group I and 39.6% in group II.

Table 1, showed that significant increment of baseline level ( $P < 0.05$ ) of TG in group I ( $3.2 \pm 0.05$ ) & group II ( $3.83 \pm 0.14$ ) compared with control group ( $1.93 \pm 0.05$ ) and it was decreased significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) after 3 months of treatment to ( $2.88 \pm 0.19$ ) in group I & ( $2.15 \pm 0.24$ ) in group II. The percent of decrement were 10% in group I and 43% in group II.

Also there is significant decrement of baseline level ( $P < 0.05$ ) of HDL-c in group I ( $1.1 \pm 0.8$ ) & group II ( $1.57 \pm 0.09$ ) compared with control group ( $1.91 \pm 0.02$ ) and it was increased significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) after 3 months of treatment to ( $1.47 \pm 0.53$ ) in group I & ( $2.12 \pm 0.65$ ) in group II. The percent of increment were 33 % in group I and 35 % in group II.

For mean LDL-c (mmol/L) table 1, showed that significant increment of baseline level ( $P < 0.05$ ) in group I ( $3.93 \pm 0.47$ ) & group II ( $3.82 \pm 0.14$ ) compared with control group ( $1.45 \pm 0.31$ ) and it was decreased significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) after 3 months of treatment to ( $2.46 \pm 0.72$ ) in group I & ( $1.17 \pm 0.79$ ) in group II, and the percent of decrement were 37.4% in group I and 69.3% in group II.

## DISCUSSION

After 3 months treatment with metformin a significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) reduction in BMI were observed, because metformin lead to decrease the caloric intake by suppressing appetite<sup>(35)</sup> and this effect may be independent of the gastrointestinal side effects of metformin. The percent of reduction was in consistence with many investigators who reported that 4-10% reduction in BMI in response to metformin treatment<sup>(36)</sup>, but it's less in group II because atorvastatin may counter act effect of metformin on improvement of glycemic control & lead to increase insulin resistance<sup>(37)</sup>. Rajpathak et al, calculated that the incidence of diabetes was 13% higher in statin recipients, which was statistically significant<sup>(38)</sup>.

This quality is interesting and important since it has shown that different adipose compartments can have varying effects on endocrine and metabolic factors, and visceral fat is the main fat tissue in the body responsible for insulin resistance observed in obesity<sup>(39)</sup>. After 3 months of treatment a significant reduction ( $p < 0.05$ ) of FPG, FI & HOMA- IR in group I were observed because metformin lead to increase glucose utilization, decrease hepatic glucose production, increase insulin receptor binding and insulin receptor tyrosin kinase activity, it was consistent

with previous findings<sup>(24,40)</sup>; While in group II only FPG, HOMA- IR were reduced significantly, contrarily, non significant decrease(9.5%) in FI was observed in group II because the effect of metformin on glucose metabolism<sup>(24,40)</sup>, were neutralized by atorvastatin, which resulted in significant increases in fasting insulin and glycated hemoglobin levels<sup>(41)</sup>, it was reported that lipophilic statins have pleiotropic actions that might cause unfavorable exacerbation of insulin resistance<sup>(42)</sup>. Recent large-scale, controlled clinical trials have raised the possibility that lipophilic statins might increase the rate of new onset diabetes<sup>(43, 44)</sup>. The mechanisms by which statins may influence glucose metabolism suggested that statins may alter glycemic control by decreasing various metabolites, such as isoprenoid, farnesyl pyrophosphate, geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate, and ubiquinone, which enhance glucose uptake via glucose transporter type 4 in adipocytes and impair insulin release<sup>(20)</sup>.

Randomised trials and large-scale observational studies have shown that treatment with statins is associated with an increase in plasma glucose levels in diabetic and non-diabetic patients<sup>(20, 21)</sup>, and that the risk of worsening glucose homeostasis differs between different statins<sup>(22)</sup>. Statins reduce cardiovascular morbidity and mortality to a degree beyond what is expected from reduction in LDL-C level alone<sup>(45, 46)</sup>. The present study demonstrates a significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) increase of TC, TG & LDL-c baseline value of group I & II compared with control & significant decrease of HDL-c in both groups compared with control, these results were compatible with other studies as metabolic consequences of T1DM & patients would be predicted to be at high risk for dyslipidemia because they are frequently obese, hyperinsulinemic and insulin resistant, consequently hyperinsulinemia inhibits lipolysis with a subsequent increase in levels of non esterified fatty acids, high levels of nonesterified fatty acids lead to increased triglyceride levels and reduced HDL-c levels. Low HDL-c levels are frequent in those with obesity, & T1DM, in which TG metabolism is abnormal<sup>(47)</sup>. After 3 months treatment a significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) reduction in TC, TG & LDL-c were observed in both groups from baseline. Antidiabetes agents (metformin) that directly improve insulin resistance may have effects on lipid levels, especially TG levels. Although there may be no effect on HDL-C levels, these agents may instead alter the ratio of lipoproteins in HDL towards more anti-atherogenic HDL particles<sup>(48)</sup>. Effect of metformin was in consistence with other studies<sup>(49)</sup>. The main mechanisms due to suppressing of hepatic glucose production, and insulin-sensitizing actions which primarily mediated through the weight loss that frequently occurs during therapy<sup>(50)</sup>, also it was reported that metformin have modest favorable effect on serum lipids, and decrease TG level by 16%, total cholesterol by 5% & LDL-c by 8%<sup>(51)</sup>. Effect of atorvastatin in the present study was concurred with other studies reported that in mixed hyperlipidemia, when both TG and LDL are elevated, statins were very effective in reducing LDL-c and TG<sup>(52, 53)</sup>, but the percent of decrement of these parameters in group II was higher because of the synergistic effect of combination of metformin and atorvastatin. HDL-c significantly increased in both groups I and II after

treatment, in group I metformin has been shown to reduce LDL-C, TC, and TG levels and increase HDL-C levels<sup>(25)</sup>, but in group II, combination of metformin and atorvastatin lead to increase HDL-c by (35%). Atorvastatin effects was in agreement with other study by Giuseppe P. et al<sup>(15)</sup> & Gentile et al<sup>(54)</sup> who recorded increments in HDL-c of 7.1–7.4% with atorvastatin treatment and the percentage changes are greater in patients with low baseline levels, including those with the common combination of high TG and low HDL-c. The most likely explanation is a reduced rate of CETP-mediated flow of cholesterol from HDL<sup>(52)</sup>. Guerin *et al.*, 2000 showed that atorvastatin reduced circulating levels of CETP and the rate of CETP-mediated CE transfer from HDL to VLDL secondary to reduction in the VLDL<sup>(55)</sup>.

### CONCLUSION

This study proved that atorvastatin is effective in improving of dyslipidemia & increase biochemical insulin resistance in patients with TIIDM after treatment.

### REFERENCES

- [1]. Kahn, C.R. (1985) The molecular mechanism of insulin action. *Annu Rev Med.*, 36:429–45.
- [2]. Kasuga, M., Hedzo, J.A., Yamada, K.M. (1982) The structure of the insulin receptor and its subunits: evidence for multiple non-reduced forms and a 210 kD possible proreceptor. *J Biol Chem*; 257:10392–10399.
- [3]. Ullrich, A., Bell, J.R., Chen, E.Y., Herrera, R., L. M. Petruzzelli, T. J. Dull, A. Gray, L. Coussens, Y.C. Liao, M. Tsubokawa, A. Mason, P.H. Seeburg, C. Grunfeld, O.M. Rosen & J. Ramachandran. (1985) Human insulin receptor and its relationship to the tyrosine kinase family of oncogenes. *Nature*, 313:756–761.
- [4]. De Leo V, la Marca, A., Petraglia, F. (2003) Insulin-Lowering Agents in the Management of Polycystic Ovary Syndrome. *Endocrine Reviews*; 24 (5): 633-667.
- [5]. Andrea Dunaif. (1997) Insulin Resistance and the Polycystic Ovary Syndrome: Mechanism and Implications for Pathogenesis. *Endocrine Reviews*; 18 (6): 774-800.
- [6]. Krentz AJ. (2003) Lipoprotein abnormalities and their consequences for patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab*; 5(Suppl 1):S19-S27.
- [7]. Adiels M, Olofsson SO, Taskinen MR, Boren J. (2006) Diabetic dyslipidemia. *Curr Opin Lipidol* 17:238-246.
- [8]. Stratton IM, Adler AI, Neil HA. (2000) Association of glycaemia with macrovascular and microvascular complications of TIIDM. *BMJ*; 321:405-412.
- [9]. Turner RC, Millns H, Neil HA. (1998) Risk factors for coronary artery disease in non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. *BMJ*; 316:823-828.
- [10]. Farmer JA. (2008) Diabetic dyslipidemia and atherosclerosis: evidence from clinical trials. *Curr Diab Rep* ; 8:71-77.
- [11]. Saydah SH, Fradkin J, Cowie CC. (2004) Poor control of risk factors for vascular disease among adults with previously diagnosed diabetes. *JAMA*; 291:335-342.
- [12]. Adiels M, Olofsson SO, Taskinen MR, Boren J. (2008) Overproduction of very low density lipoproteins is the hallmark of the dyslipidemia in the metabolic syndrome. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*; 28:1225-1236.
- [13]. Taskinen MR. (2005) Type 2 diabetes as a lipid disorder. *Curr Mol Med*; 5:297-308.
- [14]. Berg M, Fraker DL, Alexander HR. (1994) Characterisation of differentiation factor/ leukaemia inhibitory factor effect on lipoprotein lipase activity and mRNA in 3T3-L1 adipocytes. *Cytokine*; 6:425–432.
- [15]. Giuseppe Paolisso, Mara Barbagallo, Giuseppina Petrella (2000). Effects of simvastatin and atorvastatin administration on insulin resistance and respiratory quotient in aged dyslipidemic non-insulin dependent diabetic patients. *Atherosclerosis*; 150, 121–127.
- [16]. Case CC, Ballantyne CM. (2002) Statins and inflammatory markers. *Curr Atheroscler Rep*; 4:42-47.
- [17]. Goff DC Jr, Gerstein HC, Ginsberg HN. (2007) Prevention of cardiovascular disease in persons with TIIDM: current knowledge and rationale for the Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes (ACCORD) trial. *Am J Cardiol*; 99:4i-20i.
- [18]. Sabine Steffens and François Mach. (2006) Drug Insight: immunomodulatory effects of statins—potential benefits for renal patients. *Nature*; 2 (7) :378-387.
- [19]. Nakata M, Nagasaka S, Kusaka I. (2006) Effects of statins on the adipocyte maturation and expression of glucose transporter 4: implications in glycemic control. *Diabetologia*; 49:1881–92.
- [20]. Sukhija R, Prayaga S, Marashdeh M. (2009) Effect of statins on fasting plasma glucose in diabetic and nondiabetic patients. *J Invest Med*; 57: 495–499.

- [21]. Sattar N, Preiss D, Murray HM. (2010) Statins and risk of incident diabetes: a collaborative meta-analysis of randomised statin trials. *Lancet*; 375: 735–742.
- [22]. Baker WL, Talati R, White CM, Coleman CI. (2010) Differing effect of statins on insulin sensitivity in non-diabetics: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*; 87: 98–107.
- [23]. Pasquali R, Gambineri A. (2006) Insulin-sensitizing agents in polycystic ovary syndrome. *European Journal of Endocrinology*; 154(6): 763-775.
- [24]. Landin K, Tengborn L, Smith U (1994) .Metformin and metoprolol CR treatment in non-obese men. *J Intern Med*; 235:335–341.
- [25]. Glucophage (metformin hydrochloride tablets) prescribing information. (2009) Bristol-Myers Squibb Company: Princeton, NJ.
- [26]. Definition, Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus and its Complications. World Health Organisation. 1999.
- [27]. Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski A.( 1985) Homestasis model Assessment - insulin resistance & beta cell function from fasting plasma glucose& insulin concentration in man. *Diabetologia* ; 28:412-419.
- [28]. Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) final report. (2002) *Circulation*; 106: 3143-3421.
- [29]. Trinder P. (1969) Determination of glucose in blood using glucose oxidase with alter native oxygen acceptor .*Ann Clinical Biochemistry*; 6:24-27.
- [30]. Richmond W. (1982) Preparation &properties of cholesterol oxidase from nocardia sp. in enzymatic assay of total cholesterol in serum .*Clinical Chemistry*; 28:2077.
- [31]. Fossati P, Prencipe L. (1982) Serum triglyceride determined calorimetrically with enzymes that produces hydrogen peroxide.*Clin Chem*; 28(10):2077-2080.
- [32]. Demacherp NM.( 1980) *Clin Chem* ; 26:1775. As cited by Randox Diagnostic.
- [33]. Friedwald W. (1972) Estimation of the concentration of low density lipoprotein in plasma without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clinical chem*; 18:499-502.
- [34]. Herbert PN. (2001) Eating disorders. In *Cecil Essentials of Medicine 5<sup>th</sup> ed* . Saunders Company. pp515-521.
- [35]. Yki-Jarvinen H, Nikkila k ,Makimattila. (1999) Metformin prevents weight gain by reducing dietary intake during insulin therapy in patients with TIIDM, *Drugs*; 58(suppl-1):53-54.
- [36]. Aruna J, Mittal S, Kumar S. (2004) Metformin therapy in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Inter J Gynecol Obstet*; 87:237-241.
- [37]. Giuseppe Pimpinella, Renato Bertini Malgarini. (2004) Statins for patients with TIIDM. *Lancet*; 364 (9449). 1933.
- [38]. Michael B. Rocco. (2012) Statins and diabetes risk: Fact, fiction, and clinical implications. *Cleveland clinical journal of medicine*; 79 (12): 883-893.
- [39]. Pasquali R, Casimirri F, Venturoli D, Antonio M, Morselli L, Reho S, Pezzoli A, Paradisi R . (1994) Body fat distribution has weight –independent effects on clinical, hormonal & metabolic features of women with PCOS. *Metabolism*; 6:706-713.
- [40]. Hundal RS, Inzucchi SE. (2003) Metformin: new understanding, new uses. *Drugs* ; 63:1879–1894.
- [41]. Kwang Kon Koh, Michael J. Quon, Seung Hwan Han. (2010) Atorvastatin Causes Insulin Resistance and Increases Ambient Glycemia in Hypercholesterolemic Patients. *J Am Coll Cardiol.*; 55(12): 1209–1216.
- [42]. Yada T, Nakata M, Shiraishi T.( 1999) Inhibition by simvastatin, but not pravastatin, of glucose induced cytosolic Ca<sup>2+</sup> signalling and insulin secretion due to blockade of L-type Ca<sup>2+</sup> channels in rat islet beta-cells. *Br J Pharmacol*; 126:1205–13.
- [43]. Collins R, Armitage J, Parish S. (2003) Heart Protection Study of cholesterol-lowering with simvastatin in 5963 people with diabetes: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*; 361:2005–16.
- [44]. Ridker PM, Danielson E, Fonseca FA, Genest J, Gotto AM, Kastelein JJ,Cheitlin M (2008) Rosuvastatin to prevent vascular events in men and women with elevated C-reactive protein. *N Engl J Med*; 359:2195–207.
- [45]. Sacks FM, Gibson CM, Rosner B. (1995) Harvard Atherosclerosis Reversibility Project Research Group. The influence of pretreatment low density lipoprotein cholesterol concentrations on the effect of hypercholesterolemic therapy on coronary atherosclerosis in angiographic trials. *Am J Cardiol*; 76:78C- 85C.

- [46]. The Scandinavian Survival Study Group. (1994) Randomized trial of cholesterol lowering in 4444 patients with coronary heart disease: Lancet; 344: 1383-1389.
- [47]. Qiao Q, Gao W, Zhang L. (2007) Metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular disease. *Ann Clin Biochem*; 44:232–63.
- [48]. Moon YS, Kashyap ML. (2004) Pharmacologic treatment of type 2 diabetic dyslipidemia. *Pharmacotherapy*; 24:1692-1713.
- [49]. Ibanez L, Valls C, Potau N. (2000) Sensitization to insulin in adolescent girls to normalize hirsutism, hyperandrogenism, oligomenorrhea, dyslipidemia, and hyperinsulinism after precocious pubarche. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*; 85 : 3526–3530.
- [50]. Crave J, Fimbel S, Lejeune H, Cugnardey N, Dechaud H, Pugeat M. (1995) Effects of diet and metformin administration on sex hormone-binding globulin, androgens, and insulin in hirsute and obese women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*; 80:2057–2062.
- [51]. Lord JM, Flight IH, Norman RJ. (2003) Metformin in polycystic ovary syndrome: systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Medical Journal*; 327: 951–957.
- [52]. Fergus McTaggart & Peter Jones. (2008) Effects of Statins on High-Density Lipoproteins: A Potential Contribution to Cardiovascular Benefit. *Cardiovasc Drugs Ther*; 22:321–338.
- [53]. McKenney JM, McCormick LS, Weiss S. (1998) A randomized trial of the effects of atorvastatin and niacin in patients with combined hyperlipidemia or isolated hypertriglyceridemia. *Am J Med*; 104:137–43.
- [54]. Gentile S, Turco S, Guarino G. (2000) Comparative efficacy study of atorvastatin vs simvastatin, pravastatin, lovastatin and placebo in T2DM with hypercholesterolaemia. *Diabetes Obes Metab*; 2:355–62.
- [55]. Guerin M, Lassel TS, Le Goff W. (2000) Action of atorvastatin in combined hyperlipidemia: preferential reduction of cholesteryl ester transfer from HDL to VLDL particles. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*; 20:189–97.