INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED BIOLOGICAL RESEARCH

www.scienceandnature.org

IMPACT OF INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT ON GROWTH PARAMETERS OF STRAWBERRY CV. CHANDLER UNDER SUB-TROPICAL CONDITIONS OF LUCKNOW

Rubee Lata*, Deepa H. Dwivedi, R.B. Ram, M.L. Meena and Mukesh Babu
Department of Applied Plant Science (Horticulture), Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Vidya Vihar, Rae Bareli Road, Lucknow –226025
*Corresponding author's e-mail- rubyhort@gmail.com

ABSTRACT
A field study was conducted in Department of Applied Plant Science (Horticulture), BBAU, Lucknow during 2009-10 and 2010-11 to study the impact of integrated nutrient management practices on the vegetative growth parameters of strawberry cv. Chandler. The runners of strawberry cv. Chandler were planted in the first week of November with a spacing of 15 x 30cm. The investigation was laid out in RBD with twelve treatment combinations replicated thrice. The data regarding the different growth parameters observed at different days after planting (30, 45, 60, 75, 90 and 105) clearly indicate that the application of integrated sources of nutrients significantly affect the vegetative growth of the plant. The maximum growth in terms of height of the plant (5.83cm, 8.31 cm, 12.61 cm, 14.83 cm, 17.44 and 19.25cm), number of leaves per plant (5.81, 10.27, 13.66, 16.86, 18.04 and 18.80cm), length of leaves (6.34cm, 6.96cm, 7.32cm, 8.00cm 8.32cm and 8.80cm) and width of leaves (5.16cm, 6.58cm, 7.86cm, 8.93cm, 10.20cm and 10.94cm) were recorded in the treatment T_{12} - *Azotobactor* (50%) + *Azospirillum* (50%) + NPK (50%) + FYM at 30, 45, 60, 75, 90 and 105 DAP respectively in each respectively parameters which was statistically significant over control (T_1) where recommended doze of fertilizer was applied.

KEYWORDS: Strawberry, Integrated Nutrient Management, *Azotobactor* and *Azospirillum.*

INTRODUCTION

Strawberry (*Fragaria* x *ananassa* Duch.) is one of the most delicious fruits of the world which has attained a premier position in the world fruit market as fresh fruit as well as in the processing industries (Sharma and Sharma, 2003). Initially grown in temperate zone of the country but its cultivation has now become possible in the sub-tropical zones as well with the introduction of day neutral cultivar *viz*., Chandler, (Asrey and Singh, 2004). Among the various factors which contribute towards the growth and yield of strawberry, nutrition is the important aspect of crop production (Umar *et al.,* 2008). Integrated nutrient management includes the use of inorganic, organic and microbial sources of nutrients which ensure balanced nutrient proportion by enhancing nutrient response efficiency and maximizing crop productivity of desired quality. It also helps in minimizing the existing gap between the nutrient removal through continuous use of chemical fertilizers and supply through slow release of fertilizers. It is well reported that the extensive use of chemical fertilizers adversely affect the soil health and results in decreased crop productivity and quality (Macit *et al.,* 2007). Thus, in this experiment an attempt has been made to assess the impact of integrated nutrient management, with an emphasis on biofertilizers, on performance of the strawberry under sub-tropical conditions of Lucknow.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted at the Horticultural Research Farm of Department of Applied Plant Science (Horticulture), Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Lucknow (U.P.) during 2009-10 and 2010 – 11. Runners of strawberry cv. Chandler and biofertilizers (*Azotobactor* and *Azospirillum*) were procured from Dr. Y.S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, (Solan), H.P. and Pant Bio Lab, Pantnagar (Uttarakhand), respectively. The strawberry runners of uniform size were transplanted on ridges at a spacing of 15 x 30 cm in first week of November during both the year of experimentation. Strawberry was fertilized with recommended (100%) and half of the recommended dozes (50%) of integrated sources of nutrients *viz*., NPK @ 90, 75 and 60 Kg/ha, FYM @ 50 tonnes/ha and biofertilizers (*Azotobactor* and *Azospirillum*) @ 50ml in 20 litres of water according to the treatment combination. The design of the experiment was Randomized Block Design with three replications and twelve treatment combinations as follows viz., T_1 – Control (recommended doze of NPK), T_2 - *Azotobactor* (100%), T_3 - *Azospirillum* (100%), T_4 - FYM, T_5 - *Azotobactor* (50%) + *Azospirillum* (50%), T_6 - *Azotobactor* (100%) + NPK (50%), T_7 - *Azospirillum* (100%) + NPK (50%), T_8 - Azotobactor (50%) + *Azospirillum* (50%) + NPK (50%), T_9 - *Azotobactor* (100%) + FYM, T_{10} - *Azospirillum* (100%) + FYM, T_{11} - *Azotobactor* (50%) + *Azospirillum* (50%) + FYM, T_{12} - *Azotobactor* (50%) + *Azospirillum* (50%) + NPK (50%) + FYM. The required quantity of farm yard manure (FYM)

as per treatment combination was applied at the time of land preparation. Urea was applied in two split dozes before planting and flowering stages while the full doze of phosphorus and potash was given before planting. *Azotobactor, Azospirillum and Azotobactor + Azospirillum* solution were made by dissolving 50ml in 20 litres of water. The roots of the strawberry runners were thoroughly dipped in the solution for about 30 min. and then planting were done. Yellow polythene of 200 gauge was used as mulch material (Singh and Dwivedi, 2011). Other cultural practices like weeding, hoeing, irrigation, insect pest and disease management were done as and when required.

Observations on vegetative growth parameters were recorded at 15 days interval whereas numbers of runners per plant was recorded one month after final harvesting of the fruits. The data recorded on different vegetative parameters during both the years of investigation were analysed statistically.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

The data regarding the different growth parameters (Table – 1, 2, 3 and 4) observed at different days after transplanting clearly indicate that the application of integrated sources of nutrients significantly affect the vegetative growth of the plant. The data also showed a continuous fast increase in vegetative growth upto 60 DAP and after that the vegetative growth increased slowly as the reproductive phase of the plant starts.

TABLE 1: Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Plant Height (cm) and Number of Runners/ Plant of strawberry cv. Chandler (pooled data of 2 years)

Treatments	Plant Height (cm)						Number of Runners/ Plant
	30 DAP	45 DAP	60 DAP	75 DAP	90 DAP	105 DAP	
T_1	3.87	5.48	8.08	9.96	12.23	13.49	4.54
T_2	4.36	6.25	8.88	10.98	12.88	14.05	5.31
T_3	3.95	6.93	9.71	12.10	13.66	13.84	4.84
T_4	3.09	4.92	7.34	8.70	10.67	11.75	4.06
T_5	4.80	7.29	10.08	12.74	14.16	15.70	5.93
T_6	4.98	7.57	9.95	12.60	13.95	15.17	5.59
T_7	4.41	6.85	9.75	11.58	13.39	14.79	5.45
T_8	4.85	7.92	12.26	14.12	16.26	18.32	6.19
T_9	3.97	6.84	9.73	11.56	13.38	14.69	5.38
T_{10}	4.77	7.09	9.57	11.65	13.11	14.54	5.49
T_{11}	5.57	7.81	11.35	13.43	15.04	17.18	7.51
T_{12}	5.83	8.31	12.61	14.83	17.44	19.25	7.00
SE(m)±	0.087	0.144	0.210	0.273	0.372	0.415	0.232
CD at 5%	0.247	0.410	0.597	0.777	1.060	1.181	0.661

Where DAP – Days After Planting

TABLE 2: Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Number of leaves/plant and Leaf Area (cm^2) of strawberry cv. Chandler (pooled data of 2 years).

Treatments	Number of leaves/plant						Leaf Area (cm^2)
	30 DAP	45 DAP	60 DAP	75 DAP	90 DAP	105 DAP	
T_1	4.28	7.25	10.28	13.16	14.30	15.75	18.28
T_2	4.74	8.37	11.91	15.33	16.55	17.27	22.72
T_3	3.82	7.20	11.13	13.81	15.04	16.13	19.68
T_4	3.60	6.32	9.65	12.38	14.29	15.61	16.97
T_5	5.15	8.93	13.28	15.03	16.31	17.36	26.00
T_6	5.39	8.09	12.66	14.98	16.43	17.35	25.30
T_7	5.18	8.81	12.52	14.00	16.22	17.57	24.74
T_8	5.63	9.00	13.31	15.28	17.43	18.51	28.08
T_9	4.90	8.44	12.17	14.43	16.25	17.41	24.39
T_{10}	4.72	8.57	11.94	15.11	15.98	17.13	23.14
T_{11}	5.28	9.94	13.98	15.78	17.49	18.33	26.81
T_{12}	5.81	10.27	13.66	16.86	18.04	18.80	30.45
SE(m)±	0.047	0.047	0.063	0.063	0.063	0.063	0.469
CD at 5%	0.097	0.097	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	1.336

Where DAP – Days After Planting

TABLE 3: Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Leaf Length (cm) of strawberry cv. Chandler (pooled data of 2 years)

Treatments	Leaf Length (cm)					
	30 DAP	45 DAP	60 DAP	75 DAP	90 DAP	105 DAP
T_1	4.11	5.69	6.37	6.80	7.36	7.70
T_2	5.53	6.11	6.57	7.13	7.54	7.90
T_3	4.59	5.76	6.68	6.98	7.38	7.66
T_4	4.05	5.67	6.16	6.73	7.09	7.53
T_5	5.24	6.40	7.01	7.50	7.68	7.97
T_6	5.56	6.10	6.95	7.53	7.70	8.00
T_7	5.27	6.11	6.87	7.54	7.75	8.05
T_8	6.22	6.65	7.15	7.68	7.97	8.56
T_9	5.55	6.08	6.77	7.47	7.65	8.00
T_{10}	5.53	6.06	6.65	7.25	7.52	7.87
T_{11}	5.61	6.61	7.06	7.65	7.95	8.45
T_{12}	6.34	6.96	7.32	8.00	8.32	8.80
SE(m)±	0.055	0.077	0.080	0.086	0.089	0.092
CD at 5%	0.158	0.220	0.227	0.246	0.255	0.263

Where DAP – Days After Planting

TABLE 3: Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Leaf Width (cm) of strawberry cv. Chandler (pooled data of 2 years)

Treatments	Leaf Width (cm)					
	30 DAP	45 DAP	60 DAP	75 DAP	90 DAP	105 DAP
T_1	5.41	6.42	7.68	8.43	9.22	5.41
T_2	5.52	6.63	8.06	8.75	9.53	5.52
T_3	5.41	7.13	8.29	9.04	9.39	5.41
T_4	5.30	6.21	7.63	8.33	9.02	5.30
T_5	5.91	6.64	8.24	9.30	9.94	5.91
T_6	5.83	6.56	8.23	9.23	9.87	5.83
T_7	5.75	7.02	8.72	9.48	9.74	5.75
T_8	6.42	7.75	8.82	9.65	10.39	6.42
T_9	5.73	7.01	8.74	9.53	9.66	5.73
T_{10}	5.54	6.62	8.10	8.82	9.63	5.54
T_{11}	6.09	7.51	8.80	9.65	10.31	6.09
T_{12}	6.58	7.86	8.93	10.20	10.94	6.58
SE(m)±	0.084	0.052	0.065	0.063	0.077	0.084
CD at 5%	0.240	0.149	0.185	0.179	0.221	0.240

Where DAP – Days After Planting

The maximum height of the plant (5.83cm, 8.31 cm, 12.61 cm, 14.83 cm, 17.44 and 19.25cm), number of leaves per plant (5.81, 10.27, 13.66, 16.86, 18.04 and 18.80cm), length of leaves (6.34cm, 6.96cm, 7.32cm, 8.00cm 8.32cm and 8.80cm) and width of leaves (5.16cm, 6.58cm, 7.86cm, 8.93cm, 10.20cm and 10.94cm) were recorded in the treatment T_{12} - *Azotobactor* (50%) + *Azospirillum* (50%) + NPK (50%) + FYM at 30, 45, 60, 75, 90 and 105 DAP, respectively which was statistically significant over control (T_1) while the minimum height of the plant (3.09cm, 4.92cm, 7.34cm, 8.70cm, 10.67cm and 11.75cm), number of leaves per plant (3.60, 6.32, 9.65, 12.38, 14.29 and 15.61), leaf length (4.05cm, 5.67cm, 6.16cm, 6.73cm, 7.09cm and 7.53cm) and leaf width (4.10cm, 5.30cm, 6.21cm, 7.63cm, 8.33cm and 9.02cm) were recorded in treatment T_4 – FYM only at 30, 45, 60, 75, 90 and 105 DAP, respectively. The maximum leaf area 30.45 cm^2 was recorded in the treatment T_{12} - *Azotobactor* (50%) + *Azospirillum* (50%) + NPK (50%) + FYM followed by 28.08cm^2 in treatment T_8 (*Azotobactor* (50%) + *Azospirillum* (50%) + NPK (50%) while the minimum (16.97cm^2) was recorded in treatment T_4 with recommended dose of FYM. The increase in these vegetative growth parameters may be due to integrated nutrient management *i.e.* inorganic, organic and biological (*Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum*) sources of nutrients. The addition of biofertilizers might have helped in N-fixation and its quick release for plants absorption. The increase in the plant height and number of leaves might be due to the production of more chlorophyll content with inoculation of nitrogen fixers. The other reason for increased vegetative growth may be due to the production of plant growth regulators by biofertilizers in the rhizosphere which are absorbed by the roots. Better development of root system and the possibly synthesis of plant growth hormones like IAA, GA and cytokinins and direct influence of biofertilizers might have caused increased in plant's vegetative growth parameters. These results are in

conformity to that of Yadav *et al.*, 2010 in strawberry**.** Higher number of leaves, leaf length, leaf width and leaf area may be due to the cell division caused by cytokinins (Singh and Singh, 2009).

The maximum (7.00) number of runners/ plant (Table - 1) was recorded in the treatment T_{12} - *Azotobactor* (50%) + *Azospirillum* (50%) + NPK (50%) + FYM which was statistically significant over control (T_1) while the minimum (4.06) was recorded in treatment-T_4. Increased number of runners per plant might be due to the increased growth of plant in the form of height, number of leaves and leaf area, which accumulated more photosynthates and thereby increased runners per plant. The results are in conformity with Nazir *et al.* 2006, Singh *et al.*, 2010 and Umar *et al.*, 2009 where they observed that the integrated nutrient management was better than the single application of nutrients.

According to the vegetative growth results obtained in this study, we conclude that the combined application of nutrients from different sources was better than their alone application. Treatment T_{12} - *Azotobactor* (50%) + *Azospirillum* (50%) + NPK (50%) + FYM performed better than other treatments in respect of plant growth which was followed by the treatment T_8 (*Azotobactor* (50%) + *Azospirillum* (50%) + NPK (50%) and thus, these combination of treatments are beneficial for strawberry growth under subtropical conditions of Lucknow.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to Dr. Y.S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry for providing the research materials (strawberry runners) for conducting the research trials.

REFERENCES

Asrey, R. and Singh, R. (2004) Evaluation of strawberry varieties under semi-arid irrigated region of Punjab. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, **61**(2): 122-124.

Macit, I. Koc, A. Guler, S. and Deligoz, I. (2007) Yield, quality and nutritional status of organically and conventionally grown strawberry cultivars. *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences*, **6** (7): 1131-1136.

Nazir, N. Singh, S.R., Aroosa, K., Masarat, J. and Shabeena, M. (2006) Yield and growth of strawberry cultivar Sena Sengana as influenced by integrated organic nutrient management system. *Environment and Ecology*, **243** (3): 651-654.

Sharma, V.P. and Sharma, R. R. (2003) The Strawberry. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, pp. 166.

Singh, A. and Singh, J. N. (2009) Effect of biofertilizers and bioregulators on growth, yield and nutrient status of strawberry cv. Sweet Charlie. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, **66** (2): 220-224.

Singh, N. and Dwivedi, H. (2011) Studies on the different mulches on vegetative growth of strawberry (*Fragaria* x *ananassa* Duch.) cv. Chandler. *Progressive Horticulture*, **43** (1): 134-136.

Singh, S.R., Zargar, M.Y., Singh, U. and Ishaq, M. (2010) Influence of bio-inoculants and inorganic fertilizers on yield, nutrient balance, microbial dynamics and quality of strawberry (*Fragaria* x *ananassa*) under rainfed conditions of Kashmir valley. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **80** (4): 275-281.

Umar, I., Wali, V.K., Kher, R. and Sharma, A. (2008) Impact of Integrated nutrient management on strawberry yield and soil nutrient status. *Applied Biological Research*, **10**: 22-25.

Yadav, S.K., Khokhar, U.U. and Yadav, R.P. (2010) Integrated nutrient management for strawberry cultivation. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, **67** (4): 445-49.