

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED BIOLOGICAL RESEARCH

© 2004-2013 Society For Science and Nature (SFSN). All Rights Reserved.

www.scienceandnature.org

POLLINATION STUDIES ON KIWI CROP (ACTINIDIA DELICIOSA CHEV.) IN HIMACHAL PRADESH, INDIA

Minakshi Sharma, V.K. Mattu and M.L. Thakur* Sociobiology and Behavioral Ecology Research Laboratory Deptt. of Biosciences, Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla-171 005 (HP), India *Present address: Himachal Pradesh State Biodiversity Board, Department of Environment, Science and Technology, Shimla-2

ABSTRACT

A total of 280 cob broilers were fed from day 1-21 on diets based on corn-soybean meal. At the age of 22-56 days the diets Pollination studies have been conducted on kiwi (*Actinidia deliciosa* Chev.) crop in orchard located at Phagli in Shimla hills of Himachal Pradesh. Kiwi flowers were visited by 15 insect species belonging to 4 orders and 6 families. Of these, 4 belonged to Hymenoptera, 6 to Diptera, 2 to Lepidoptera and 3 to Coleoptera. Relative abundance data on kiwi bloom showed that rock bee *Apis dorsata* was the most dominant insect pollinator on both staminate (25.50%) and pistilate (32.60%) flowers of kiwi. Besides this, other effective hymenopteran pollinators were: *Apis mellifera* (13.40%, 14.10%) and *Apis cerana* (9.01%, 6.64%) on staminate and pistilate flowers respectively. Kiwi flowers were also visited by various dipterans, *Syrphus* sp. (21.06%, 19.09%) being the most dominant visitor on male and female flowers.

KEY WORDS: Insect Visitors, Kiwi Crop, Himachal Pradesh

INTRODUCTION

Pollination is the transfer of pollen grains from male to the female part of the flower with the help of abiotic and biotic pollen dispersal agents. Wind, water and gravity are the important abiotic agents, whereas, insects, birds, bats and small mammals are the primary biotic agents (Free, 1993). Various insect groups which are of prime significance in pollination of agricultural and horticultural crops are Hymenoptera, Diptera, Lepidoptera, Coleoptera and Thysanoptera (Michener, 1974). Of these, hymenopterans are the most important insect pollinators because of their high energy requirements and tendency for collecting provision for their brood in the form of pollen and nectar. Honeybees, bumble bees and solitary bees are some of the most important pollinators belonging to order Hymenoptera (Kozin, 1972; McGregor, 1976). Among Hymenopterans, honeybees are considered as the most efficient pollinators of cultivated crops because of their floral fidelity, potential for long working hours, presence of pollen baskets, maintainability of high population, micromanipulation of flowers and adaptability to different climatic conditions (Verma, 1990). Further, honeybees can be domesticated, marked and transported from place to place (Ribbands, 1953; Crane, 1990). Besides honeybees, in recent years much attention is also being given to commercial exploitation of other hymenopterans and dipterans like bumble bees, solitary bees, wasps, drone fly, muscids, syrphids etc. as important pollinators in developed countries (Gupta and Gupta, 1997). At present Himachal Pradesh is one of the major temperate fruit growing states of the country. Every year, more and more land is coming under fruit cultivation; therefore, some management problems inevitably have arisen. Important temperate fruits grown here are apple, almond, cherry, peach, pear, plum and apricot. Most of

these fruit blossoms are either self-incompatible, partially self-compatible or self-compatible in nature and need services of different insects (Kozin, 1972; Free, 1993). A major problem is related to crop pollination because of self-incompatible nature of most of temperate fruit crops. This has become more relevant currently because the population of pollinators is also decreasing at an alarming rate due to increased use of toxic pesticides. Therefore, a large horticultural undertaking as at present in Himachal Pradesh will not be able to flourish in the long run without the use of honeybees and other pollinators for efficient and sufficient pollination of temperate and tropical fruit crops (Mattu, 1992). Many investigators have studied the pollination ecology of horticultural crops in relation to A. mellifera L. in Europe and America (Kozin, 1972; McGregor, 1976; Szabo, 1980; Anderson and Buys, 1990; Goodman and Fisher, 1991; Gary, 1992, Free, 1993). But a little is known about the role of Indian hive bee, Apis cerana F in pollinating various horticultural especially temperate fruit crops (Mattu and Verma, 1985; Mattu et al., 1994; Verma, 1990; Verma and Dulta, 1986; Verma and Partap, 1993). Therefore, need to conduct studies on pollination ecology of kiwi crop in relation to the role of insects especially honeybees in the Himachal Pradesh was urgently felt.

METHODOLOGY

Pollination studies have been conducted on kiwi (*Actinidia deliciosa* Chev.) crop in orchard located at Phagli (latitude $31^{0}9'$ N, longitude $77^{0}5'$ E and altitude 1924 m) in Shimla hills of Himachal Pradesh, during the months of April and May of the years 2002 and 2003, when these orchards were in full bloom. Kiwi orchard had more than 60 to 70 trees belonging to

Hayward, Allison, Abbott and Monty varieties.Studies on diversity, distribution and relative abundance of various insect visitors to kiwi flowers have been made by selecting trees at random, almost in the middle of the orchard, on the basis of their size, age, flowering state and number of branches. The experimental branches selected had nearly same size with respect to their spread, phase of flowering and height above the ground. The observations were started 2 to 3 days after the flowering commenced and continued under good climatic conditions till petal fall. Relative abundance, of different insect visitors was determined in terms of their visits per 500 flowers/10 minutes (Verma and Chauhan, 1985). The observations were recorded from 0800 to 1700 hours of a day and average counts at these hours gave abundance of an insect pollinator for that particular day. All insect visitors on kiwi flowers were collected, killed and identified. Identification of different insect specimens was done with the help of standard keys.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Kiwi flowers were visited by 15 insect species belonging to 4 orders and 6 families. Of these, 4 belonged to Hymenoptera, 6 to Diptera, 2 to Lepidoptera and 3 to Coleoptera (Table 1). These results corroborate the earlier findings of Macfarlane (1995) who also observed comparative abundance of hymenopteran pollinators including honey bees on kiwi fruit in New Zealand. Earlier, Corbett et al. (1988), and Ferquson and Pusch (1991) also reported bumblebees as effective pollinators of kiwi crop. Recently, Gupta et al. (2000) recorded six types of insect pollinators including honeybees on this crop in Solan hills of Himachal Pradesh. Sharma (2000) recorded 28 species of insect pollinators on balsam crop in Shimla hills, of which 11 species belonged to Hymoneptera, 10 to Diptera, 5 to Lepidoptera and 2 to Coleoptera. Recently, Singh (2003) observed 44 and 46 species of insect pollinators on citrus and litchi crops in Shimla hills.

TABLE 1: Insect species visiting kiwi flowers with	their taxonomic status
--	------------------------

Order	Order	Order	Order
Hymenoptera	Diptera	Lepidoptera	Coleoptera
Family Apidae	Family Syrphidae	Family Pieridae	Family Coccinellidae
1. Apis cerana F.	5. Eristalis cerealis	11. Pieris canidia	13. Coccinella sp.
2. Apis mellifera L.	6. Syrphus sp.	12. <i>Pieris</i> sp.	14. Coccinella
			septumpunctata
3. Apis dorsata F.	7. Episyrphus sp.		Family- Chrysomelidae
4. Bombus tunicatus	8. Metasyrphus sp.		15. Altica sp.
	9. Macrosyrphus sp.		
	Family- Cordiluridae		
	10. <i>Musca</i> sp.		

TABLE 2: Relative abundance of different insect pollinators visiting kiwi flowers (staminate tree) in Shimla hills

Genus/ Species	Mean \pm S.E.	Percent	Family	Family	Order	Order
		Population	No.	%age	No.	%age
Apis dorsata F.	4.13±0.15*	25.50				
Apis mellifera L.	2.17 ± 0.14	13.40	7.99	49.33	7.99	49.33
Apis cerana F.	1.46 ± 0.14	9.01				
Bombus sp.	0.23 ± 0.21	1.42				
Eristalis sp.	1.98 ± 0.14	12.2				
<i>Syrphus</i> sp.	3.41 ± 0.05	21.06				
Metasyrphus sp.	0.3 ± 0.9	1.85				
Macrosyrphus sp.	0.26 ± 0.11	1.60	6.23	38.43		
<i>Episyrphus</i> sp.	0.28 ± 0.22	1.72				
<i>Musca</i> sp.	1.16 ± 0.16	7.16	1.16	7.16	7.39	45.59
Pieris canidia	0.05 ± 0.04	0.30	0.05	0.30	0.60	0.30
Altica sp.	0.76 ± 0.18	4.69	0.76	4.69	0.76	4.69
	Genus/ Species Apis dorsata F. Apis mellifera L. Apis cerana F. Bombus sp. Eristalis sp. Syrphus sp. Metasyrphus sp. Macrosyrphus sp. Musca sp. Pieris canidia Altica sp.	Genus/ SpeciesMean \pm S.E.Apis dorsata F. Apis mellifera L. Apis cerana F. Bombus sp. $4.13\pm0.15^*$ 2.17 ± 0.14 1.46 ± 0.14 0.23 ± 0.21 Eristalis sp. Syrphus sp. Macrosyrphus sp. Macrosyrphus sp. Musca sp. 1.98 ± 0.14 3.41 ± 0.05 0.3 ± 0.9 0.26 ± 0.11 2.8 ± 0.22 1.16 ± 0.16 Pieris canidia 0.05 ± 0.04 Altica sp. 0.76 ± 0.18	Genus/ SpeciesMean \pm S.E.Percent PopulationApis dorsata F.4.13 \pm 0.15*25.50Apis mellifera L.2.17 \pm 0.1413.40Apis cerana F.1.46 \pm 0.149.01Bombus sp.0.23 \pm 0.211.42Eristalis sp.1.98 \pm 0.1412.2Syrphus sp.3.41 \pm 0.0521.06Metasyrphus sp.0.3 \pm 0.91.85Macrosyrphus sp.0.28 \pm 0.221.72Musca sp.1.16 \pm 0.167.16Pieris canidia0.05 \pm 0.040.30Altica sp.0.76 \pm 0.184.69	Genus/ SpeciesMean \pm S.E.Percent PopulationFamily No.Apis dorsata F. Apis mellifera L. Bombus sp. $4.13\pm0.15^*$ 2.17 ± 0.14 1.46 ± 0.14 0.23 ± 0.21 25.50 1.340 9.01 Eristalis sp. Syrphus sp. 1.46 ± 0.14 0.23 ± 0.21 9.01 1.42 Eristalis sp. Syrphus sp. 1.98 ± 0.14 0.3 ± 0.9 1.85 $Macrosyrphus sp.$ 0.28 ± 0.22 1.60 1.60 Macrosyrphus sp. Macrosyrphus sp. 0.28 ± 0.22 1.72 1.16 ± 0.16 1.16 Pieris canidia 0.05 ± 0.04 0.30 0.05 Altica sp. 0.76 ± 0.18 4.69 0.76	Genus/ SpeciesMean \pm S.E.Percent PopulationFamily No.Family % ageApis dorsata F. Apis mellifera L. Bombus sp. $4.13\pm0.15^*$ 2.17 ± 0.14 1.46 ± 0.14 0.23 ± 0.21 25.50 1.42 7.99 49.33 Eristalis sp. Syrphus sp. 1.98 ± 0.14 3.41 ± 0.05 0.26 ± 0.11 12.2 1.60 7.99 49.33 Eristalis sp. Macrosyrphus sp. Macrosyrphus sp. 0.26 ± 0.11 0.28 ± 0.22 1.60 1.16 ± 0.16 6.23 7.16 Pieris canidia 0.05 ± 0.04 0.30 0.05 0.30 Altica sp. 0.76 ± 0.18 4.69 4.69 0.76	Genus/ SpeciesMean \pm S.E.Percent PopulationFamily No.Family %ageOrder No.Apis dorsata F. Apis mellifera L. Bombus sp. $4.13\pm0.15^*$ 2.17 ± 0.14 25.50 1.46 ± 0.14 9.01 7.99 49.33 7.99 Apis cerana F. Bombus sp. 1.46 ± 0.14 0.23 ± 0.21 9.01 1.42 7.99 49.33 7.99 Eristalis sp. Syrphus sp. 1.98 ± 0.14 0.3 ± 0.9 1.22 1.85 $Macrosyrphus sp.$ 0.26 ± 0.11 1.16 ± 0.16 6.23 7.16 38.43 7.39 Pieris canidia 0.05 ± 0.04 0.30 0.05 0.30 0.60 Altica sp. 0.76 ± 0.18 4.69 0.76 4.69 0.76

* Each value is an overall average for an insect species.

S.E. Standard error about the mean

Moreover, A. dorsata (4.13 ± 15 , 25.50%) was the most frequent visitor to kiwi bloom on staminate tree followed by A. mellifera (2.17 ± 0.14 , 13.40%) and Apis cerana (1.46 ± 14 , 9.01%). Among dipterans, most abundant pollinators were Syrphus sp. (3.41 ± 0.05 , 21.06%) followed by Eristatis tenax (1.98 ± 14 , 12.2%), Musca

domestica (1.16 \pm 0.16, 7.16%), *Metasyrphus* sp. (0.3 \pm 0.9, 1.83%), *Macrosyrphus* sp. (0.26 \pm 0.11, 1.60%) and *Episyrphus* sp. (0.28 \pm 0.22, 0.72%). This fruit crop was also visited by a lepidopteran i.e. *Pieris* sp. (0.5 \pm 0.04, 0.30%) and a coleopteran viz., *Altica* sp. (0.76 \pm 0.18, 4.69%) (Table 2; Fig. 1).



FIGURE 1: Relative abundance of different insect pollinators visiting kiwi flowers (staminate) in Shimla hills

Pistillate tree of kiwi was visited by important hymenopterans like, *Apis dorsata* (8.60 ± 7.2 , 32.6%), *Apis mellifera* (3.72 ± 0.12 , 14.1%), *Apis cerana* (1.75 ± 0.07 , 6.69%) and *Bombus tunicatus* (0.12 ± 0.09 , 0.45%). Among dipterans, most abundant insect pollinators were: *Syrphus* sp. (4.50 ± 0.14 , 19.09%) followed by *Eristalis* sp. (2.92 ± 0.16 , 11.09%), *Musca* sp. (0.80 ± 0.08 , 3.03%), *Metasyrphus* sp. (1.67 ± 0.64 , 6.34%), *Macrosyrphus* sp.

(0.85 \pm 0.03, 3.20%) and *Episyrphus* sp. (0.33 \pm 0.10, 1.25%). Among coleopterans, *Coccinella* sp. (0.12 \pm 0.01, 4.5%) and *Altica* sp. (0.35 \pm 0.01, 1.32%) were the only insect visitors, whereas, *Pieris* sp. (0.18 \pm 0.02, 0.68%) and *Pieris canidia* (0.42 \pm 0.08, 1.59%) were the only lepidopteran insects visiting this fruit crop (Table 3; Fig. 2).

TABLE 3: Relative abundance of different insect pollinators visiting kiwi flowers (pistillate tree) in Shimla hills

Family	Genus/ Species	Mean \pm S.E.	Percent	Family	Family	Order	Order
			Population	No.	%age	No.	%age
Hymenoptera							
Apidae	Apis dorsata F.	8.60±7.20*	32.60				
	Apis mellifera L.	3.72 ± 0.12	14.10				
	Apis cerana F.	1.75 ± 0.07	6.64	14.19	53.79	14.19	53.29
	Bombus sp.	0.12 ± 0.09	0.45				
Diptera							
	<i>Eristalis</i> sp.	2.92 ± 0.16	11.09				
	Syrphus sp.	4.50 ± 0.14	19.09				
Syrphidae	Metasyrphus sp.	1.67 ± 0.64	6.34				
	Macrosyrphus sp.	0.85 ± 0.03	3.20	10.27	38.97		
	<i>Episyrphus</i> sp.	0.33 ± 0.10	1.25				
Cordiluridae	<i>Musca</i> sp.	0.80 ± 0.08	3.03	0.80	3.03	11.07	42
Lepidoptera							
Pieridae	Pieris canidia	0.42 ± 0.08	1.59				
	Pieris sp.	0.18 ± 0.02	0.68	0.60	2.27	0.60	2.27
Coleoptera							
Coccinellidae	Coccinella sp.	0.12 ± 0.01	0.45	0.12	0.45		
Chrysomellidae	Altica sp.	0.35±0.1	1.33	0.35	1.33	0.47	1.78

Each value is an overall average for an insect species.

SE Standard error about the mean

*



FIGURE 2: Relative abundance of different insect pollinators visiting kiwi flowers (pistillate) in Shimla hills

Relative abundance data on kiwi bloom showed that rock bee Apis dorsata was the most dominant insect pollinator on both staminate (25.50%) and pistilate (32.60%) flowers of kiwi. Besides this, other effective hymenopteran pollinators were: Apis mellifera (13.40%, 14.10%) and Apis cerana (9.01%, 6.64%) on staminate and pistilate flowers respectively (Tables 2, 3; Fig. 1, 2). These results are in accordance with the recent observations of Gupta et al. (2000) who also found Apis dorsata (40.5%) as the predominant visitor to this crop, whereas, A. mellifera and Apis cerana constituted only 7.87% and 4.42% respectively. However, Barbattini et al. (1994) reported Apis mellifera as the most important pollinator of kiwi crop. Ferguson and Pusch (1991) reported effective role of bumble bees in kiwi flower pollination. Besides, kiwi flowers were also visited by various dipterans, Syrphus sp. (21.06%, 19.09%) being the most dominant visitor on male and female flowers. Gupta et al. (2000) also found *Episyrphus* sp. (27.07%) as effective dipteran pollinator of this crop in Solan hills of Himachal Pradesh.

REFERENCES

Anderson, R.H. and Buys, B. (1990) Bees and beekeeping in Southern Africa. *Proc. Int. Beekeep. Symp.*, *Stellenbosch.*

Barbattini, R., Greatti, M., Zaandigiacomo. P., Costa, G., Testolin, R. and Vizzotto, G. (1994) Insect pollinators of kiwi fruit and their role in crop pollination. *Proc. Atti XVII Cong. Nazionale Italiano di Entomologica, Vdine, Italy*, 13-18.

Corbet, S.A., H. Chapman, and N. Saville (1988) Vibratory pollen collection and flower form: bumble bees on *Actinidia, Symphytum, Borago and Polygonatum. Functional Ecology* 2: 147-155.

Crane, E. (1990) *Bees and bee keeping-Science, practice and world resources*, Heinman Newners, Oxford, U.K.

Ferguson, A.M. and Pusch, W.M. (1991) Development of mechanical dry pollen application to kiwi fruit. *Acta Hort*. 297: 299-305.

Free, J.B. (1993) Insect pollination of crops. Academic Press, London.

Gary, N.E. (1992) Activities and behaviour of honey bees. In: *The hive and honeybee* (ed. Dadant and Sons) Dadant and Sons, Hamilton, Illinois, USA.

Goodman, L.J. and Fisher, R.C. (1991) *The behaviour and physiology of bees. CAB International Walling Ford*, U.K.

Gupta, J.K. and Gupta, P.R. (1997) Diversification of pollinators. In: *Fruit Crops Pollination*. (ed. Verma, L.R. and Jindal, K.K.), Kalyani Publishers, Ludhiana, India.

Gupta, J.K., Rana, B.S. and Sharma, H.K. (2000) Pollination of kiwi fruit in Himachal Pradesh. *In: Asian Bees and Beekeeping.* (ed. *Matsuka et al.*). Oxford and IBH publishing company. New Delhi.

Kozin, R.B. (1972) Pollination of Entomophilous crops by bees. *Amrind Pub. Co. Pvt. Ltd., New York.*

Mattu V.K. (1992) Scope and strategies for apicultural development in Himachal Pradesh. *In: Honey bees in mountain agriculture. Oxford and IBH Publ. Co. Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi*, 181-192.

Mattu, V.K. and Verma, L.R. (1985) Studies on annual foraging cycle of *Apis cerana indica* F. in Shimla hills of northwest Himalayas. *Apidologie* 16: 1-18.

Mattu, V.K., Chaudhary, D.K. and Kumar, L. (1994) Fraging ecology of *Apis cerana* F. and *Apis mellifera* L. in pollinating stone fruits. *Pest Mang. Econ. Zool.* 2: 35-39.

McGregor, S.F. (1976) Insect pollination of cultivated crop plants. U.S. Dept. Agric. Hand book 496.

Michener, C.D. (1974) The social behaviour of bees *Harward Univ. Press. Camlenidge Massachusetts.*

Ribbands, C.R. (1953) The behaviour and social life of honey bees. *Dover Pbl. Inc., New York*.

Sharma, P.L. (1961) The honey bee population among insects visiting temperate zone fruit flowers. *Bee World* 42: 6-8.

Singh, K. (2003) Multivariate biometrics and foraging ecology of hymenopterans and dipterans in pollinating citrus and litchi crops. *Ph.D. thesis. Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla, India.*

Szabo, T.I. (1980) Effect of weather factors on honey bee flight activity and colony weight gain. *J. Apic. Res.* 19: 164-171.

Verma, L.R. (1990) *Beekeeping in integrated mountain development: economic and scientific perspectives.* Oxford and IBH Publ., Co., Ltd. New Delhi.

Verma, L.R. and Chauhan, P. (1985) Distribution, abundance and diversity of insect pollinators in apple orchards of Shimla hills. *Indian J. Ecol.* 12: 286-292.

Verma, L.R. and Dulta, P.C. (1986) Foraging behaviour of *Apis cerana indica* and *Apis mellifera* in pollinating apple flowers. *J. Apic. Res.* 25: 197-201.

Verma, L.R. and Partap, U. (1993) The Asian Hive Bee, *Apis cerana* as a pollinator in vegetable seed production. ICIMOD Kathmandu.