

### INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED BIOLOGICAL RESEARCH

© 2004-2017 Society For Science and Nature (SFSN). All Rights Reserved.

www.scienceandnature.org

**Review** Article

### USE OF BIOFERTILISERS IN VARIOUS AGRICULTURAL CROPS WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO MULBERRY

M. F. Baqual, S. Z. Haque, M. R. Mir, N.A. Ganai., I. L. Khan and R.K. Sharma Temperate Sericulture Research Institute, SKUAST-K, Mirgund.

#### ABSTRACT

The increased population and growing need of food grains has imposed tremendous pressure on agricultural land and many methods have been employed to increase productivity and cater to the needs of such population either through evolution of more productive varieties as a long term measure or excessive use of fertilisers which earlier underlined the concept of green revolution. Although, these fertilisers and pesticides have played a definite role in elevating the productivity of Indian agriculture but this increase has been at the cost of soil health, fertility status, health hazards and environmental pollution etc. This undesirable role of synthetic fertilisers has grown to be of a major concern and now efforts are on to focus more on the sustainability part of soil which was attached least importance earlier. After much damage to ecosystem, alternative ways of increasing agriculture food production have gained momentum and more importance is given to the use of bio-fertilizer & biopesticides, organic farming, biodynamic farming, low input agriculture and sustainable agriculture integrated farming practices etc throughout the world. These alternative sources of building soil health especially use of biofertilisers has been found to be environmentally safe, ecologically friendly and economically viable means of restoring the soil sustainability for prolonged use. Many types of biofertilisers viz., *rhizobia* which are symbiotic bacteria that fix atmospheric nitrogen in plant root nodules and establish mutually helpful relationship with their host plants, nitrogen fixers including Azotobacter and Azospirillum which are free living non-symbiotic nitrogen fixing organisms producing certain substances good for the growth of plants, *azolla* which is found in abundance in water bodies and is also capable of fulfilling the nitrogen requirements of the plants by the process of nitrogen-fixation, Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi colonising the root system and result in phosphorus mobilization, phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (PSB) and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) which represent a wide variety of soil bacteria and work with the association of host plant have been found to be useful in agriculture. The impact of different forms of these biofertilisers on various agricultural crops along with the mulberry which is an important commercial crop grown extensively as a food plant for silkworm (Bombyx mori L.) is reviewed.

KEY WORDS: - Biofertilisers, Agricultural crops, mulberry.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

In view of negative effects posed to soil by the application of chemical fertilisers, the use of alternative source viz., biofertilisers, in various agricultural cropping systems has gained more and more popularity. The concept of biofertilisers revolves upon the observation that they can have a beneficial effect on plant and crop growth (Davidson, 1988). These biofertilisers are essentially the microbial inoculants of living cells which aid in nutrient assimilation through the process of colonization, mobilization or solubilisation of nutrients. They are ready to use live formulates of such beneficial micro-organisms which on application to seed, root or soil mobilize the availability of nutrients by their biological activity and help build up the micro-flora and in turn the soil health. Minerals, organic components and microorganisms are three major solid components of the soil which profoundly affect the physical, chemical, and biological properties and processes of terrestrial systems. Soil infertility continues to be one of the

most important constraints limiting crop yield in developing nations worldwide, and especially among farmers who have marginal land holdings and are resource poor. Therefore, maintaining soil quality through the use of economically viable alternative like biofertiliser can reduce the problems of land degradation, decreasing soil fertility and rapidly declining production levels that occur in large parts of the world needing the basic principles of good farming practice. These biofertilisers through the presence of beneficial microorganisms accelerate and improve plant growth and protect plants from pests and diseases (El-yazeid et al., 2007). Use of such natural products like biofertilizers in crop cultivation will help in safeguarding the soil health and also the quality of crop products for sustainable agriculture. These have been proved to increase crop yield by 20-30%, replace chemical nitrogen and phosphorus by 25% in general, stimulate plant growth, activate the soil biologically, restore natural soil fertility and provide protection against drought and some soil borne diseases. Reduce our dependence on chemical fertilizers and pesticides that has created problems in agriculture (Chaturvedi, 2006) and also their frequent use for a prolonged period has deteriorated the surface soil characteristics and affected the availability and uptake of nutrients by the plants Subbaswamy *et al.* (1994). In addition to this there has been a realisation that chemical fertilisers have done much damage to ecosystem and this thinking has lead to use of products like bio-fertilizer and some of the practices that were started by the developed as well as the developing countries (Kolanu & Kumar, 2007) to make the agriculture sustainable.

A small dose of biofertiliser is sufficient to produce desirable results because each gram of carrier of biofertilisers contains at least 10 million viable cells of a specific strain (Anandraj and Delapierre, 2010). *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* are the two most important non-symbiotic N fixing bacteria in non-leguminous crops. Under appropriate conditions, *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* can enhance plant development and promote the yield of several agricultural important crops in different soils and climatic regions (Okon and Labendera-Gonzalez, 1994). The biofertilisers have been proved to enhance crop yield both, if applied singly or in combination with other inoculants. According to Goswami (1997) nitrogen fixing bio-inoculants can add 20 to 400 kg N per hectare where as P solubilizing bio-inoculants can solubilize 20-30 kg P2O5 per hectare under optimum conditions depending up on the crop and agro-ecological conditions. Further, these crop benefiting bio-inoculants are known to secrete plant growth promoting substances and vitamins needed for improved crop growth and are also known to produce certain antibiotic substances, which help in suppressing the incidence of several root borne pathogens as well as foliar diseases. The consortium of biofertilisers has been proved to enhance crop yield in a sustainable way. The available information on the combined use (co-inoculation) of Vesicular Arbuscular mycorrhiza, nitrogen fixing bacteria, phosphate solubilizing bacteria and fungi have resulted in enhancement of soil fertility and thereby improved plant growth through their increased biological activity in the rhizosphere Subba Rao (1998). The role of VAM in the nutrition of agricultural and horticultural crops has received much attention (Tinker, 1978; Menge et al. 1977). Further, inoculation of soil with these organisms enriches rhizosphere microflora which can have a vital influence on plant growth through mineral uptake Sukhada (1988). Nutritional quality of any product grown under the influence of biofertiliser has been found to be significantly better (Pascale, S. De et al., 1995). The production figures of chemical vis-a-vis biofertlisers in India from 1992-93 to 2010-2011 are indicated in table 1 below:-

TABLE 1.	Production of	Chemical ar	nd Bio-Fertilizer	in India
IADLU I.	I IOUUCUOII OI	Chemical al		in muia

Year	Production of Chemical	Production of bio fertilizer
	Fertilizer (tonnes)	(tonnes)
1992-1993	12154.5	2005
1993-1994	12366.3	3084
1994-1995	13563.8	5800.5
1995-1996	13876.1	6692.3
1996-1997	14308.1	7406.6
1997-1998	16187.8	7104.6
1998-1999	16797.5	5972.1
1999-2000	18069.7	5716
2000-2001	16702.3	6242.7
2001-2002	17359.7	9019.2
2002-2003	16090	7181.7
2003-2004	16800	8701.4
2004-2005	18398	10479
2005-2006	20340	11752.4
2006-2007	21651	15871
2007-2008	22571	20111
2008-2009	24909	25065
2009-2010	24686	20040
2010-2011	28122	37997.61

Sources: Agriculture at a glance, Directorate of Economics & Statistics, Ministry of Agriculture, Govt. of India, FAI

#### Use of biofertilisers in Agricultural crops

In view of greater health concerns coupled with the increased cost of synthetic fertilizers, biofertilisers have been found to be cheap and economically viable alternative for sustainable crop production and they have found role in increasing the productivity of almost all the agricultural crops either directly or indirectly. Their role in mitigating the pathogen attack, reducing disease incidence or increasing germination rate of seeds has been proved beyond doubt.

They can be used to minimize the input cost involved in the use of chemical fertilizers and at the same time their use results in maintaining the soil health and fertility for sustainable agriculture which is more important for prolonged sustenance of mankind which are directly or indirectly associated with agricultural produce. The dual benefit of sustainable agriculture coupled with economisation of input cost holds a greater promise for the stakeholders who have less and fractured land holdings. As such the use of biofertilisers in conjunction with minimal use of fertilizers is advocated.

VAM fungi are found associated with majority of agriculture crops with enhanced accumulation of plant nutrients. It has also been suggested that VAM stimulate plant growth by physiological effects or by reducing the severity of diseases caused by the soil pathogens (Gupta, 2004).

Application of mycorrhizal fertilisers particularly *Glomus fasiculatum* improved resistance of millet plant to drought stress and could compensate some of the effects of the drought stress (Ali Arab *et al.*, 2013). According to Sajedi and Madani (2008) mycorrhizal fertiliser significantly increased grain per row, number of grains per ear, ear weight and grain yield of corn. Tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum* Mill.) seedlings treated with *Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum*, *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* indicated significantly high performance in whole plant dry weight (g/plant), plant height (cm) number of leaves/plant, number of fruits/plant,

yield/plant (g), average fruit weight/plant, chlorophyll and protein content. Dual inoculation proved to be highly (Ramakrishna and Selvakumar, influential 2012). Considerable nitrogen fixation by Azotobacter spp. and Azospirillum spp in the rice crop rhizosphere was reported repeatedly (Razie and Anas, 2008: Sison, 1999). Phytochrome production in case of rice and a beneficial effect on plant growth were also shown for a range of other microorganisms (Fernando et al., 2010: Difuntorum et al., 2006). Trichoderma spp. have been known for decades to increase plant growth and crop yield (Lindsay and Baker, 1967: Chang, et al., 1986: Harman et al., 2000), to improve crop nutrition and fertiliser uptake (Yedidia et al., 2001), to speed up plant growth and enhance plant greenness (Harman, 2006), as well as to control numerous plant pathogens (Cuevas et al., 2005).

For various crops different biofertilisers have been recommended as indicated in Table 2.

**TABLE 2:-** Recommendations of different Bio-fertilizer for various crops

111	<b>DEE 2.</b> Recommendations of unreferred bio ferrinzer for various crops	
<b>Bio-Fertilizer</b>	Recommended Crops	
Rizobium	Pluses, Oilseeds, Fodders	
Azospirillum	Rice, Wheat, millets, maize, sorghum, sugarcane	
Azotobactor	Rice, Wheat, millets, other cereals, cotton, vegetables, sunflower, mustered, flowers	
Blue Green algae	Submerged rice	
Azolla	Submerged rice with maximum temperature	
PSM	All crops	
	Source: EAL 2006 07	

Source: FAI, 2006-07

Another important characteristic of Azotobacter association with crop improvement is secretion of ammonia in the rhizosphere in the presence of root exudates, which help in modification of nutrient uptake by the plants (Narula and Gupta, 1986). Combined inoculation of biofertilisers Azospirillum, Azotobacter and phosphobactera also recorded growth increase in black pepper (Bopaiah and K.B.A 1989). While Rhizobium, Blue Green Algae (BGA) and Azolla are crop specific, bio-inoculants like Azotobacter, Azospirillum, Phosphorus Solubilizing Bacteria (PSB), Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza (VAM) could be regarded as broad spectrum biofertilizers (Gupta, 2004). Examples of some free living nitrogen fixing bacteria are obligate anaerobes (Clostridium pasteurianum), obligate aerobes (Azotobacter), facultative anaerobes, photosynthetic bacteria (Rhodobacter), cyanobacteria and some methanogens. The example of K solubilizer is Bacillus mucilaginous while for P-solubilizer are Bacillus megaterium, Bacillus circulans, Bacillus subtilis and Pseudomonas straita.

Sundara *et al.* (2002) observed that the application of phosphate solubilising bacteria (PSB), *Bacillus megatherium* var. *phosphaticum*, increased the PSB population in the rhizosphere and phosphorus availability in the soil. It also enhanced sugarcane growth, its yield and quality. When used in conjunction with P fertilizers, PSB reduced the required P dosage by 25%. In addition, 50% of costly superphosphate could be replaced by a cheap rock phosphate, when applied in combination with PSB. Young *et al.* (2003) studied the effects of a combined treatment of multifunctional biofertilizer plus 50% chemical fertilizer on

lettuce yield. From his results it is observed that there was a 25% increase of lettuce yield for the treatment of ½ chemical fertilizer plus biofertilizer compared to that of the chemical fertilizer treatment, indicating that at least 50% of chemical fertilizer can be saved as multifunctional biofertilizer was used along with chemical fertilizer.

Cyanobacteria are a diverse group of Prokaryotes, widely distributed in fresh water, marine and terrestrial environments. They are free-living photosynthetic bacteria which exist singly or in colonies and some of them are in filament forms (Curtis, 1992, Jacobson et al., 1993). Cyanobacteria including Anabaena and Nostoc species possess normal vegetative cells and specialized heterocyst cells which are involved in photosynthesis and nitrogen fixation respectively (Meckerras et al., 1990: Jacobson et al., 1993; Ehira et al., 2003). Cyanabacteria are capable of forming symbiotic association with many plants and fungi (Perter, 1990; Bergman et al., 1992; Meeks and Elahim 2002). In association with plants, cyanobacteria fix atmospheric nitrogen and release organic matter, which is absorbed by plants (Rai et al., 2000). Cyanobacteria were also used as biofertilizers in several crop plants (Venkataraman, 1986; Subba Rao, 1998; Gangawar and Thangavelu, 1992; Bose and Majumdar, 1999; Dasappa, 2000). For the last two decades, biofertilizers like Azatobacter, Azospirillum, Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza (VAM) and Cyanobacteria etc.; were used extensively to minimize the frequent use of chemical fertilizers, to improve the soil status and plant growth (Venkataraman, 1986; Subba Rao, 1988; Gangawar & Thangavelu, 1992; Das et al., 1995; Bose and Majumdar, 1999). El-Komy (2005) demonstrated the beneficial influence of co-inoculation of Azospirillum lipoferum and Bacillus megaterium for providing balanced nitrogen and phosphorus nutrition of wheat plants. The inoculation with bacterial mixtures provided a more balanced nutrition for the plants and the improvement in root uptake of nitrogen and phosphorus was the major mechanism of interaction between plants and bacteria. Coinoculation of some Pseudomonas and Bacillus strains along with effective Rhizobium spp. is shown to stimulate chickpea growth, nodulation and nitrogen fixation. Findings of Mohammadi et al. (2010) showed that the highest sugar, protein, starch contents, nodule weight and seed nitrogen, potassium, phosphorus of chickpea were obtained from combined application of phosphate solubilizing bacteria, Rhizobium and Trichoderma fungus.

The fixed phosphorus in the soil can be solubilized by phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB), through the process of organic acid production, chelation and ion exchange reactions and make them available to plants. Therefore, the use of PSB in agricultural practice would not only offset the high cost of manufacturing phosphate fertilizers but would also mobilize insoluble in the fertilizers and soils to which they are applied (Banerjee et al., 2010). Bacteria are more effective in phosphorus solubilization than fungi (Alam et al., 2002). Among the whole microbial population in soil, phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) constitute 1 to 50%, while phosphorus solubilizing fungi (PSF) are only 0.1 to 0.5% in P solubilization potential (Chen et al., 2006). Crop response to inoculation with symbiotic nitrogen fixer and phosphate solubilizing microorganisms has established their important role in supplementing nitrogen and phosphorus to the plant, allowing a sustainable use of nitrogen and phosphate fertilizers (Tambekar et al., 2009). Habibi et al (2011) strongly suggested that using biofertilizers (combined strains) plus half a dose of organic and chemical fertilizers have resulted in the greatest grain yield and oil yield in medicinal pumpkin. They revealed that 50% of required nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers could be replaced by bio and organic fertilizers, because bio and organic fertilizers improved the use efficiency of recommended nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers and reduced the cost of chemical fertilizers, also prevented the environment pollution from extensive application of chemical fertilizers. Radhakrishnan (1996) reported that inoculation of Azospirillum and phosphor-bacteria resulted in higher root biomass and more bolls in cotton. Findings of Mohammadi (2010) showed that inoculation of biofertilizers (PSB+ Trichoderma fungi) + application of FYM had a great influence on canola growth, height and grain yield in compared to control treatment. The application of biofertilizers had significant effects on nutrient uptake of chickpea Mohammadi et al. (2011). Prajakta Patil et al. (2013) selected some crops such as Ground Nut, Paddy, Maize, Tomato, and Onion for various treatments of biofertilizers, vermicompost. Rhizobium etc. Seed treatment has been given to ground nut, Pseudomonas to maize while Azotobacter and Azospirillium to sorghum and paddy @ 250g/10 kg of seeds. It was concluded that Organic formulations and biofertilizers play an important role in development of soil structure and nutrient availability which supports the entire plant growth system.

Single application of *Azotobacter* and *Mycorrhiza* inoculation and in combination to each other increased significantly spike per square meter in bread wheat cultivar as compared to without inoculation treatment. Maximum kernel weight was found in *Azotobacter* and *Azotobacter+Mycorrhiyza* and minimum in control and *Mycorrhiyza* treatments. Ammonium nitrate and *Azotobacter* + *Mycorrhiyza* treatments gave significantly higher grain yield than the other N sources and biofertilizers. Biologic yield and harvest index were only affected by N sources treatments (Bahrani *et al*, 2010).

#### **Biofertilisers in mulberry**

Mulberry is an important commercial crop grown extensively as a food plant for silkworm (Bombyx mori L.) a creature which famously produces very strong strands of protein which can be used to produce fibers and finally the silken fabrics. It continues to grow and produce leaf throughout the year under tropical conditions. The quality of mulberry leaf has profound influence on silkworm rearing. Mulberry fruit is also used commercially in jams, preserves, and fruit wines, among other things. Some cultivars have more interesting fruit than others; the best mulberry fruit is sweet and slightly tangy due to a mild acid content. The quality of leaf has a direct bearing upon the cocoon quality and quantity as well. It is said that 38.2 % of cocoon crop success is directly due to leaf quality fed to silkworms during the course of rearing. Continuous production of leaf for a longer time results in depletion of soil nutrients and unless these nutrients viz., NPK are replenished back into the soil system, the quality of leaf is bound to suffer. Farmers do not generally apply nutrients to soil in their right or recommended dosage viz., 300: 120: 120 kg NPK in the case of mulberry under temperate conditions either due to their non-availability, erratic availability or even because of the fact that they cannot afford to do so.

The co-inoculation of mulberry with phosphate solubilising micro-organisms, nitrogen fixing bacteria and arbuscular mycorrhiza has influenced its nutrient uptake through leaf. The data revealed that maximum nitrogen (484.12 kg/ha), phosphorus (59.83 kg/ha) and potassium 244.61 kg/ha) uptake through leaf has taken place due to co-inoculation treatments as compared to uninoculated control (Baqual and Das, 2006). Significant beneficial effect was also recorded on growth and yield of V1 mulberry variety due to co0inoculation with microbial consortium containing phosphate solubilising micro-organisms, nitrogen fixing bacteria (Azotobacter) and VA-mycorrhiza even after curtailing nitrogen and phosphorus to the extent of 25-50% of the recommended dose (Baqual et al., 2005). The dual inoculation of mulberry with phosphate solubilising microorganisms like Bacillus megaterium, Aspergillus awamori and nitrogen fixing bacteria Azotobacter chroococum under varying levels and sources of phosphorus and nitrogen revealed significant beneficial effect on fresh root biomass/sapling, total above ground biomass/sapling, leaf, stem and root nitrogen content of the saplings of V1 and S36 mulberry varieties (Baqual and Das 2012). The

beneficial effect of inoculation of mulberry plants with *Azotobacter* bio-fertilizer and *Vesicular Arbuscular mycorrhiza* have also been well documented by Das *et al.* (1994 a,b) and Katiyar *et al.* (1995).

Nitrogen is an essential major plant nutrient and is incidentally the most deficient nutrient resulting in lesser yield. In Kanva-2 variety of mulberry the application of Azotobacter chroococum resulted in 50% curtailment of nitrogen (Das et al., 1994). Yadav and Kumar (1994) and Balasubramanian (1995) too reported the positive response of mulberry to the application of Azotobacter inoculants. Choudhury et al. (1995) and Bhogesha et al. (1996) recommended the Azotobacter biofertiliser @ 20 kg/ha/yr with a growth promoting vipul @ 250 ml/ha/crop (2 splits) to increase the leaf yield of irrigated mulberry. Das et al, 1996 observed the positive effect of application of Azotobacter to different cultivars of mulberry and found the highest response in the variety S<sup>54</sup> when it was applied with 150 kg N/ha/yr. This clearly demonstrates the differential response of mulberry genotypes to Azotobacter. Similarly protein content of mulberry leaf, moisture percentage as well as chlorophyll content also improved significantly due to coinoculation (Baqual et al, 2005). The uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium through mulberry leaf was increased significantly due to co-inoculation as compared to the un-inoculated plants (Baqual et al, 2006 a). The cocoon parameters due to co-inoculation with microbial consortium also indicated improved trend (Baqual et al, 2006 b). Incidence of major foliar diseases of mulberry caused by Cercospora moricola, Phyllactinea corylea, Cerotelium fici, Fusarium plallidoroseum and Pseudomonas syringae was found to be effectively reduced when application of Azotobactor. chroococum was applied (Sharma et al. 1996). Further the inhibitory effect of Azotobacter species on phytopathogens is also well established (Sharma and Chahal, 1987. Pandev and Kumar, 1990). This inhibitory effect of Azotobacter chrooccocum on phyto-pathogens is found to be due to production of siderophores which are low molecular weight compounds (Neilands and Leong, 1986). The mulberry plants of both Kanva-2 and Mysore local varieties inoculated with Azospirillum brasilense @ 2 kg/ha and supplemented with 150 kg N/ha/yr increased the leaf yield and nitrogen upto 10% (Yadav and Kumar, 1989). Mulberry cuttings treated with A. chroococum and Azospirrillum brasilense prior to planting increased the sprouting and rooting percentage of cuttings thereby ensuring the establishment of more mulberry cuttings (Gangawar and Thangavelu, 1992). Sannappa et al. (2005) and Raje Gowda (1996) observed that the application of organic fertilizers to mulberry had a significant influence on cocoon yield, shell ratio, silk productivity and single cocoon filament length.

Phosphorus being a master key element is an essential plant nutrient required for early establishment and better plant growth. Phosphorus exists in soil as inorganic and organic phosphates. The inorganic forms are compounds of Ca, Fe, Al etc. The organic phosphorus containing compounds are derived from plants animals and micro-organisms. Plants are able to absorb phosphorus in available form. The important P. solubilising microorganisms are *Aspergillus awamori*, *Bacillus megaterium*, B. *polymixa* and *Pseudomonas striata*. The utility of phosphorus solubilising micro-organisms in mulberry is tremendous. *Cyanobacterial* biofertilizer (CBB) along with and without chemical (NPK) fertilizer on soil nutrients and leaf quality traits of mulberry indicated increased soil nutrients with decreased soil PH, CBB treated plots as compared to control plots. Further, the study also revealed that 50% reduction in the dose of chemical fertilizer can be compensated by the addition of higher dose of CBB (Ram Rao, *et al*, 2009). However, very few reports are available exclusively on the use of *cyanobacteria* as biofertilizers for mulberry (Bose and Majumdar, 1999 and Dasappa 2000).

Mycorrhizal plants of mulberry are found to be superior in survivability, plant growth, biomass production and leaf quality in comparison to non-mycorrhizal plants (Fatima et al. 1996). Umakant et al. (1998) working on the response of mulberry saplings to inoculation with VAM and Azotobacter reported that dual inoculation of nursery bed with Glomus fasciculatum and Azotobacter chroococum considerably increased the plant growth and mulberry sapling development. Further, inoculation of soil with these organisms enriches rhizosphere microflora which can have a vital influence on plant growth through mineral uptake Sukhada (1988). The available information on the combined use (co-inoculation) of Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza, nitrogen fixing bacteria, phosphate solubilizing bacteria and fungi have indicated their use as enhancement in soil fertility and thereby improved plant growth through their increased biological activity in the rhizosphere Subba Rao (1998). Mulberry plants, whose leaf is exclusively used for rearing silkworms (Bombyx mori L.) for subsequent cocoon production, have indicated their positive response towards the application of biofertilisers. The beneficial effect of inoculation of mulberry plants with Azotobacter biofertilizer and Vesicular Arbuscular mycorrhiza have been well documented by Das et al.(1994 a,b), Katiyar et al. (1995) and Baqual et al. (2005). In India, the mulberry is grown in abundance, mostly in the tropical belt where most of the regions are dominated by soils that are low in available nutrients and moisture Osonubi et al. (1991). Therefore, it becomes imperative to use low cost biofertilisers with added advantages in terms of crop production and sustainability of sericulture. Integrated organic manures packages of practices which included Azotobacter, VAM, seriphos, vermicompost and green manure application to V-1 mulberry and in turn feeding the leaves to CSR2 and CSR4 bivoltine silkworm breeds resulted to improved rearing and grainage parameters of silkworms similar to the standard fertilizers application (Jagadeesh et al., 2005).

Association of fungus with plants roots is termed as mycorrhiza and among the different types of mycorrhiza VAM (*Vesicular arbuscular mycorrhiza*) is the most important as it occurs among the wider range of host. It is observed that about 90% of the vascular plants are mycorrhizal. They form beneficial associations with almost all the crops irrespective of the environment under which they grow and due to their dependence for carbon, they enter into symbiosis with almost any kind of host and render it resistant to various biotic and abiotic stresses (Dehne, 1987). A plant may have more than one mycorrhiza that can colonize and form symbiosis with it. Among the mycorrhiza, most common association is the vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza (VAM) type, which produces fungal structures (vesicles and arbuscules) in the cortex region of the root. The benefits of VAM fungi include:

- Improved uptake of nutrients
- ▶ Increased tolerance to abiotic and biotic stress

≻ Beneficial alterations to plant growth regulators and synergistic interactions.

Muthukrishnan et al. (1981) noticed the occurrence of VA mycorrhiza in the feeder roots of mulberry. Ambika et al. (1994) observed the occurrence of five genera of VAM (Glomus, Acaulospora, Sclerocystis, Gigaspora) in the rhizosphere of different genotypes of mulberry. Baqual et al, (2006 c) also observed association of VAM fungi in the rhizosphere of mulberry under temperate climatic conditions. Das et al (1995 a) revealed significantly higher yield of mulberry in Kanva-2 due to Glomus mosseae inoculation and application of rock phosphate @ 30 kg/ha/yr. This indicated the possibility of saving 75% of P input through VAM. The nursery inoculated with G. moseae had tremendous improvement of growth development and survivability of mulberry saplings (Das et al., 1995 b). The dual inoculation of mulberry with Azotobacter and VAM and application of 50% NPK enhanced the growth and yield under irrigated conditions (Katiyar et al., 1996). Fatima et al. (1996) reported significant increase in cocoon yield due to inoculation of mulberry with Glomus mosseae and 30 kg P/ha/yr. Mulberry saplings inoculated with VAM (G. fasciculatum and Azotobacter chroococum) exhibited considerable increase in plant growth and development (Umakanth and Bagyaraj, 1998). The role of VAM as biocontrol agent against plant pathogens in different crops has also been elucidated by many workers (Sampanghji and Bagyaraj, 1989). The reduction of disease incidence in mulberry was encountered in those plants inoculated with VAM (G. fasciculatum in combination with 60 or 9 kg P/ha/yr (Sharma, et al, 1995). Mycorrhizal inoculation in case of mulberry also indicated highly significant amounts of chlorophyll a and b (Baqual et al, 2005). These biofertilizers are reported to be ecofriendly economical and beneficial in mulberry (Das et al., 1995; Reddy et al., 2000 and Dasappa, 2000).

# How to use biofertilisers in mulberry *a*).*AZOTOBACTER*

The high fertilizer requirement in mulberry cultivation especially nitrogen (300 kg N/ha/year) is leading to increased cost of its cultivation. *Azotobacter* biofertilizer, a cost effective supplement to chemical nitrogenous fertilizers in mulberry cultivation, is recommended to make sericulture more profitable. Besides, it reduces the deleterious effect of chemical fertilizers on soil health and also reduces the water pollution from nitrate contamination through leaching.

#### Recommendation

- Apply 20 kg Azotobacter biofertilizer/ha/year (to compensate 150 kg nitrogen) in 5 split doses @ 4 kg each time after every leaf harvest/pruning and intercultural operations.
- Use phosphorous and potash @ 120 kg/ha/year each in 2 split doses, as per recommendation for irrigated mulberry.
- Farmyard manure (FYM) should be applied @ 20 tonnes/ha/year as recommended for irrigated mulberry.

Apply only 150 kg nitrogen./ha/year instead of 300 kg nitrogen in 5 equal split doses @ 30 kg each time after every leaf harvest/pruning and intercultural operations. The mixed culture of VA-mycorrhiza containing spores of *Glomus fasciculatum* and *Glomus mosseae* is applied to mulberry garden by intercropping technique with maize as mycorrhizal host (Katiyar *et al* 1998).

#### b).VAM (Vesicular arbuscular mycorrhiza)

Furrows of 10 cm depth are first opened between alternate mulberry rows adjacent to the plant roots and soil based mycorrhizal inoculum is introduced @ 1000 kg /ha having about 10-15 spores /g of inoculum as thin layer by placing in furrows. Maize seeds are sown on the thin layer of inoculum in such a way that a proper contact between maize seeds and the inoculum is established when the seeds are germinated. The furrows are then closed and irrigated. The seeds are allowed to germinate and grown as maize plants between the rows of mulberry. The plants are allowed to grow for a period of one month till the roots of maize develop properly and establish contact with the VAM inoculum placed below. After one month the maize plants are cut at the middle portion with the help of a sickle so as to check the growth and competition of the maize plants with mulberry. In this technique roots of maize plants are colonized quickly by VA-mycorrhiza as soon as the roots come in contact with the VAM inoculum and the population of VA-mycorrhiza increases in the rhizosphere of maize plants.

#### Points to be considered during biofertiliser application:

- Biofertilizer product must contain good effective strain in appropriate population and should be free from contaminating microorganisms.
- Select right combination of biofertilizers and use before expiry date.
- ≻ Use suggested method of application and apply at appropriate time as per the information provided on the label.
- For seed treatment adequate adhesive should be used for better results.
- For problematic soils use corrective methods like lime or gypsum
- Ensure the supply of phosphorus and other nutrients.

#### Precautions for using biofertilizers:

- ▶ Right combinations of biofertilizers needs to be used.
- Biofertilizer packets are stored in cool and dry place away from sun light.
- $\succ$  Cop specific, biofertilisers be used.

- > Other chemicals should not be mixed with the biofertilizers.
- Sufficient gap be given between the application of biofertilisers and chemical fertilizers.
- ➤ Use of expired biofertilisers should be avoided.
- ➢ Both nitrogenous and phosphatic biofertilizers are to be used to get the best results along with organic manures.

## Probable reasons for not getting response from the application of biofertilizers:

- On account of quality of product
- ➢ Use of ineffective strain.
- Insufficient population of microorganisms.
- ➢ High level of contaminants.

On account of inadequate storage facilities

> May have been exposed to high temperature.

> May have been stored in hostile conditions.

On account of usage

> Not used by recommended method in appropriate doses.

▶ Poor quality adhesive.

 $\triangleright$  Used with strong doses of plant protection chemicals.

On account of soil and environment

→ High soil temperature or low soil moisture.

Acidity or alkalinity in soil.

> Poor availability of phosphorous.

▶ Presence of high native population.

In order to maintain soil health and to keep it fertile, it is of greater relevance that balanced approach towards application of fertilisers, bio fertilisers and other organic matter be adopted for the sustainable crop growth. This will not only reduce the burden of stakeholders but will also in long run improve our soil microbial complex for sustainable agriculture.

#### REFERENCES

Alam, S., Khalil, S., Ayub, N. and Rashid, M. (2002) In vitro solubilization of inorganic phosphate by phosphate solubilizing microorganism (PSM) from maize rhizosphere. *Intl. J. Agric. Biol.* 4: 454-458.

Ambika, P. K., Das, P. K., Katiyar, R. S and Choudhury, P. C. (1994) The influence of Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhizal association on growth, yield and nutrient uptake in some mulberry genotypes (*Morus* spp). *Indian J. Seric.*, 33: 166-169.

Ali Arab, Reza Baradaran and Taha Hossain Vahidipour (2013) Effect of irrigation and mycorrhizal fertilisers on yield and agronomic traits of millet (Panicum miliaceum L.). International journal of Agriculture and crop sciences, 6(2) 103-109

Anandraj, B. and Delapierre, L.R.A (2010) Studies on influence of bioinoculants (*Pseudomonas fluorescens, Rhizobium* sp. Bacillus megaterium) in green gram. *J. Biosci Tech*, 1(2): 95-99.

Bahrani, A., Pourreza, J. and Hagh Joo, M. (2010) Response of Winter Wheat to Co-Inoculation with Azotobacter and *Arbescular Mycorrhizal* Fungi (AMF) under Different Sources of Nitrogen Fertilizer. American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci., 8 (1): 95-103 Balasubramanian, A. (1995) Developing *Azospirrilum* and *Azotobacter* biofertilizers for mulberry. *Project Report, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.* 

Banerjee, S., Palit, R., Sengupta, C. and Standing, D. (2010) Stress induced phosphate solubilization by Arthrobacter sp. and Bacillus sp. Isolated from tomato rhizosphere. Aust. J. Crop Sci. 4(6): 378-383.

Baqual, M.F., Das, P.K., Katiyar, R.S. (2005) Effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and other microbial inoculants on chlorophyll content of mulberry (*Morus* spp.). *Mycorrhiza News* Vol. 17(3) 12-14.

Baqual, M.F., Das, P. K and Katiyar, R.S (2006 a) Influence of co-inoculation with microbial consortium on mulberry (*Morus* spp.) *Indian J. of Seric.* 44 (2) pp. 175-178.

Baqual, M.F and Das, P.K (2006b) Influence of biofertilizers on macronutrient uptake by the mulberry plant and its impact on silkworm bioassay. *Caspian journal of environmental sciences*. Vol. 4(2) 97-105.

Baqual, M.F., Sheikh, N.D., Qayoom, S. and Dar, H.U (2006 c). Association of AM fungi in rhizosphere of mulberry under temperate climatic conditions. *Mycorrhiza News* Vol. 18(1) 17-18.

Baqual, M. F and Das. P. K (2012) Effect of inoculation with *Azotobacter* and phosphate solubilising microorganisms on mulberry (*Morus* spp.) *I.S. J. N* vol 3(1) pp 608-612.

Bergman, B., Rai, A. N., Johansson C., Soderback E (1992) Cyanobacterial Plant Symbiosis, *Symbiosis*, 14,61-81.

Bogesha, K., Mishra, R.K., Katiyar, R.S., Das, P.K., Ambika, P.K., Choudhury, P.C and Madhava Rao, Y.R (1996) Frontline demonstration of vipul and biofertiliser technology. *Annual Report*, Central Sericultural Research and Training Institute, Mysore, P.28.

Bopaiah, B.M. and K. B. A (1989) Effect of biofertilisers on growth of black pepper (*Piper nigrum*). *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 59: 682-683.

Bose, P.C Majumdar, S.K (1999) Effect of blue green algae on chemical and nutritional properties of salt affected soil of mulberry garden productivity of mulberry and its economics, *Sericologia*, 39, 459-465.

Chang, Y.C., Baker, R., Kleifeld, O and Chet, I. (1986) Increased growth of plants in the presence of biological control agent *Trichoderma harzianum*. *Plant Diseases*, 70: 145-148

Chaturedi, S. (2006) *Bio-Waste from Jatropha Utilization as Bio-Fertilizer*, Project Report, NBRI, Lacknow.

Chen Y.P., Rekha P.D., Arunshen A.B., Lai W.A. and Young C.C. (2006) Phosphate solubilizing bacteria from subtropical soil and their tri-calcium phosphate solubilizing abilities. Appl. Soil Ecol. 34: 33-41.

Choudhury, P.C., Das, P.K., Mishra, R. K., Gosh, A., Katiyar, R.S., Bogesha, K and Ambika, P.K. (1995) On farm trial with *Azotobacter* biofertiliser and Tricontanol (Vipul). *Annual Report*, Central Sericultural Research and Training Institute, Mysore, P.33.

Curtis, S.E. (1992) Cyanobacteria, Molecular Genetics, *Encyclopedia of Microbiology* 1, 627-645.

Cuevas, V.C., Sinohin, A.M and Orajay, J.I. (2005) Performance of selected Phillippine species of Trichoderma as biocontrol agents of damping off pathogens and as growth enhancer of vegetables in farmers field. Philipp. Agr. Sci. 88: 63-71

Das, P.K., Choudhury, P.C. Gosh, A., Katiyar, R.S., Mathur, V.B., Madhava Rao, A. R and Datta, R. K (1994) Studies on the effect of bacterial biofertilizer in irrigated mulberry (*Morus alba*). *Ind. J. Seric*, 33 (2), 170-173.

Das, P. K., Katiyar, R. S., Fathima, P. S and Choudhury, P. C (1995 a) Effect of VA mycorrhizal association with different sources and levels of phosphorus on mulberry. *Annual Report*, Central Sericultural Research and Training Institute, Mysore, India, p. 59.

Das, P.K., Katiyar, R. S., Hanumantha Gowda, M., Fathima, P.S. and Choudhury, P.C. (1995 b) Effect of VA mycorrhizal inoculation on growth and development of mulberry (Morus spp.). *Indian J Seric.*, 34: 15-17.

Das, P.K., Gowda, M.H., Katiyar, R.S., Gosh, A. and Choudhury, P.C. (1996) Response of different mulberry cultivars to *Azotobacter* biofertilizer under irrigated conditions. *Ind. J. Seric.* 35(1) 33 - 35.

Das, P.K., Choudhury, P.C., Gosh, A., Katiyar, R.S., Mathur, V.B., Madhava Rao, A.R., Mazumder, M.K. (1994 b). Studies on the effect of bacterial biofertilizer in irrigated mulberry (*Morus alba*). Ind. J. Seric, 33 2, 170-173.

Das, P.K., Katiyar, R.S., Gowda, M.H., Choudhury, P.C., Datta, R.K. (1994a) Effect of Vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal inoculation on growth and development of mulberry (Morus spp.) saplings. Ind. J. Seric. 1, 15-17.

Dasappa (2000) studies on the impact of soil micro-organism on mulberry cultivation. Ph.D thesis submitted to University of Mysore, Mysore. 1-400.

Davidson, J. (1988) Plant beneficial bacteria. *Biotechnol.* 6: 282-286.

Dehne, H.W (1987) Improvement of the VA-mycorrhiza status in Agriculture and Horticulture. *Proc. 13. congr. Soil sciences Soc*; in press.

Difuntorum-Tamabalo, D., Paterno, E.S., Barraquio, W., Duka, I.M. (2006) Identification of an Indole 3 acetic acid producing plant growth promoting bacterium (PGPB) isolated from the roots of Centrosema Pubescens Benth. *Phillip. Agr. Sci.* 89. 149-156.

Ehira, S.M., Ohmori, M. SATON. (2003) Genome wide expression analysis of the responses to nitrogen deprivation in the heterocyst forming cyanobacteria Anabaena sp. Strain Pcc *T120 DNA. Res.* 10.97-113.

El-Komy H.M.A. (2005) Co-immobilization of A. lipoferum and B. megaterium for plant nutrition. Food Technol Biotech. 43(1): 19-27.

El-Yazeid A.A., Abou-Aly H.A., Mady M.A. and Moussa S.A.M. (2007) Enhancing growth, productivity and quality of squash plants using phosphate dissolving microorganisms (bio phosphor) combined with boron foliar spray. Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci. 3(4): 274-286.

Fathima, P.S., Das, P.K., Katiyar, R. S., Himantraj, M.T and Pallavi, S.N (1996)Effect of Vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal inoculation in mulberry under different levels and sources of phosphorus on silkworm growth, cocoon yield and quality, *Indian J. Seric.*, 35: 99-103.

Fernando, L. M., Merca, F. E., Paterno, E. S (2010) Isolation and partial structure elucidation of gibberellins produced by plant growth promoting bacteria (PGPB) and its effects on the growth of hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Phillip. J. Crop Sci. 35:* 12-22.

Gangwar, S.K. and Thangavellu, K. (1992) Evaluation of biofertilizers for establishment of mulberry (*Morus alba* L.) *Sericolgia* 32: 173 - 181.

Goswami, N.N. (1997) Concept of balanced fertilization, its relevance and practical limitations. Fertilizer news, 42:15-19.

Gupta, A.K. (2004) The complete technology book on biofertilizers and organic farming. National Institute of Industrial Research Press. India.

Habibi, A., Heidari G., Sohrabi, Y., Badakhshan, H. and Mohammadi, K. (2011) Influence of bio, organic and chemical fertilizers on medicinal pumpkin traits. Journal of Medicinal Plants Research. 5(23): 5590-5597.

Harman, G.E. (2006) Overview of mechanisms and uses of *Trichoderma* spp. Phytopathology, 99: 190-194.

Harman, G.E (2000) Myths and dogmas of biocontrol. Changes in perceptions derived from research on *Trichoderma harzianum* T-22. *Plant Diseases*, 84: 377-393.

Jacobson, B.L., Young, Kee Chael, John, Markley Ivan Raymuth Holden, M.H. (1993) Molecular structure of the Oxidized recombinant Heterocyst (2 Fe-25) ferredoxin from *Anabaena* T120 determined to 1.7-*A Resolution Biochemistry*, 32, 6788-6793.

Jagadeesh, N., Philomena, K. L., Magadum, S.B & Kamble, C.K. (2005) Studies on generation of bivoltine seed cocoons by integrated eco-friendly technology package. Progress of research in organic sericulture and seri-byproduct utilization, 142-147.

Katiyar, R.S., Das, P.K., Choudhury, P.C., Ghosh, A., Singh, G.B., Datta, R.K. (1995) Response of irrigated mulberry (*Morus alba* L.) to VA-mycorrhizal inoculation under graded doses of phosphorus. Plant and Soil. 170: 331-337.

Katiyar, R.S., Das, P.K. and Chudhury, P.C. (1996) Effect of dual inoculation (Bacterial biofertilisers and VA-Mycorrhiza) on growth and yield of mulberry under irrigated conditions. *Annual Report*, Central Sericultural Research and Training Institute, Mysore, India, p. 29.

Katiyar, R.S., Das, P.K and Choudhury, P.C (1998) VAmycorrhizal inoculation of established mulberry garden through maize (*Zea maize*) intercropping. An effective technique. Abs: *National Conference on Moriculture*: Physiological, Biochemical and Molecular aspects of stress tolerance in mulberry (Trichy), Feb. 22-23 pp: 54.

Kolanu, T. R. and Kumar, S. (2007) Greening Agriculture in India- An Overview of Opportunities & Constraints. *Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), fao.org.* 

Lindsay, D. L and R. Baker (1967) Effect of certain fungi on dwarf tomatoes grown under gnotobiotic conditions. *Phytopathology* 57: 1262-1263.

Mackerras, A.H., Youens, B.N., Weir, R.C., Smith, G.D. (1990) Cyanophycin involved in integration of nitrogen & carbon metabolism in the cyanobacteria *Anabaena Cylindrica* & *Gloecotheca* grown on light/dark cycles, *J. Gen. Microbial* 136, 2049-2056.

Menge, J.S., Lembright, H., Johnson Elv (1977) Utilisation of mycorrhizal fungi in *Citrus* nurseries. Proc. Int. Soc. Citric., 1:129

Mohammadi, K., Ghalavand, A., Aghaalikhani, M., Sohrabi, Y. and Heidari, G.R. (2010) Impressibility of chickpea seed quality from different systems of increasing soil fertility. Electron J. Crop Prod. 3(1): 103-119.

Mohammadi, K. (2010) Ecophysiological response of canola (*Brassica napus* L.) to different fertility systems in crop rotation. Ph.D thesis. Agronomy Department. Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran. p. 354.

Mohammadi, K., Ghalavand, A., Aghaalikhani, M., Heidari, G.R. and Sohrabi, Y. (2011) Introducing the sustainable soil fertility system for chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). African Journal of Biotechnology. 10(32): 6011-6020.

Muthukrishnan, P., Oblisami, G and Muthukrishnan, T.S. (1981) Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhizal association in mulberry. Proc. Seric. Symp. Sem. T. S. Muthukrishnan and S. R. Sree Rangaswamy (Eds.), Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India. Pp. 102-105.

Meeks, J.C. Elahi, J. (2002) Regulation of cellular differentiation in filamentous cyanobacteria in free living and plant associated symbiotic growth status. Microbial *Mol Biol. Rev.* 66, 94-121.

Narula, N and Gupta, K.G. (1986) Ammonia excretion by Azotobacter chroococum in liquid culture and soil in the

presence of manganese and clay minerals. *Plant and Soil*, 93:205-209.

Neilands, J.B. and Leong, S.A. (1986) Siderophores in relation to plant growth and disease. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol.* 37: 187 – 208.

Okon, Y and Labandera Gonzalez (1994) Agronomic applications of *Azospirillum*: an evaluation of 20 years worldwide field inoculation. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 26: 1591-1601

Osonubi, O, Mulongoy, K, Awotoye, OO, Atyee, MO, Okali, DU (1991) Effect of ectomycorrhizal and vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on drought tolerance of four leguminous woody seedlings. *Plant and Soil* 136: 131-143.

Pandey, A. and Kumar, S. (1990) Inhibitory effect of *Azotobactor chroococum* and *Azospirrillum brasillense* on a range of rhizosphere fungi. *Indian J. Exp. Biol* 28: 52 – 54.

Pascale, S.D. and Barberi, G. (1995) Effects of soil salinity from long-term irrigation with saline-sodic water on yield and quality of winter vegetable crops. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 64(3):145-157.

Peters, G.A (1990) Azolla and plant cyanobacteria symboliosis: as pests of form and function. In: Polsinelli M. Materassi R. vincenzini M. (eds) No\itrogen fixation. Preceeding of the 5yh international symbiosis on nitrogen fixation with non-legumes. Kluwer Academic Dordrecht PPt. 377-388.

Prajakta patil, Pradnya Ghag and Sangram Patil (2013) Use of Bio-fertilizers and Organic Inputs - as LISA technology by farmers of Sangamner, International Journal of Advancements in Research & Technology, Volume 2 (7):234-238.

Radhakrishnan K.C. (1996) Role of biofertilizers in cotton productivity. National Seminar Biofertilizer Production Problem and Constraints, TNAU, Coimbatore, Jan 24-25. p. 17.

RAi, A.N., Derba, C.K., Bergman, B. (2000) Cyanobacteria plant symbiosis Review, *New phytol*, 147, 499 to 481.

Raje Gowda (1996) Response of mulberry to sources of p as influenced by "p solubilizing micro-organisms in relation to cocoon production. M.Sc. (seri.) thesis, Univ. Agril. Sci., Bangalore, 198p.

Ram Rao, D.M., Dasappa, E and Selva Kumar, T. (2009) Influence of cynobacterial biofertiliser on soil nutrient and mulberry leaf quality and its impact on silkworm rearing. Sericologia 49 (3) 373-383.

Ramakrishna, K and Selvakumar, G (2012) Effect of biofertilisers on enhancement of growth and yield on Tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum* Mill.). *International Journal of Research in Botany*: 2(4): 20-23.

Razic, F and Anas, I (2008) Effect of *Azotobacter* and *Azospirrilum* on growth and yield of rice grown on tidal swamp rice fields in south Kalimantan. *Journal Tanah dan Lingkungan*. 10:41-45.

Reddy, M.P Ras Rao D.M, Verma R.S Srinath B., Katiyar, S. (2000) effect of VAM inoculated and addition of phosphorus on the growth of S-13 mulberry saplings. *Indian J. seric.* 39, 12-15.

Sajedi, N. and Madani, H. (2008) Interaction drought stress, zinc and mycorrhiza on yield, yield components and harvest index of corn. Journal of New Findings in Agriculture 3: 272-284 (In Persian).

Sampangi, R. K and Bagyaraj, D. J (1989) Root diseases and mycorrhizae. J. Phytol. Res, 2: 1-6.

Sannappa, B., Doreswamy, C., Ramakrishna N., Govindan, R & Jagadish, K.S. (2005) Influence of sources of organic manures applied to S-36 mulberry on rearing performance of silkworm (PM x CSR-2). Progress of research in organic sericulture and seribyproduct utilization, 131-136pp

Sharma, P.K., Dey, S. K. and Chahal, V. P. S. (1987) In vitro interaction between phytopathogenesis and two *Azotobacter* species. *Phytopathological Notes*, 39: 117 – 119.

Sharma, D. D., Goviandiah., Katiyar, R. S., Das, P. K., Lilitha Janardhan., Bajpai, A. K and Chudhury, P. C (1995) Effect of VA mycorhizal fungi on the incidence of major mulberry diseases. Indian J. Seric, 34: 34-37.

Sharma, D. D., Govindaiah., Gosh, A., Tomy Phillip., Ambika, P. K and Chudhury, P. C (1996). Effect of seasons, spacing, host genotypes and fertiliser doses on the incidence of major foliar diseases in mulberry. *Indian J. of Seric* 35: 57-61

Sison, M.L.Q (1999) Available biotechnologies and products. Presented at the workshop on promoting popular awareness and appreciation of biotechnology, Cagayan de Oro City. Phillippines.

Subba Rao NS (1998) *Biofertilisers in Agriulture*. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co., New Delhi.

Subba Rao, N.S (1988) Biofertilizers Potentialities nd problems. In: S.P sen and P. Polit (Eds). Biofertilizers potentialities and problems plant physiology from Nayaprakash, Calcutta, 7-16. Agriculture. Oxyford) IBH publishing co. Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi India.

Subbaswamy, M.R., Reddy, M.M., Sinha, A.K. (1994) Tank silt. A cheap name to manure mulberry. Indian Silk 32(10): 10.

Sukhada , K. (1988) Response of papaya to inoculation with VA- mycorrhizal fungi. *First Asian Conference on mycorrhizae, Madras*, p- 34 (abstr).

Sundara, B., Natarajan, V. and Hari, K. (2002) Influence of phosphorus solubilizing bacteria on the change in soil available. *Field crops research*, 77(10): 43-49.

Tambekar, D.H, Gulhane, S.R., Somkuwar, D.O., Ingle, K.B. and Kanchalwar, S.P. (2009) Potential Rhizobium and phosphate solubilizers as a biofertilizers from saline belt of Akola and Buldhana district, India. Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci. 5(4): 578-582.

Tinker, P.B. (1978) Effects of VAM in plant nutrition and Plant growth. Physiol. Veg. 16:743

Umakanth, C.G. and Bagyaraj, D.J. (1998) Response of mulberry saplings to inoculation with VA Mycorrhizal Fungi and *Azotobacter*. *Sericologia*, 38(4): 669:675.

Venkararaman, L.V. (1986) Blue green Algae as Biofertilizer in : CRC, Hand book of Microalgal Mass culture (ed). A Richmond CRC Press. *Boca Roton*, Florida. 455-471.

Yadav, B. R.D and Kumar, T. D. N (1989) *Azospirrillum* – A low input biofertiliser technology for mulberry. Indian Silk, 28(1): 31-33

Yadav, B.R.D and Kumar, T.D.N (1994) Combined inoculation of *Azospirrillum* and *Azotobacter* isolates on yield and N uptake of mulberry (*Morus indica* L.). Abstr., Micon International and 35th Annual Conference of Association of Microbiologists of India. Defence Research Laboratory, Mysore, India, 9-12 November, 1994.

Yedidia, L., Srivastava, A.K., Kapulnik, Y and I. Chet (2001) Effect of *Trichoderma harzianum* on microelement concentrations and increased growth of *Cucumber* plants. *Plant Soil*, 235: 235-242

Young, C.C., Lai, W.A., Shen, F.T., Hung, M.H., Hung, W.S. and Arun, A.B. (2003) Exploring the microbial potential to augment soil fertility in Taiwan. In: Proceedings of the 6th ESAFS International Conference, held at Taiwan, 25-27.