

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED BIOLOGICAL RESEARCH

© 2004-2017 Society For Science and Nature (SFSN). All Rights Reserved.

www.scienceandnature.org

# CORRELATION AND PATH ANALYSIS FOR YIELD AND YIELD COMPONENTS IN BLACKGRAM (VIGNA MUNGO)

Arya, P., Gaibriyal M. Lal and Sapna S Lal

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Allahabad – 211007 Uttar Pradesh, India

\*Corresponding author email: parya369@gmail.com

### ABSTRACT

The present investigation was carried out with 30 blackgram genotypes grown in Kharif 2016 following randomized block design with three replications at Allahabad (U.P.) for correlations, and direct and indirect effects of twelve quantitative characters. Correlation studies revealed that grain yield per plant at genotypic and phenotypic level was significant and positively correlated with clusters per plant, pods per plant, biological yield per plant and harvest index. Path coefficients revealed that harvest index, biological yield per plant, pods per plant and seeds per pod had high positive direct effect on grain yield at both genotypic and phenotypic level. These characters could be further evaluated and can be used as donor parents for various traits of interest in future breeding programmes.

KEYWORDS: Blackgram (Vigna mungo), Correlation, Path analysis.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Pulses are "nutritional powerhouse", rich in protein, high in fibre content and provide ample quantity of vitamins and minerals. Keeping in view large benefits of pulses for human health, the United Nations has proclaimed 2016 as the "International Year of Pulses". India is having the largest shares about 25% production, about 33% acreage and about 27% consuming of total pulses of the world. Blackgram (Vigna mungo (L). Hepper) also known as urdbean, is a self pollinating diploid grain legume (2n=2x=22) belonging to the Leguminaceae family and has genome size of 560mb (Arumuganath and Earle, 1991). It is a staple crop in the Central and South East Asia; however it is extensively used only in India and now grown in the Southern United States, West Indies, Japan and other tropics and subtropics (Delic et al., 2009). Blackgram is native to India (Vavilov, 1926). The progenitor of blackgram is believed to be Vigna mungo var. silvestris, which grows wild in India (Lukoki et al., 1980). Proximate composition of blackgram grain (per 100g) is energy (346 Kcal), protein (24g), fat (1.6g), carbohydrate (63.4g) and total dietary fibre (16.2) (Pulses for Human Health & Nutrition, IIPR). In India, blackgram is cultivated in an area of 3.06 million hectares with an average productivity of 555 kg/ha and production 1.70 million tonnes. In India, Madhya Pradesh is the leading producer of blackgram, cultivated in an area of 0.602mha with production 0.226mt and average productivity of 376kg/ha. Correlation coefficient studies provide an opportunity to study the magnitude and direction of association of yield with its components and also among various components (Panigrahi et al., 2014). Path coefficient analysis is an efficient statistical technique specially designed to quantify the interrelationship of different components and their direct and indirect effects on seed yield (Pushpa Reni et al., 2013) Lack of stable varieties giving higher yield, because of technological stagnations is the major bottleneck for growing of this crop to serve as a commercial crop the plant type should be determinate, photo insensitive, early maturing with high harvest index and should have reasonable seed yield. For that, selection of promising plant is important. So this research effort is undertaken to ascertain the association between seed yield and its related components among thirty different blackgram genotypes for evolving the superior high yielding ones.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental materials consisted of 30 blackgram genotypes obtained from the Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Allahabad (U.P.) during Kharif-2016 raised in Randomized Block Design with three replications in the spacing of 30 cm x 10 cm at the field experimentation center of the Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, and the recommended cultural practices were followed. Observations were recorded on five randomly taken plants from each replication for twelve quantitative traits viz., days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, number of primary branches per plant, number of clusters per plant, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, pod length, biological yield per plant, seed yield per plant, 100 seed weight and harvest index. The genetic association among the traits was estimated according to the formulae described by Al-Jibouri (1968). The path coefficient analysis was done according to Dewey and Lu (1959) for assessing the direct and indirect effects of each trait on grain yield.

## **RESULTS & DISCUSSION**

The estimates of genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients among yield and yield attributing traits are presented in Table 1 and 2.in the present investigation.

	S.N.		
Davs to 50%	Characters		
	Flowering	Days to 50%	
	maturity	Days to	
	height	Plant	
	lant	branches/p	Number of
	plant	Clusters/	
	plant Pe	Pods/ Se	
	od P	eds/	
	od length		
	yield	Biological	
	Index	Harvest	
	Weight	100-Seed	
	plant	Seed yield/	

## Correlation and path analysis for yield in blackgram

Flowering Days to maturity Plant height Number of primary branches/plant Clusters/plant Pods/plant Seeds/pod Pod length Biological yield Harvest Index 100 seed weight Seed yield/plant

321

1.000

0.289\*\* 1.000

-0.048 0.027 1.000

0.122 -0.123 -0.299

0.123 0.006 0.127

 $\begin{array}{c} 0.181 \\ 0.005 \\ 0.188 \end{array}$ 

-0.047 -0.053 0.153

0.048 0.297\*\* -0.100

0.214\* 0.001 -0.118

0.242\* -0.055 -0.036

0.187 0.065 0.102

0.195 -0.054 -0.121

1.000

-0.0731.000

-0.069 0.788 1.000

0.046 -0.342 -0.301\*\* 1.000

-0.207 -0.121 0.002 -0.342 1.000

0.088 0.308\*\* 0.326\*\* -0.363\*\* 0.094 1.000

0.089 0.53\*\* 0.551\*\* -0.311\*\* -0.193 0.69\*\* 1.000

-0.145 0.45\*\* 0.413\*\* -0.079 -0.002 0.092 0.092 0.085 1.000

0.057 0.493\*\* 0.492\*\* -0.300\*\* -0.132 0.675\*\* 0.829\*\* 0.084

11.	10	9.	.8	7.	6.	У	.4		2.		1.	No			12.	11.	10.		9.	8	7.	6.	.5	.4	.ω	2.		1.	No		
Harvest Index	ner nlant	100 seed Weight	Seeds/ Pod	Pods/ Plant	Clusters/ Plant	Pod Length cm	Plant Height cm	Primary Branches	flowering	Days to 50%	Days to Maturity	Character		TAB	Seed Yield/ Plant	Harvest Index	per plant	<b>Biological Yield</b>	100 seed Weight	Seeds/ Pod	Pods/ Plant	Clusters/ Plant	Pod Length cm	Plant Height cm	Primary Branches	flowering	Days to 50%	Days to Maturity	Character		
-0.033	0 000	-0.003	0.000	0.000	0.001	-0.014	-0.003	0.007	-0.000		-0.008	Maturity	Days to	LE 4: Dire	-0.004	-0.049	0.003		0.003	-0.001	0.001	-0.004	-0.020	-0.003	0.007	-0.042		0.100	Maturity	Days to	
0.144	0.046	-0.009	0.000	0.012	0.011	-0.002	0.005	-0.007	-0.002		-0.002	Flowering	Days to 50%	ct and indirect	0.311	0.302	0.029		0.028	-0.001	0.009	-0.017	-0.002	0.006	-0.008	-0.085		0.050	Flowering	Days to 50%	
0.053	0 010	0.007	-0.000	-0.004	-0.006	0.010	0.034	-0.057	-0.000		0.001	Branches	Primary	effects of y	0.087	0.105	0.017		-0.024	0.001	-0.003	0.017	0.013	0.039	-0.048	-0.014		-0.016	Branches	Primary	
-0.023	-0 025	-0.005	-0.000	0.012	0.011	0.005	-0.113	0.017	0.000		-0.000	Height cm	Plant	vield compon	-0.142	-0.045	-0.015		0.013	0.001	0.009	-0.023	0.006	-0.113	0.017	0.005		0.003	cm	Plant Height	
-0.114	0 000	0.000	0.001	0.000	-0.010	-0.049	0.011	0.012	-0.000		-0.002	Length cm	Pod	ent character	-0.179	-0.202	0.012		0.001	-0.003	-0.000	0.018	-0.058	0.012	0.011	-0.003		0.034	cm	Pod Length	
0.315	0 067	-0.021	0.001	0.050	0.086	0.006	-0.014	0.004	-0.000		0.000	Plant	Clusters/	s on seed y	0.685	0.669	0.048		0.072	-0.002	0.043	-0.133	0.008	-0.019	0.006	-0.011		0.003	Plant	Clusters/	
0.326	0 071	-0.019	0.001	0.064	0.067	-0.000	-0.021	0.004	-0.000		0.000	Plant	Pods/	ield in bla	0.615	0.643	0.044		0.060	-0.003	0.042	-0.137	0.000	-0.024	0.003	-0.018		0.003	Plant	Pods/	
-0.185	-0 078	0.004	-0.003	-0.019	-0.016	0.016	-0.017	-0.003	0.000		0.001	Pod	Seeds/	ck gram a	-0.406	-0.356	-0.054		-0.014	0.007	-0.015	0.033	0.022	-0.021	-0.004	0.007		-0.012	Pod	Seeds/	0
0.051	0000	-0.048	0.000	0.026	0.038	0.000	-0.012	0.008	-0.000		-0.001	Weight	100 seed	phenotyp	0.161	0.105	0.015		0.119	-0.001	0.021	-0.079	-0.000	-0.012	0.009	-0.020		0.003	Weight	100 seed	" bened to
0.412	0 216	-0.004	0.001	0.021	0.026	-0.005	0.013	-0.005	-0.000		0.000	Yield/ Plant	Biological	ic level	0.842	0.753	0.127		0.014	-0.003	0.015	-0.050	-0.005	0.013	-0.006	-0.019		0.003	Yield/ Plant	Biological	
0.593	0 150	-0.004	0.001	0.035	0.046	0.010	0.004	-0.005	-0.000		0.000	Index	Harvest		1.045	1.020	0.094		0.012	-0.003	0.026	-0.087	0.012	0.005	-0.005	-0.025		-0.005	Index	Harvest	

12.

Seed Yield/ Plant

-0.054

0.195

0.057

-0.121

-0.132

0.493

0.492

-0.301

0.084

0.676

0.829

384

The results showed that the value of genotypic correlation coefficient were higher than that of phenotypic correlation coefficient. The interrelationships were, therefore, strongly inherent and low phenotypic expression were due to environmental factors. Genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficient analysis revealed that seed yield per plant exhibited positive and significant correlation with clusters per plant (0.685), pods per plant (0.614), biological yield per plant (0.841) and harvest index (0.914). Similar kind of positive significant association of all four characters are reported earlier by Chauhan et al. (2007); Parveen et al. (2011) for clusters per plant, pods per plant and harvest index. Negative significant correlation exhibited by seeds per pod (-0.406).Similar kind of negative and significant association of components with seed yield as observed for characters in present study was also reported earlier by Panigrahi et al. (2014). Positive non-significant correlation shown by days to 50% flowering (0.311), primary branches per plant (0.086) and 100 seed weight (0.161) Punia et al. (2014) also reported the same for primary branches per plant and finally, negative non-significant correlation exhibited by days to maturity (-0.004), plant height (-0.142) and pod length (-0.179); Netam et al. (2010) also reported negative nonsignificant correlation for pod length.

Path analysis furnishes the cause and effect of different vield components which would provide better index for selection rather than mere correlation coefficients. Correlation gives only the relation between two variables whereas path coefficient analysis allows separation of the direct effect and their indirect effects through other attributes by partitioning the correlation (Wright, 1921). Path coefficient analysis (Table 3 and 4) results showed that positive direct effect on grain yield was exhibited by biological yield per plant (0.127), 100 seed weight (0.119), days to maturity (0.100), pods per plant (0.042) and seeds per pod (0.007). Hence, selection based on these traits would be effective in increasing the seed yield. Conversely, the other characters viz., plant height (-0.113), clusters per plant (-0.133), primary branches (-0.048), pod length (-0.058), and days to 50% flowering (-0.085) revealed negative direct effect of given magnitudes towards seed yield per plant. The characters harvest index (1.020) recorded the maximum and positive magnitude of direct effect on seed yield per plant and their association with seed yield was also highly significant and positive followed by biological yield per plant (0.127) and pods per plant (0.042). However, the clusters per plant (-0.133) had negative direct effect but positive and significant association with seed yield per plant whereas seeds per pod (0.007) also recorded positive direct effect but significantly negative correlation association with seed yield per plant. The observation showed the extent of reliability of these traits as a good selection index for grain yield. So direct selection for these traits can help to improve blackgram seed yield per unit area. Correlation coefficient and path coefficient analysis showed direct effect and significant positive association with pods per plant, biological yield per plant, harvest index which indicates that these characters can be used as selection parameters for black gram improvement.

## REFERENCES

Al-Jibouri, A., Miller, P.A. and Robinson, H.F. (1968) Genotypic environmental variation and co-variation in the upland crops of interspecific origin. *Journal of Agronomy*, 626:636.

Arumuganathan, K. and Earle, E.D. (1991) Nuclear DNA Content of Some Important Plant Species, *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter*.208-218 9(3).

Burton, F.W. (1952) Quantitative inheritance in grassesproceeding of 6<sup>th</sup> International *Grassland Congress*, 227-283.

Chauhan, M.P., Mishra, A.C and Ashok Kumar Singh (2007) Correlation and path analysis in urdbean. *Legume Research*, 30 (3): 205-208.

Delic, D., Stajkovic, O., Kuzmanovic, D., Rasulic, N., Knezevic, S. and Milicic, B. (2009) The effects of rhizobial inoculation on growth and yield of *Vigna mungo* L. in Serbian soils. *Biotechnology in Animal Husbandry*, 25(5-6): 1197-1202.

Dewey, D.R. and Lu, K.H. 1959. A correlation and pathcoefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. *Agron. J.*, 51: 515-518.

Isha Parveen, S., Reddi Sekhar, M., Mohan Reddy, D and Sudhakar, P. (2011) Correlation and Path coefficient analysis for yield and yield components in blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper). *International Journal of Applied Biology and Pharmaceutical Technology*. 2:619-625.

Kant Rama and Srivastava, R.K. (2011) Heritability and Genetic advance in Urdbean (*Vigna mungo* (L).Hepper). *Legume Research*, 34(3);226-229.

Kishore Kumar (2008) Studies in Genetic divergence and character association for physiological, yield and quality characters in Black gram (*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper). M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad, India.

Kodanda Rami Reddy, D., Venkateswarlu, O., Siva Jyothi, G.L and Obaiah, M.C. (2011) Genetic parameters and inter-relationship analysis in blackgram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. *Legume Research*, 34: 149-152.

Konda, C.R., Salimath, P.M and Mishra, M.N. (2007) Genetic diversity in black gram(*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper), *Legume Research*. 30(3): 212-214.

Konda, C.R., Salimath, P.M and Mishra, M.N. (2008) Correlation and path coefficient analysis in black gram (*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper), *Legume Research*. 31(3): 202-205.

Lukoki, L., Marechal, R., Otoul, E. (1980) Les ancetres sauvages des haricots cultives: Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek et *V. mungo* (L.) Hepper. *Bull. Jard. Bot. Nat. Belgique.*50: 385-391.

Misra, R.C. (1983) Variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis in black gram, *The Andhra Agricultural Journal*. 30 (4): 239-243.

Mehra, R., Tikle, A.N., Saxena, A., Munjal, A., Rekhakhandia and Mahipal Singh (2016) Correlation, path coefficient and genetic diversity in blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper) *International Research Journal of Plant Science*, 7(1): 1-11.

Meshram, M.P., Sonone, N.G. and Patil, A.N. (2012) Genetic Variability studies in Urdbean(Vigna mungo (l.) Hepper) *PKV Research Journal*.36(2):22-26.

Mishra, D.K., Singh, Y. And Gaur, M. (2014). Correlation and path analysis for yield contributing characters in urdbean (*Vigna mungo* (L). Hepper). *JNKVV Res J*. 48(3);288-292.

Naik Santhosh Kumar, B., Naidu, N.V., Shekhar Reddi, M. and Ravi,S. (2013).Genetic variability studies and estimation of genetic parameters for seed yield and its component characters in blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (1.)Hepper) *Progressive Research*.8(Special):180-182.

Rameshwari Netam, C.R., Pandey, R.L and Khan, R.U.(2010). Estimation of relationship of seed yield with its attributing traits in urdbean (*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper). *Advances in Plant Sciences*. 23(1): 97-100.

Panigrahi, K.K. and Baisakh, B.(2014). Genetic divergence, variability and character association for yield components of blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L).Hepper). Trends in Biosciences.7(24);4098-4105.

Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. (1967). Statistical methods for agricultural workers. ICAR, New Delhi.

Patel, R.V., Patil, S.S., Patel, S.R. and Jadhav, B.D.(2014) Genetic variability and character association in blackgram(*Vigna mungo*(L.)Hepper) *Trends in Biosciences* 7(23): 3795-3798.

Punia, S.S., Gautam, N.K., Ram, B., Verma, P., Dheer, M., Jain, N.K., Koli, N.R., Mahavar, R and Jat, V.S.(2014).Genetic variability and correlation studies in urdbean(Vigna mungo(L).Hepper).*Legume Research*.37 (6) : 580-584.

Pushpa, R.Y., Rao, K.Y., Satish, Y. and Babu, S.J.(2013). Estimates of genetic parameters and path analysis in blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L).Hepper). *International Journal of Plant, Animal and Environmental Sciences*. 3(4);231-234.

Shivade, H.A., Rewale, A.P and Patil, S.B. (2011). Correlation and Path analysis for yield and yield components in blackgram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. *Legume Research.* 34: 178-183.

Singh, I.P., Kumar, S., Singh, J.D. and Singh, K.P. (2007) Genetic variation, character association and path analysis between grain yield and its component in Black gram (*Vigna mungo* (L). Hepper). *Prog. Agric*. 7(1/2); 113-115.

Vijay Kumar, M., Vanaja, M., Jyothi Lakshmi, N. and Maheshwari, M. (2015) Studies on variability, heritability and genetic advance for quantitative traits in blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper) *Agricultural Research Journal*, 52(4): 28-31.