

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED BIOLOGICAL RESEARCH

© 2004-2017 Society For Science and Nature (SFSN). All Rights Reserved.

www.scienceandnature.org

# MORPHOMETRIC ANALYSIS OF DRAINAGE BASIN USING AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS: A CASE OF KARUN WATERSHED OF SEONATH SUB-BASIN OF CHHATTISGARH

<sup>a</sup>Nigam, G.K., <sup>b</sup>Tripathi, M.P., <sup>c</sup>Ambast, S.K., <sup>d</sup>Love Kumar and <sup>e</sup>Dhiraj Khalkho

<sup>a&b&d& e</sup>Department of Soil & Water Engineering, SV College of Agricultural Engineering and Technology & Research Station, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur - 492012, Chhattisgarh, India.

<sup>c</sup>Director, Indian Institute of Water Management -ICAR, Bhubaneswar, Odisha

### ABSTRACT

The term morphometery senses the measurements and analysis of form and its properties. In context of geomorphology which is science of landforms it is concerned with the various geometrical aspects of the landforms. Geographical information system and remote sensing are proven to be an efficient tool for morphometric analysis as well as for delineation of drainage pattern and water resources management and it's planning. Morphometric analysis of a Kharun watershed of Seonath sub-basin was carried out in the Department of Soil and water engineering, Faculty of Agricultural Engineering, Indira Gandhi KrishiVishwavidyalaya Raipur. The watershed morphometric parameters such as linear, relief and aerial aspects of the watershed were determined and computed. Database has been prepared in ArcGIS 10.4 desktop application for certain significant of morphometric parameters analysis. The Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) 30 meter data were used for preparation of DEM, and drainage network. The Kharun watershed covers an area of about 4143.25 km<sup>2</sup> and has V<sup>th</sup> order streams were calculated by using GIS environment. The low values of bifurcation ratio indicate that the drainage of the basin has suffered less structural disturbances and drainage pattern has been distorted. The basin has low drainage density and elongated in shape. Stream length decreases with the order increases. Logarithm of number of stream vs stream order and length of stream segment vs stream order were computed in the watershed area. These studies are significant for soil erosion prevention and land and soil management practices.

KEYWORDS - Morphometric; GIS; Watershed; Remote Sensing.

#### INTRODUCTION

Watershed is a natural hydrological boundary which allows the surface runoff drain to a defined stream or river at a single particular point (Chaudhary et al., 1998). The morphometric analysis of the drainage basin and channel network play a vital role in understanding the geohydrological behavior of drainage basin and expresses the prevailing climate, geology, geomorphology, structural, etc. antecedents of the catchment. Watershed management process implies appropriate use of land and water resources for optimum production with minimum hazard to natural resources (Nag, 1998; Kanth et al., 2012). The morphometric parameters of the watershed are evaluated through the measurement of linear, areal and relief parameters of the watersheds. Remote sensing techniques using satellite images are convenient tools for morphometric analysis. The satellite remote sensing has the ability to provide synoptic view of large area and is very useful in analyzing drainage morphometry. The image interpretation techniques are less time consuming than the ground surveys, which coupled with limited field checks yield valuable results. The quantitative analysis of drainage system is an important aspect of characteristics of taluk (Strahler, 1964). Drainage pattern refers to spatial relationship among streams or rivers, which may be influenced in their erosion by inequalities of slope, soils, rock resistance, structure and geological history of a

region. As the main objectives of this work was to carried out to detailed morphometric analysis of Kharun watershed of Seonath river of Chhattisgarh and discusses their feature and characteristic with the help of different morphometric parameter viz., streams order, streams number, streams length, mean streams length, bifurcation ratios, elongation ration, circularity ratio, shape factor, drainage density, stream frequency, texture ratio, relief ratio, length of overland flow, constant channel maintenance, etc. using the remote sensing and GIS.

# MATERIALS & METHODS

## Description of Study area

The study area of Kharun watershed in Seonath sub basin was selected for research work it is situated between  $20^{0}$  33' 30" -  $21^{0}$  33' 38" N latitude and  $81^{0}$  17' 51" E -  $81^{0}$  55' 25" E longitude. Location map of Kharun watershed was shown in the Figure 1.

#### **Database and Methodology**

The topographic characteristics of the study area were analyzed by using the topographic sheet of survey of India on 1:50,000 scale (survey of India, Raipur Division). It is covered by the toposheet no. 64G6, 64G7, 64G8, 64G10, 64G11, 64G12, 64G14, 64G15, 64G16, 64H5, 64H6, 64H9, 64H10. The topographical maps were georeferenced in Arc GIS 10.4 software. The Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM 30m) data were used to derive the Digital elevation model (DEM) Figure 2. Hydrology tool under Spatial Analyst Tools in ArcGIS10.4 software was used to extract drainage channels that followed a series of steps *i.e.* DEM, fill, flow

accumulation, stream order and drainage network. The definition of different morphometric parameters used in the study and empirical formulas are presented in Table 1.

TABLE 1:	Empirical Relationships Used in Analysis of Morpho-Metric Parameters and Formula
Mornhomotric	

Morphometric	Formula	Poforonco				
parameter	r vi mula	Reference				
Linear Aspects						
Stream order	Hierarchial rank	Strahler (1964)				
Stream length (L.)	Length of stream	Horton $(1045)$				
Sucani rengui (L <sub>u</sub> )		11011011 (1943)				
	$L_{sm} = L_u / N_u$					
Mean stream length (L)	where, $L_{sm}$ = mean stream length					
Weah stream length (L <sub>sm</sub> )	$L_u = Total stream length of order 'u'$	Strahler, 1964)				
	$N_{\mu}$ = Total no. of stream segments of order 'u'					
	$L_{ar} = L_a / L_{a-1}$					
	where $I_{a} = \text{stream length ratio}$					
Stream length ratio (L <sub>ur</sub> )	$L_{ur} = magn of stream length of order 'u'$	Horton (1945)				
	$L_{\rm u}$ – mean of stream length of order $u$					
	$L_{u-1}$ = mean of stream length of its next lower order					
	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{u}} / \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{u}+1}$					
Bifurcation ratio $(\mathbf{P})$	$R_b = Bifurcation ratio$					
Difutcation fatio (R <sub>b</sub> )	$N_u$ = Total no. of stream segments of order 'u'	Schumn (1956)				
	$N_{n+1} = no.$ of stream segments of the next higher order					
Mean bifurcation ratio						
$(\mathbf{R}_{\cdot})$	$\mathbf{R}_{i}$ – Average of hiturcation ratios of all orders	Strahler (1957)				
(R <sub>bm</sub> )	$R_{bm}$ – Average of officient ratios of all orders	Strainer (1957)				
Length of overland flow	$Lg = 1/2D_d$					
(I)	where, $L_g =$ Length of overland flow	Horton $(1945)$				
(Lg)	$D_d = Drainage density$	11011011 (1743)				
	$L_b = 1.321A^{0.568}$	Nookaratnam				
Basin length $(L_b)$	where, A= Area of the basin	(2005)				
	Outer boundary of drainage basin measured in	()				
Basin Perimeter (P)	kilometers (GIS software analysis)	Schumn(1956)				
A 7.4 /	knometers. (OIS software analysis)					
Areal Aspects						
	$D_d = L_u / A$					
Drainage density (D)	where, $D_d = Drainage$ density					
Dramage density $(D_d)$	$L_{\rm u}$ = Total stream length of all orders	Horton (1945)				
	A = Area of basin (km2)					
	Area from which water drains to a common stream					
Basin Area (A)	(GIS software analysis)	Strahler (1964)				
	(OIS software analysis)					
	$\mathbf{F}_{s} = \mathbf{N}_{u} / \mathbf{A}$					
Stream frequency (F.)	where $F_s =$ Stream frequency	Horton (1932)				
	$N_u$ = Total no. of streams of all orders	11011011 (190 <b>2</b> )				
	A = Area of basin $(km^2)$					
	$R_t = N_u / p$					
-	where, $\mathbf{R}_{t} = \text{Texture ratio}$	<b>TT</b> (4.0.17)				
Texture ratio $(R_t)$	$N_{\rm u} = Total no. of streams of all orders$	Horton (1945)				
	$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}$ arimeter (km)					
Infiltration Northern						
Infiltration Number	$\mathbf{D}_{d} \times \mathbf{\Gamma}_{s}$					
( I <sub>f</sub> )	Where, $D_d$ = Drainage density (Km/Km <sup>2</sup> ) and $F_s$ =	Zavoiance(1985)				
	Drainage frequency.					
	$R_f = A/L_b^2$					
Form factor $(\mathbf{R}_{f})$	where, $A = Area of basin (km2)$					
	$L_1^2 = $ Square of basin length	Horton (1932)				
	$\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{L}^{2} / \mathbf{A}$					
Change fraction (D)	$D_{\rm s} - L_{\rm b} / A$	Nookaratnam				
Snape factor $(B_s)$	where, $L_b = $ Square of basin length	(2005)				
	A= Area of basin $(km^2)$	( /				
	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{c}} = 4 \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{A} / \mathbf{P}^2$					
Circulate and D	where, $R_c = circulatory ratio$					
Circulatory ratio ( $R_c$ )	A = Area of basin $(km^2)$	N/11 (1052)				
	P = Square of the perimeter (km)	Miller (1953)				
Elongation ratio $(\mathbf{P})$	$\mathbf{P} = -(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v})^{0.5} / \mathbf{I}$	Schump $(1056)$				
Elongation ratio (K <sub>e</sub> )	$\mathbf{N}_{e} = (4 \Lambda A / J / L_{b})$	SCHUIIIII (1930)				

	where, $Re = Elongation Ratio$ A = Area of basin (km <sup>2</sup> )	
	$L_b = Basin length$	
~	$C_c = 0.2821 P/A^{0.5}$	
Compactness constant (C <sub>c</sub> )	where, $A = Area$ of basin (km <sup>2</sup> ) P = Perimeter (km)	Horton (1945)
Constant channel maintenance (C)	$C = 1 / D_d$ where, $D_d$ Drainage density	Schumn (1956)
Relief Aspects		
Ruggedness Number (R <sub>n</sub> )	$R_n = D_d * H$ $R_n = Ruggedness number$ where, $D_d$ Drainage density and Basin relief (m)	Strahler (1956)
Relief Ratio	$R_{hl} = H / L_b$ $R_{hl} = Relief ratio$ where, Basin relief (m) and	Schumn (1956)

#### **RESULTS & DISCUSSIONS**

The various morphometric parameters such as stream length, bifurcation ratio, drainage density, stream frequency, form factor, texture ratio, elongation ratio, circularity ratio, compactness constant etc. were computed.

#### Stream order

The stream order and the total number of stream segments in watershed are shown in Table 2. Based on the Strahler (1964) system of stream ordering, the watershed has been designated as a 5<sup>th</sup> order watershed in Figure 3. The calculated result matched with Strahler (1964), it was observed that the maximum frequency is in the case of first order streams and number of streams gradually decreases as the stream order increases. The presence of large number of streams in the watershed indicates that the topography is still undergoing erosion, and at the same time, less number of streams indicates mature topography.



FIGURE 1: Location Map of Study Area

#### Stream Number (N<sub>u</sub>)

Horton (1945) states that the numbers of stream segments of each order form an inverse geometric sequence with order number. Stream number of the watershed was found out by using ArcGIS10.4. Total no of 755 streams were identified in the study area, out of which 450 was first order, 206 was in second order, 79 was in third order, 17 was in fourth order and 03 was in fifth order (Table 2).

#### **Bifurcation ratio** (R<sub>b</sub>)

The bifurcation ratio is dimensionless property, defined as ratio of number of streams in  $N^{th}$  order to  $(N+1)^{th}$  order (Horton, 1945). It is an important parameter to describing stages of a river development. Bifurcation ratio is an

important characteristic feature of drainage basin as it controls the rate of discharge after a sudden heavy rainfall. Potential flood damage increases as the value of bifurcation ratio increases (McCullagh, 1978). From the Table 2, clearly the bifurcation ratio values for the Kharun watershed vary from 2.2 to 5.7 with the mean bifurcation ratio of 3.7 and the highest  $R_b$  was in stream order 5<sup>th</sup>. Weighted mean bifurcation ratio was 2.6 of the steady area. The value of the weighted mean bifurcation ratio was observed that very close to the mean value of bifurcation ratio (Table 2).

#### Stream Length (L<sub>u</sub>)

The length of the stream channel is a dimensional property, which reveals the size of the component of drainage lines. It is the total length of stream in a particular order. The total stream lengths of the Kharun watershed was computed with the help of Survey of India topographical sheets and ArcGIS 10.4 software tool. The stream length of different order wise was computed based on the law proposed by Horton (1945). The stream length of study area varies from 999.9 km to 78.5 km (Table 3.)



FIGURE 2: DEM of Study Area FIGURE. 3: Drainage Map of Study Area FIGURE. 4: Flow Chart of Methodology

TABLE 2: Stream Order, Streams Number, and Bifurcation Ratios in Kharun Watershed

$S_u$	Nu	R <sub>b</sub>	N <sub>u-r</sub>	$R_b * N_{u-r}$	<b>R</b> <sub>bwm</sub>
1	450				
2	206	2.2	656.0	1433.0	
3	79	2.6	285.0	743.2	
4	17	4.6	96.0	446.1	2.6
5	3	5.7	20.0	113.3	
Total	755	15.1	1057	2735.6	
Mean	151	*3.8			

Su: Stream order, Nu: Number of Streams

R<sub>b</sub>: Bifurcation ratios, Rbm: Mean bifurcation ratio\*,

N<sub>u-r</sub>: Number of stream used in the ratio,

R<sub>bwm</sub>: Weighted mean bifurcation ratio

**TABLE 3:** Stream Length, and Stream Length Ratio in Kharun Watershed

Su	L <sub>u</sub>	Nu	$L_u/S_u$	L <sub>ur</sub>	L <sub>ur-r</sub>	L <sub>ur</sub> *(L <sub>ur-r</sub> )	L <sub>uwm</sub>
1	999.9	450	2.2				
2	531.2	206	2.6	1.1	1531.1	1711.2	
3	247.7	79	3.1	0.8	778.9	659.7	
4	116.7	17	6.9	1.0	364.4	362.7	1.02
5	78.5	3	26.2	1.0	195.1	185.7	
Total	1973.9	755	40.9	3.9	2869.5	2919.2	
Mean				0.98*			

Su: Stream Order, Lu: Stream Length

Lur: Stream Length ratio, Lurm: Mean Stream Length ratio\*

L<sub>ur-r</sub>: Stream Length used in the ratio,

L<sub>uwm</sub>: Weighted mean Length ratio Stream.

## Mean Stream Length (L<sub>sm</sub>)

Mean Stream length is a dimensional property revealing the characteristic size of components of a drainage network and its contributing watershed surfaces (Strahler, 1964). It is computed by dividing the total length of stream of an order by total number of segments in the order, the result of mean stream length shown in (Table 3).

#### Length of overland flow (Lo)

This term refers to the length of the runoff rain water on the ground surface before it gets concentrated into definite stream channels (Horton, 1945). This factor relates inversely to the average slope of the channel and is quite synonymous with the length of sheet flow to a large degree. The length of overland flow of the Kharun watershed was found to be 0.24 kilometers, (Table 4).



be the relief and lower the values higher will be the relief.



FIGURE 5: (a) Relation of Logarithm of Number of Streams vs Stream Order (b) Relation of Logarithm of Length of Stream versus Stream Order

#### Drainage density (D<sub>d</sub>)

The drainage density determines the time travel by water (Schumm, 1957). The measurement of Dd is a useful numerical measure of landscape dissection and runoff potential (Chorley et al., 1957). Drainage density is calculated as the total length of the stream of all orders divided by the area of the basin (Horton, 1932). In general, low values of Dd indicate that the regions underlain by highly permeable material with vegetative cover and low relief and vice versa (Nautiyal, 1994). The drainage density of the Kharun watershed was 0.48 km/km<sup>2</sup> (Table 4).

#### Stream frequency $(\mathbf{F}_{n})$

Stream frequency factor is the ratio of total number of streams in a basin to the basin area (Horton, 1945). In general, low stream frequency indicates maximum area of watershed is covered with forest and high frequency indicates maximum area is covered with agricultural land (Pandey, 2007). The stream frequency (Fu) of the Kharun watershed was found 0.18. The result having low Fu values which indicate low runoff and low flood may occur (Table 4).

#### Texture ratio (T)

It is the total number of stream segment of all orders per perimeter of that area (Horton, 1945). Horton recognized infiltration capacity as the single important factor which influences Texture ratio (T). Tables 4 indicate that in Texture ratio (T) of the study area was found to be 0.55.

#### **Infiltration number** (**I**<sub>f</sub>)

Infiltration number plays a significant role in observing the infiltration characteristics of the basin. It is inversely proportional to the infiltration capacity of the basin. The infiltration number of the study area was 0.09 very low. It indicates that runoff will be low and the infiltration capacity high.

## Circularity ratio (R<sub>c</sub>)

It is ratio of the area of the basin to the area of circle having the same circumference as the perimeter of the watershed (Thornbury, 1969). High circularity ratio reflects rapid discharge from the watershed and watershed of low circularity ratio have high channel storage and low sediment yield–delivery ratio (Singh, 1992). It was found to be 0.03 in Kharun watershed. The Circularity ratio of the study area indicates that the drainage basin is more or less elongated and is characterized by medium to low relief.

#### Form factor (R<sub>f</sub>)

It is defined as the ratio of basin area to square of the basin length (Horton, 1932). The form factor ratio value of the basin was low, 0.19 that represents elongated shape.

## Shape factor (B<sub>s</sub>)

It is the inverse of the form factor and shape factor of the Kharun watershed was found to be 5.34.

## Elongation ratio $(\mathbf{R}_{e})$

Elongation ratio is the ratio of the diameter of a circle of the same area as that of the watershed to the maximum length of the watershed (Schumm, 1956). The value of  $R_e$  for the Kharun watershed was found to be 0.49 (Table 4). The  $R_e$  values can be grouped into three categories, namely circular (>0.9), oval (0.8-0.9), less elongated (<0.7) (Chopra, et al., 2005). The results indicate that basin is elongated. Values near to 1.0 are typical of regions of very low relief (Strahler, 1964).

## Compactness constant (C<sub>c</sub>)

The  $C_c$  is independent of size of basin and dependent only on the shape. The compactness coefficient for study area was found to be 5.99.

#### **Constant of channel maintenance (C)**

Schumn (1956) used the inverse of drainage density as a property termed as constant of channel maintenance. It decreases with increasing erodibility (Schumn, 1956). Constant of channel maintenance (C) value for Kharun watershed was found to be 2.10 (Table 4.) Higher

#### Ruggedness Number (R<sub>n</sub>)

Strahler's (1968) ruggedness number is the product of the basin relief and the drainage density and usefully combines slope steepness with its length. The Ruggedness Number of the study area was 0.12 to be indicating that low value of ruggedness and basin implies that area is less prone to soil erosion and have intrinsic structural complexity in association with relief and drainage density. **Relief Ratio** 

The relief ratio may be defined as the ratio between the total relief of a basin and the longest dimension of the basin parallel to the main drainage line (Schumn, 1956). The possibility of a close correlation between relief ratio and hydrologic characteristics of a basin suggested by Schumn who found that sediments loose per unit area is

closely correlated with relief ratios. In the study area, the value of relief ratio was 1.62 (Table 4).

## Lemniscate (k)

Chorely et.al., (1957), express the lemniscate value to determine the slope of the basin. In the formula k=

<b>TABLE 4:</b> Morphometric Analysis of the Study Area				
Morphometric parameters	Kharun watershed			
Basin Area (sq. Km)	4143.25			
Total Number of Stream (Nu)	755			
Perimeter(P), (Km.)	1367.61			
Basin Length (L <sub>b</sub> ), (Km.)	148.79			
Elongation Ratio (Re)	0.49			
Texture Ratio (R <sub>t</sub> )	0.55			
Mean Bifurcation Ratio (R <sub>bm</sub> )	3.78			
Drainage density (D), (Km/Km <sup>2</sup> )	0.48			
Stream frequency( $F_s$ )	0.18			
Infiltration number (I <sub>f</sub> )	0.09			
Form factor( $R_f$ )	0.19			
Circulatory ratio(R <sub>c</sub> )	0.03			
Length of overland flow (Lg), (Km.)	0.24			
Constant channel maintenance(C)	2.10			
Compactness constant (C <sub>c</sub> )	5.99			
Shape factor $(B_s)$	5.34			
Ruggedness Number (R <sub>n</sub> )	0.115			
Basin Relief (H), (m.)	241			
Relief Ratio (R <sub>h</sub> )	1.62			
Lemniscate (k)	1.34			

#### CONCLUSION

The integrated Remote Sensing and GIS based approach is more appropriate and useful than conventional methods. The study seeks to utilize the interpretation capabilities of GIS to find out the morphometric parameters of Kharun watershed. Further, the Remote Sensing techniques have been found to be suitable for the preparation of updated drainage map in a timely and cost-effective manner and should be preferred in soil erosion studies for deriving input data. The detailed quantitative morphometric analysis enables to understand the relationships among the different aspects of the drainage patterns and their influence on landform processes, drainage, and land erosion properties. Drainage density and stream frequency are the most useful criterion for morphometric classification of drainage basins. These are controllers of the runoff pattern, sediment yield and other hydrological parameters of the drainage basin. The highest order of stream is fifth order. The numbers of lower order streams are more than the higher order streams. The low value of bifurcation ratio (3.78) indicates that the drainage of the watershed is not affected by structural disturbances. Drainage network of the basin exhibits as mainly dendritic type which indicates the homogeneity in texture and lack of structural control.

#### REFERENCES

Chaudhary, R.S. Sharma, P.D. (1998) "Erosion hazard assessment and treatment prioritization of Giri River catchment, NorthWestern Himalayas" Indian J Soil Conserv 26(1):6–11.

Chopra, R., Dhiman, R.D., Sharma, P. (2005) Morphometric analysis of sub-watersheds in Gurdaspur district, Punjab using remote sensing and GIS techniques" J Indian Soc Remote Sens 33(4): 531–539 (2005)

Chorley, R.J., Donald, E.G., E.G. Malm, P.H.A. (1957) "New standard for estimating drainage Basin Shape" American Journal of Science 255: 138-141.

Horton, R.E. (1932) "Drainage basin characteristics, Trans. Am. Geophys" Unon.13: pp 350-361.

Horton, R.E. (1945) "Erosional development of streams and their drainage basins; Hydrophysical approach to quantitative morphology" Bulletin of Geological Society of America, 56, 275-370.

Kanth, T.A., Hassan, Z.U. (2012) Morphometric analysis and prioritization of watersheds for soil and water resource management in Wular Catchment using Geo-Spatial Tools" International Journal of Geology, Earth and Environmental Sciences 2: 30-41, 2012

McCullagh, Patrick, (1978) Modern concepts in geomorphology" Oxford University Press, University of Michigan.

Miller, V.C.A. (1953) "Quantitative study of drainage basin characteristics in the mountain area. Virginia and Tennessee Technical report" Office of Naval research, Department of Geology, Columbia University, New York 1953

Mukherjee, S., Sashtri, S., Gupta, M., Pant, K.K., Singh, C., Singh, S.K., Srivastava, P.K., Sharma, K.K. (2007) Integrated water resource management using remote

 $Lb^{2}/4*A$  where, Lb is the basin length (km) and A is the area of the basin (km2). The lemniscate (k) value for the watershed is 1.34 respectively.

sensing and geophysical techniques Aravali quartzite, Delhi, India" J Environ Hydrol 15. Paper no 10. 2007

Nag, S.K. (1968) Morphometric analysis using remote sensing techniques in the Chaka subbasin Purulia district, West Bengal" Journal of Indian Society of Remote Sensing 26, 69–76.

Nautiyal, M.D. (1964) "Morphometric analysis of a drainage basin using aerial photographs: A case study of Khairkuli basin, district Dehradun, U P" J of Indian Soc of Rem Sensing. 22(4):251–61.

Nookaratnam, K., Srivastava, Y.K., Venkateswarao, V., Amminedu, E., Murthy, K.S.R. (2005) Check dam positioning by prioritization of micro-watersheds using SYI model and morphometric analysis-remote sensing and GIS perspective" Journal of the Indian Society of Remote Sensing 33 (1), 25–38.

Pandey, V.K., Pandey, A., Panda, S.N. (2007) Watershed management using remote sensing and GIS – a case study of Banikdih watershed (Eastern India)" Asian J. Geoinformatics, 7 (1): 3-16. 2007

Schumm, S.A. (1956) Evolution of drainage systems and slopes in badlands at Perth, Amboy, New Jersey"

Geological Society of America, Bulletin. 67, 597-646. 1956

Singh, S., Singh, M.C. (1997) Morphometric analysis of Kanhar river basin. National Geographical" J. India, 43 (1):31-43. 1997.

Srivastava, P.K., Mukherjee, S., Gupta, M. (2010) Impact of urbanization on land use/land cover change using remote sensing and GIS: a case study" Int J Ecol Econ Stat 18 (S10):106–117. 2010

Srivastava, P.K., Mukherjee, S., Gupta, M., Singh, S. (2011) Characterizing monsoonal variation on water quality index of River Mahi in India using geographical information system" Water Qual Expo Health 2(3):193–203. 2011

Strahler, A. (1957) Quantitative analysis of watershed geomorphology" Transaction AGU 38, 913–920. 1957

Strahler, A.N. (1964) Quantitative geomorphology of drainage basins and channel networks" In: Chow V.T. (ed.), Handbook of Applied Hydrology. McGraw Hill Book Company, New York. 1964

Thornbury, W.D. (1969) Principles of Geomorphology" 2nd edition, Wiley and Sons, New York, USA.