



HEAT USE EFFICIENCY AND HELIO-THERMAL UNITS FOR MAIZE GENOTYPES AS INFLUENCED BY DATES OF SOWING UNDER SOUTHERN TRANSITIONAL ZONE OF KARNATAKA STATE

¹Girijesh, G.K., ¹Kumara swamy, A.S., ¹Sridhara, S. ²Dinesh Kumar, M., ²Vageesh, T.S & ²Nataraju, S.P.

¹Agricultural College, Shimoga

²Zonal Agricultural Research Station, Navile, Shimoga

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was carried out during *kharif* seasons of 2005 and 2006 to study the effect of heat and thermal unit use of maize cultivars in red sandy loam soil at Zonal Agricultural Research Station, Shimoga (Karnataka, India). The experiment consisted of three dates of sowing *viz.*, first fortnight of June, second fortnight of June and first fortnight of July with six cultivars *viz.*, two double cross hybrids (All-rounder and 30 R-77), two single cross hybrids (NAH-2049 and 30 V-92) and two composites (NAC-6004 and NAC-6002) was laid out in split-plot design replicated thrice. Results indicated a high degree of linear relationship between GDD and dry matter accumulation of maize with all the genotype groups across dates of sowing. Higher heat use efficiency was recorded with the crop sown in first fortnight of June and decreased with each delay in sowing. Grain yield of maize was found inversely related to the helio-thermal units. Thus, early sowings escape adverse situation during its life cycle in maize.

KEY WORDS: GDD, Heat use efficiency, helio-thermal units, maize

INTRODUCTION

Three important climatic parameters *viz.*, temperature, rainfall and light are most important for optimum crop growth and development there by exploits the potentiality of a crop. Among these, temperature plays a vital role in almost all biological processes of crop plants. It is one of the most important climatic factors affecting the growth, development and yield of crops. Influence of different time of sowing as well as temperature on growth and yield of wheat has been studied under field conditions through the accumulated heat units by Rajput *et al.* (1987). However, the growing degree day (GDD) concept may mislead as the minimum and maximum temperatures are being considered for calculating GDD which are the events occurring at a particular point of time in a day. As the planting is delayed beyond optimum date/ideal time,

the maize yields go down. Early sown maize plants are able to face and tolerate the adverse weather and environment. In rainfed situation, the sowing of maize is generally done with the onset of monsoon rains. Most suitable temperature for germination is 21 °C and for growth 32 °C (Jain, 1973). Extremely high temperature, moisture stress and low humidity during flowering damage the flowering and the foliage, desiccation of pollen and interfere with the pollination resulting in poor grain formation. Thus, rate of development of maize from planting to anthesis is a function of temperature rather than photosynthesis (Brower, *et al.*, 1970). So a field experiment was conducted to study the effect of Growing Degree Days (GDD) on dry matter production, heat use efficiency and yield response of maize genotypes to Helio-Thermal Units (HTU).

| Genotypes | Year | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | 2005 | | | 2006 | | |
| | D ₁ | D ₂ | D ₃ | D ₁ | D ₂ | D ₃ |
| | <i>Date of Sowing</i> | | | | | |
| | 11.06.05 | 26.06.05 | 10.07.05 | 14.06.06 | 29.06.06 | 12.07.06 |
| | <i>Date of harvesting</i> | | | | | |
| All-Rounder, NAH-2049, NAC 6004 (Long duration) | 09-10-05 | 29-10-05 | 15-11-05 | 22-10-06 | 06-11-06 | 16-11-06 |
| 30 R V 92 & 30 R-77 (Medium duration) | 13-10-05 | 21-10-05 | 09-11-05 | 12-10-06 | 02-11-06 | 11-11-06 |
| NAC 6002 (Short duration) | 19-09-05 | 24-09-05 | 18-10-05 | 22-09-06 | 06-10-06 | 19-10-06 |

D₁ = 1st fortnight of June D₂ = 2nd fortnight of June, D₃ = 1st fortnight of July

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A field experiment was carried out during *kharif* seasons of 2005 and 2006 on red sandy loam soils (*Alfisols*) of Zonal Agricultural Research Station, Shimoga, Karnataka

state (India). Eighteen treatment combinations, three dates of sowing (June first fortnight, June second fortnight of July first fortnight) and six cultivars of maize (two double cross hybrids: All-rounder and 30 R-77, two single cross

Heat use efficiency and helio-thermal units for maize genotypes as influenced by dates of sowing hybrids : 30 V-92 and NAH-2049 and two composites : NAC-6004 and NAC-6002) were tried in a split-plot design with three replications. Dates of sowing and harvesting of different genotype groups is given above: A common cultivation practices have followed for all genotypes as per the recommended package of practices for the Agro-climatic Zone. Geographically, the experimental site is situated at 14°0' to 14°1' N latitude and 75°40' to 75°42' E longitude at an altitude of 650 meters above mean sea level. The normal rainfall of experimental site was 800 mm (54 rainy days) with maximum temperature being recorded in the month of April (35.8°C) and minimum temperature (14.8°C) during January (Table-1 and Fig.1). Sowing of maize was done in rows 60 cm apart with intra row spacing of 30 cm. A common dose of 50 kg N, 50 Kg P₂O₅ and 25 Kg of K₂O was applied in the form of urea, DAP and muriate of potash as basal at sowing. Another 50 kg N was given as top dress in the form of urea at 30 DAS. Recommended dose of farm yard manure @ 7.5 tones/ha was applied 15 days earlier to sowing to all treatment plots. Growing degree days at different phenological stages were calculated by summation of daily mean temperature above base temperature for a corresponding period from sowing, as suggested by Monteith (1984).

$$GDD = \sum \frac{(T_{max} + T_{min})}{2} - T_{base}$$

Where, T_{max}, T_{min} and are maximum, minimum temperature.

T_{base} is base temperature was taken as 10°C.

Heat use efficiency was calculated as:

$$HUE = \frac{\text{Total dry matter (g m}^2\text{)}}{GDD}$$

The index helio thermal unit (HTU) serves to be effective in taking into account and expressing the effect of varying ambient temperature on the duration between the phenological events for comparing the crop response to the ambient temperature between phenological stages. Helio thermal unit was calculated using the formula given by Rajput (1980).

HTU = Σ GDD x Cumulative Sun Shine Hours (from sowing to physiological maturity)

Helio-thermal use efficiency was calculated by using the formula:

$$HTUE \text{ (kg/HTU)} = \frac{\text{Yield (kg)}}{HTU}$$

To relate the yield to helio-thermal units, the selected maize cultivars were grouped into three viz., long duration group (All-rounder, NAH-2049 and NAC-6004) 130-140 days, medium duration group i.e., 120-130 days (30 R-77 and 30 V-92) and short duration composite NAC-6002 (90-100 days).

TABLE 1. Monthly meteorological data for the year 2005 and 2006 against normal at ZARS, Shimoga

| Month | Total rainfall (mm) | | | Mean Max. Temperature (°C) | | | Mean Min. Temperature (°C) | | | Mean Relative humidity (%) | | | Actual cumulative sunshine hrs. | |
|-------|---------------------|-------|-------|----------------------------|------|------|----------------------------|-------|-------|----------------------------|------|------|---------------------------------|--------|
| | N | 2005 | 2006 | N | 2005 | 2006 | N | 2005 | 2006 | N | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 |
| Jan. | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 31.0 | 28.0 | 31.1 | 14.0 | 15.8 | 14.3 | 75 | 63.0 | 81.4 | 284.2 | 272.0 |
| Feb. | 2.2 | 0 | 0 | 32.5 | 32.4 | 32.9 | 14.6 | 17.9 | 14.5 | 75 | 68.0 | 82.8 | 280.8 | 274.0 |
| Mar. | 9.5 | 0 | 9.5 | 35.1 | 36.8 | 34.9 | 18.3 | 18.4 | 18.0 | 78 | 78.4 | 85.8 | 295.1 | 273.6 |
| Apr. | 43.8 | 251.6 | 24.2 | 35.9 | 37.0 | 36.9 | 18.6 | 20.9 | 19.0 | 79 | 83.4 | 90.0 | 242.4 | 265.8 |
| May | 56.6 | 40.4 | 60.0 | 34.8 | 35.5 | 34.9 | 20.6 | 20.5 | 21.2 | 82 | 82.5 | 91.6 | 248.6 | 230.1 |
| June | 123.4 | 255.8 | 139.4 | 30.2 | 30.7 | 29.9 | 19.2 | 20.1 | 19.5 | 85 | 87.3 | 91.2 | 95.8 | 94.0 |
| July | 151.2 | 351.6 | 201.8 | 28.2 | 28.2 | 27.6 | 19.5 | 19.6 | 20.0 | 87 | 85.0 | 90.9 | 84.7 | 77.4 |
| Aug. | 120.5 | 148.0 | 150.0 | 27.5 | 28.8 | 27.1 | 19.4 | 19.2 | 19.8 | 90 | 82.0 | 91.5 | 103.2 | 124.5 |
| Sept. | 106.4 | 48.4 | 99.6 | 29.6 | 29.5 | 29.2 | 19.5 | 19.3 | 20.1 | 87 | 87.0 | 90.7 | 128.5 | 124.5 |
| Oct. | 133.7 | 304.6 | 29.2 | 29.5 | 29.6 | 30.3 | 19.4 | 20.5 | 20.2 | 86 | 88.0 | 88.1 | 122.8 | 93.5 |
| Nov. | 55.5 | 8.8 | 75.6 | 30.2 | 27.8 | 29.6 | 17.3 | 17.9 | 17.5 | 83 | 85.0 | 87.6 | 200.1 | 204.5 |
| Dec. | 8.8 | 0 | 0 | 30.0 | 29.7 | 32.1 | 14.3 | 14.5 | 14.8 | 81 | 84.0 | 85.8 | 268.0 | 255.5 |
| Total | 811.9 | 1409 | 789.3 | 31.2 | 31.2 | 31.4 | 17.89 | 18.72 | 18.24 | 82.3 | 81.1 | 88.1 | 2354.2 | 2289.4 |

N = Normal (Average of 29 years from 1975-2004)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growing degree day

The maximum heat units of 1768.8 degree days from sowing to physiological maturity were recorded by sowing in first fortnight of July which is almost equal to June first fortnight sowing (1766.8 degree days) (Table-2). However, at seedling stage (up to 30 DAS) highest heat units were recorded in June first fortnight sowing.

Heat use efficiency (HUE)

Total dry matter per plant was found to be significant at all crop growth stages. Significantly higher dry matter per

plant was noticed recorded with June first fortnight sown crop at all the stages. The progressive decrease in total dry matter per plant was observed with each delay in sowing in all the genotypes (Table 3). There was a overall reduction of 16.5 and 39 per cent reduction in total dry matter per plant, respectively, with June second fortnight and July first fortnight sowings when compared to June first fortnight sowing. Reason could be exploitation of climatic and soil moisture at important growth stages by the crop sown early and higher leaf area index which might have provided more photosynthetic area (LAI) and

contributed more dry matter. The interaction effect of date of sowing and genotype significantly influenced the leaf area index (LAI). There was a progressive decline in LAI for every delay in sowing in all the genotypes at different crop growth stages (Table 4). Also attributed to higher growing degree days available for and heat use efficiency by early sown crop. High degree of linear relationship

exists between total dry matter production and growing degree days for early sown crop (Fig. 2). The slope of the linear regression indicated the HUE. Means higher the slope of the curve higher the efficiency *i.e.* higher dry matter production per unit of heat was higher at all growth stages with June first fortnight than later sown crop (Table 2).

TABLE 2: Heat use efficiency (g/GDD) as influenced by date of sowing in maize

| Crop Growth Stage | 1 st fortnight of June | | | 2 nd fortnight of June | | | 1 st fortnight of July | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| | Growing degree days | Total dry matter (g/plant) | Heat use efficiency | Growing degree days | Total dry matter (g/plant) | Heat use efficiency | Growing degree days | Total dry matter (g/plant) | Heat use efficiency |
| 30 DAS (knee ht. stage) | 433.1 | 20.2 | 0.047 | 421.1 | 16.1 | 0.038 | 427.2 | 9.99 | 0.023 |
| 60 DAS (Silking stage) | 861.4 | 138.1 | 0.160 | 860 | 117.8 | 0.137 | 879.2 | 95.5 | 0.109 |
| 90 DAS (grain development stage) | 1313.2 | 215.3 | 0.164 | 1306.6 | 168.2 | 0.129 | 1331.8 | 129.2 | 0.097 |
| At physiological maturity | 1766.8 | 251.8 | 0.143 | 1761.1 | 194.6 | 0.110 | 1768.8 | 146.3 | 0.083 |

TABLE 3: Extent of reduction in total dry matter accumulation of maize due to delay in sowing dates

| Genotypes | Reduction in total dry matter accumulation /plant (%) | |
|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| | 2 nd fortnight of June | Dry matter accumulation first fortnight of June |
| AR | 16 | 41 |
| 30-R-77 | 12 | 35 |
| 30-V92 | 17 | 42 |
| NAH-2049 | 14 | 41 |
| NAC-6002 | 15 | 27 |
| NAC-6004 | 25 | 47 |
| Overall | 16.5 | 39 |

TABLE 5. Grain yield of maize as influenced by date of sowing and genotypes

| Cultivar group | 1 st fortnight of June | 2 nd fortnight of June | 1 st fortnight of July | Mean |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------|
| <i>Long duration</i> | | | | |
| NAH-2049 | 8253 | 6035 | 4289 | 6195 |
| All-rounder | 7286 | 5585 | 4017 | 5630 |
| NAC-6004 | 6399 | 4900 | 3764 | 5021 |
| Mean | 7313 | 5507 | 4023 | 5615 |
| <i>Relative lesser duration</i> | | | | |
| 30 R-77 | 6295 | 5794 | 3518 | 5203 |
| 30 V-92 | 6764 | 4514 | 3499 | 4926 |
| Mean | 6530 | 5154 | 3508 | 5064 |
| <i>Short duration</i> | | | | |
| NAC-6002 | 5000 | 4562 | 4528 | 4697 |
| Grand mean | 6668 | 5232 | 3936 | 5278 |

TABLE 6. Extent of reduction in yield of maize due to delay in sowing dates

| Genotypes | Reduction in grain yield (%) | |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Yield 2 nd fortnight of June | First fortnight of July |
| AR | | |
| 30-R-77 | 23 | 45 |
| 30-V92 | 08 | 44 |
| NAH-2049 | 33 | 48 |
| NAC | 27 | 48 |
| 6002 | 8.8 | 9.4 |
| NAC-6004 | 23 | 42 |
| Overall | 22 | 41 |

TABLE 7. Yield response of Maize to helio thermal units and helio-thermal use efficiency across dates of sowing and genotype groups

| Cultivar group | genotype groups | | |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | 1 st fortnight of June | 2 nd fortnight of June | 1 st fortnight of July |
| | <i>Long duration</i> | | |
| Yield (kg/ha) | 7313 | 5507 | 4023 |
| HTU | 7142 | 7231 | 8371 |
| HTUE (kg/HTUE) | 1.02 | 0.76 | 0.48 |
| | <i>Relative lesser duration</i> | | |
| Yield (kg/ha) | 6530 | 5154 | 3508 |
| HTU | 6449 | 6918 | 7650 |
| HTUE (kg/HTUE) | 1.01 | 0.75 | 0.46 |
| | <i>Short duration</i> | | |
| Yield (kg/ha) | 5000 | 4562 | 4528 |
| HTU | 4588 | 4724 | 5910 |
| HTUE (kg/HTUE) | 1.09 | 0.96 | 0.77 |

Higher heat use efficiency of 0.047, 0.16, 0.164 and 0.143 g/GDD was recorded with the crop sown in first fortnight of June at 30, 60, 90 DAS and at physiological maturity, respectively but decreased correspondingly with each delay in sowing (Table 4)

Yield

The pooled data on grain yield revealed that both main and sub plots varied significantly with each other with the highest grain yield of 6668 kg per ha being obtained by sowing in first fortnight of June and second and third date of sowing were next in the order (Table 5). The yield reduction of maize due to delayed sowing was to an extent of 22 and 41 per cent in crop sowing during second fortnight of June and first fortnight of July, respectively, compared to first date of sowing (Table 6). Extent of yield reduction was more with long duration varieties.

Helio-thermal units

Helio thermal units available for the crop from its sowing to physiological maturity were higher for the crop sown during 1st fortnight of July. This is true for all genotype groups (Table 6). This is because of the growth of the later sown crops extended up to November second week where in monsoon ceases and grain filling stages of delayed sown crops subjected to bright sunny days coupled with long dry spells. However, the short duration composite was found relatively stable with respect to yield as the reduction in yield due to delayed sowing was only to an extent of 9.4 per cent as against over 40 per cent in remaining genotypes. Even the availability of helio thermal units for short duration variety did not vary much across dates of sowing due to its low maturity period.

Helio-thermal use efficiency (HTUE)

Helio-thermal use efficiency recorded by the crop sown during first fortnight of June was higher than in later dates (Table 7). This is true with respect to all genotype groups. However, short duration genotype NAC-6002 has exhibited high HTUE than long duration groups. This could be attributed to exposure of long duration genotypes to bright sunny days coupled with long dry spells at their later growth period (grain filling stage). While, inverse relationship between HTU and grain yield of maize under delayed sowing was noticed with all the genotype groups (Table 7). Negative relationship of HTU with the yield

also reported by Rajaput *et al.* (1987) and Thavaprakash *et al.* (2007) in baby corn.

In all, early sown maize has taken the advantage of optimum temperature and sunlight during the early stages of plant development and there by avoided adverse situations during its life cycle. Results obtained clearly indicate the negative correlation between helio-thermal units (HTU) and yields of maize. Surprisingly, HTU under delayed sowing was higher. This is because delayed sown crops exposed more to the cloud free situation coupled with dry weather during later part of their growth period where monsoon season ceases. Thus, the role of HTU should be understood in various crops for all Agro-climatic Zones of the country.

REFERENCES

- Brouwer, R., Jenneken, P.J. & Borggreve (1970) *Growth responses of shoots and roots to interruptions of irrigation supply*. Jaarb. IBS:29-36.
- Jain (1973) New Agronomy boosts in maize yield *Indian Fmg.* **23** (1): 17-20.
- Monteith, J.C. (1984) Consistency and Convenience in the choice of units for agricultural sciences, *Expl. agric.*, **20**: 115-117.
- Rajput, R. P. (1980) Response of soybean crop to climatic and soil environments. *Ph.D. Thesis*, IARI, New Delhi, India.
- Rajput, R. P., Deshmukh, M.R. & Paradkar, V.K. (1987) Accumulated heat units and phenology relationship in wheat as influenced by planting dates under late sown conditions. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.*, **159**:345-348
- Thavaprakash N. & Jagannathan, R. Velayudham & Gurusamy, L. (2007) Seasonal influence on phenology and accumulated heat units in relation to yield of baby corn. *International Journal of Agricultural Research*, **2**(9):826-831.

TABLE 4. Interaction effect on (DxG) leaf area index (LAI) of maize

| DOS/ Genotype | 30 DAS | | | | | | | 90 DAS | | | | | | | At harvest | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------|
| | V ₁ | V ₂ | V ₃ | V ₄ | V ₅ | V ₆ | Mean | V ₁ | V ₂ | V ₃ | V ₄ | V ₅ | V ₆ | Mean | V ₁ | V ₂ | V ₃ | V ₄ | V ₅ | V ₆ | Mean |
| D ₁ | 2.07 | 1.53 | 1.72 | 2.31 | 1.65 | 1.64 | 1.82 | 4.72 | 4.41 | 4.28 | 5.03 | 3.65 | 4.46 | 4.42 | 1.36 | 1.19 | 1.24 | 1.61 | 0.67 | 1.02 | 1.18 |
| D ₂ | 1.27 | 1.18 | 1.15 | 1.43 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.24 | 4.19 | 3.83 | 3.94 | 5.06 | 3.47 | 3.78 | 4.05 | 1.04 | 1.07 | 1.11 | 1.23 | 0.64 | 0.81 | 0.98 |
| D ₃ | 1.07 | .97 | 1.00 | 1.08 | 1.15 | 0.92 | 1.03 | 2.74 | 2.82 | 2.21 | 2.86 | 2.64 | 2.45 | 2.62 | 0.81 | 0.66 | 0.77 | 0.69 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 0.70 |
| Mean | 1.47 | 1.27 | 1.29 | 1.61 | 1.34 | 1.26 | 1.36 | 3.88 | 3.68 | 4.48 | 4.31 | 3.26 | 3.56 | 3.70 | 1.07 | 0.97 | 1.04 | 1.18 | 0.60 | 0.80 | 0.94 |
| S. Em ± 0.10 CD (P=0.05) 0.28 | | | | | | | S. Em ± 0.15 CD (P=0.05) 0.42 | | | | | | | S. Em ± 0.067 CD 0.05 0.186 | | | | | | | |
| Interaction at fixed level of main plot CD (P=0.05) 0.58 | | | | | | | Interaction at fixed level of main plot CD (P=0.05) 0.68 | | | | | | | Interaction at fixed level of main plot CD (P=0.05) 0.26 | | | | | | | |

D₁ = 1st fortnight of JuneD₂ = 2nd fortnight of JuneD₃ = 1st Fortnight of JulyV₁ = All-rounderV₂ = 30 R-77V₃ = 30V-92V₄ = NAH-2049V₅ = NAC-6002V₆ = NAC-6004