

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND NATURE

© 2004 - 2013 Society For Science and Nature(SFSN). All Rights Reserved

www.scienceandnature.org

BANNED PESTICIDES RESIDUES IN FARMGATE VEGETABLES OF SRI GANGANAGAR

Yasmeen Khan & T.I. Khan

Indira Gandhi Centre for Human Ecology, Environmental and Population Studies, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur - 302004.

ABSTRACT

The present study was conducted to evaluate the banned pesticide contamination in tomato from Sri Ganganagar City, Rajasthan, India, using Gas Chromatograph and Multiple residue method. 68% of the samples were found to be contaminated with various organochlorine pesticide residues. The amount of pesticide detected in Tomato was higher than the permissible limits prescribed by WHO/FAO.

KEY WORDS: Pesticides, Multiple residue method, Gas Chromatograph, MRL value.

INTRODUCTION

The global share of India, in vegetable production is about 13.4%. Surveys carried out by institutions spread throughout the nation indicated that 50-70% of total vegetables are contaminated with pesticide residues (Karanth, 2002). In India, farmer uses about 6000 tonnes of active ingredients to control pests of vegetables and fruits (Mohan and Gujar, 2003). Vegetables consume 14% of the total pesticides used in India, in which, the share of different types of pesticides in Indian agriculture market shows that organophosphorus (50%) ranked first, followed by pyrethroids (19%), organochlorines (18%), carbamates (4%) and biopesticides (1%) (Dhaliwal and Singh, 2000). Many nations banned or restricted their use in agriculture because of their environmental persistence, bioaccumulation and toxic action on many non-target organisms, damage to wildlife and potential harm to human and environmental health (Witczak and Abdel, 2012; Wurl; Obbard, 2006).Organochlorine pesticides have been considered as endocrine-disrupting chemicals and carcinogenic substances (Amaral-Mendes, 2002). Considering the above points, a study has conducted for the assessment of banned organochlorine pesticides contamination in Tomato from the Sri Ganganagar city.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Sampling: Sampling was conducted for the period of one year from 2010 to 2011. Tomato was selected for the assessment of pesticide residues as it is used by people in their daily diet in various ways such as vegetable, raw in salad, in ketchup etc. 50 samples of tomato were collected from agricultural fields. After collection, these samples were kept in polythene bags and then transported on ice to the laboratory where they were analyzed, stored at 4°C until analysis.

Extraction and Clean-up

All the solvents used in extraction and clean-up process were of HPLC grade procured from E. Merck India limited. Multi Residue Method (MRM) was followed for the extraction of organochlorine pesticides from vegetable samples. 50 gm. of each vegetable was grinded, was extracted twice, with 50 ml acetonitrile each time. The pooled extract was partitioned with petroleum ether (50 ml). To this extract 5 ml of NaCl solution (2%) and 300ml of distilled water was added. The solvent layer, after discarding aqueous layer, was washed with two 100 ml portions of distilled water. The solvent layer was then treated with 7.5 gms anhydrous sodium sulphate to remove the moisture. Extract after cleanup was evaporated till dryness and final makeup done with n-Hexane and stored in deep freezer for analysis.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

The present study was undertaken to determine the concentration of different banned organochlorine pesticides residues in farmgate vegetables of Sri Ganganagar. Pesticides are known to be present in vegetables due to extensive use of corresponding pesticides in interfiled cultivation. The result of study reveals that the 68% of total analyzed samples were contaminated with different pesticide residues. 50% of total contaminated samples were exceeded the maximum residual limit (MRL) values as per the FAO/WHO. It is reported that out of fifty samples of tomato, twenty were found contaminated with Endosulfan and among them, none was exceeded from MRL value. Twelve were found contaminated with Aldrin and among them, eleven was exceeded from MRL value. Twenty five were found contaminated with HCH and among them, seventeen was exceeded from MRL value. Five were found contaminated with DDT but MRL value of it is not given. The results of the study are in consonance with the earlier studies on farmgate vegetable samples. Periodic monitoring of farmgate vegetables must be carried out to know the prevailing scenario of banned pesticide contamination of vegetables grown in the Sri Ganganagar. The present research will not only serve as reference document but also helpful in taking necessary and timely preventive measure to mitigate such problems.

Pesticides residues in farmgate vegetables of Sri Ganganagar

Seasons	Beginning Season	Middle Season	End Season	
	(January)	(June - July)	(December)	
α HCH	ND	ND	ND	
β НСН	ND	ND	ND	
γ - HCH	$0.312 \pm .046$	$0.126 \pm .044$	$0.157 \pm .002$	
pp DDD	$0.018 \pm .006$	$0.018 \pm .006$	ND	
op DDE	$0.018 \pm .006$	ND	ND	
op DDD	ND	ND	ND	
pp DDT	$0.022 \pm .007$	$0.018 \pm .006$	ND	
α Endo	$0.051 \pm .018$	0.123 ± 0.043	0.059 ± 0.020	
β Endo	0.036 ± 0.012	0.064 ± 0.022	0.086 ± 0.030	
Aldrin	$0.274 \pm .097$	0.224 ± 0.079	0.089 ± 0.031	
Dieldrin	ND	0.106 ± 0.037	ND	

TABLE 1: Seasonal distribution of organochlorine pesticide in tomato (In ppm).

TABLE 2: Total organochlorine pesticide in tomato (In ppm).

Name of pesticide	Vegetables	Total no. of	Acute	MRL	% of samples	No. of
detected (No. of sample contaminated)	(No. of samples studied)	samples contaminated with different pesticides	Toxicity	In ppm WHO/FAO	contaminated with pesticides	samples exceeded MRL
						In ppm
Endosulfan		21	High	.5	42%	Nil
Aldrin		12	High	.1	24%	11
Dieldrin	Tomato	ND	High	.1	ND	Nil
HCH(Lindane)	(50)	25	Moderate	.01	50%	17
DDT		5	Moderate	Not given	1%	-

TABLE 3: Prevailing scenario of different banned pesticides in farmgate vegetables of Sri Ganganagar during Year 2010

			to 2011			
Name of pesticide	Vegetables	Total no. of	Acute	MRL	% of samples	No. of
detected (No. of	(No. of	samples	Toxicity	In ppm	contaminated	samples
sample	samples	contaminated			with	exceeded
contaminated)	studied)	with different			pesticides	MRL
		pesticides				In ppm
Endosulfan		21	High	.5	42%	Nil
Aldrin	Tomato	12	High	.1	24%	11
Dieldrin	(50)	ND	High	.1	ND	Nil
HCH(Lindane)		25	Moderate	.01	50%	17
Heri(Eliliane)		25	Wioderate	•01	5070	17
DDT		5	Moderate	Not	1%	-
				given		

RECOMMENDATION

Since, Sriganganagar is considered as 'the food basket of Rajasthan', the presence of banned pesticides in vegetables here is a matter of great concern. It is recommended that to forestall an environmental disaster, environmental contamination needs to be regularly monitored.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Pesticide Residue Laboratory, Durgapura, India for GLC facilities and one of us (Yasmeen Khan) is thankful to University Grants Commission, New Delhi for awarding a Senior Research Fellowship and the financial assistance.

REFERENCES

Amaral-Mendes, J. J. (2002) The endocrine disrupters: a major medical challenge. Food and Chemical Toxicology, Vol.40, pp 781–788.

Dhaliwal, G. S. and Singh, B. (2000) Pesticides and Environment. Commonwealth Publishers, New Delhi.

Karanth, N.G.K. (2002) Challenges of LimitingPesticide Residues in Fresh Vegetables: The Indian Experience. In E. Hanak, E. Boutrif, P. Fabre and M. Pineiro, (Scientific Editors), Food Safety Management in Developing Countries. Proceedings of the International Workshop, CIRAD-FAO, pp: 11-13, December 2000, Montpellier, France. Mohan, M. and G.T. Gujar (2003) Local variation susceptibility of diamond back moth to insecticides and role of detoxification enzymes. J. Crop Protection, 22: 495-504.

Witczak, A., and Abdel, G. H. (2012) Comparison of organochlorine pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls residues in vegetables, grain and soil from organic and conventional farming in Poland. Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part B, 47, 343–354

Wurl, O., Obbard, J. P. (2006) Distribution of organochlorine compounds in the sea-surface micro layer, water column and sediment of Singapore's coastal environment. Chemosphere, 62, 1105–1115.