

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND NATURE

© 2004 - 2014 Society for Science and Nature (SFSN). All rights reserved

www.scienceandnature.org

# BIOSORPTION STUDIES FOR THE REMOVAL OF ZINC FROM AQUEOUS SOLUTION BY USING Tabebuia aurea

Pandidurai, S., Pradeep. D., Subramani, G., Manivasagan, V., Ramesh Babu, N. G. Department of Biotechnology, Adhiyamaan College of Engineering, Hosur – 635 109, Tamilnadu, India. Corresponding author's e-mail- manivasaganv@gmail.com.com

### ABSTRACT

Zinc is a heavy metal which is commonly used in many industries such as chemical, metallurgical and electroplating industries. The discharge of effluents from these industries constitutes one of the major causes of land and water pollution and degradation. Therefore, Biosorption is a potential technique generally used for the removal of heavy metals from the solutions and recovery of precious metals. In the present study, Biosorption studies was conducted at different conditions such as varying pH (3, 5, 7, 9, 11), Biosorption dosage (0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2, 2.5 g), rpm (75, 150, 200) and concentration (50, 75, 150 mg/L) for the Biosorption of zinc by Tabebuia aurea Leaves. From the experiments it was found that the Biosorption dosage efficiency increases zinc adsorption concentration.

KEYWORDS: Tabebuia aurea leaves, Biosorption, Zinc sulphate, Metal uptake, Correlation.

### INTRODUCTION

Water pollution is a major problem in the global context. Several industrial wastewater streams may contain heavy metals such as Cr, Cu, Pb, Zn, Ni, etc. including the waste liquids generated by metal finishing or the mineral processing industries.(Abasi et al., 2011) An important source of zinc pollution is the burning of coal, petroleum and its products. Incineration of municipal solid waste can introduce about 75% zinc to urban air. Also, municipal wastewater generally contains significant amounts of zinc. The use of municipal and industrial waste in agriculture results in the accumulation of zinc in the surface layers of soil. The degree of toxicity of zinc is not big, but it depends on the ionic form, and changes under the influence of water hardness and pH. The daily average download of zinc by an adult is estimated at about 10-50 mg /day. (2) Fuel and power industries generate 2.4 million tons of As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Ni, Pb, Se, V, and Zn annually The metal industry adds 0.39 million tons/yr of the same metals to the environment, while agriculture contributes 1.4 million tons/yr, manufacturing contributes 0.24 million tons/yr and waste disposal adds 0.72 million tons/yr. (Anne Chao et al., 2006) Water is a vital resource for agriculture, manufacturing and other human activities. . Most of the rivers in the urban areas of the developing countries are the ends of effluents discharged from the industries. (Animesh Agarwal et al., 2012)

heavy metals in wastewater are not biodegradable and their existence in receiving lakes and streams causes bioaccumulation in living organisms, which leads to several health problems in animals, plants and human beings such as cancer, kidney failure, metabolic acidosis, oral ulcer, renal failure and damage in for stomach of the rodent (Mehmet E.A *et al.*, 2006) Exposure of Zn in large amounts is extremely toxic to living organisms. In humans, it can cause a range of serious ailments including anemia, damage to pancreas, lungs, metal fume fever,

decreased immune functions, ranging from impaired neuropsychological functions, growth retardation and stunting, impaired reproduction, immune disorders, dermatitis, impaired wound healing, lethargy, loss of appetite and loss of hair. There are two options to control the pollution- Prevention or control methods. Prevention or control of wastes at the source is cheaper than the purification of contaminated water. Treatment processes for heavy metal removal from wastewater include precipitation, membrane filtration, ion exchange, adsorption and co precipitation adsorption. (Shri Chand, et al., 1994). But these methods are either expensive or inefficient for the removal when the metals are at high concentration. The search for new technologies involving the removal of toxic metals from wastewaters has attracted attention to biosorption method. (Forstner et al., 1981). Biosorption can be defined as the ability of biological materials to accumulate heavy metals from wastewater through metabolically mediated or physico-chemical pathways of uptake (Fourest and Roux, 1992). Algae, bacteria and fungi and yeasts have proved to be potential metal biosorbents (Volesky, 1986). The major advantages of biosorption over conventional treatment methods include (Kratochvil and Volesky, 1998a). The choice of the suitable methods is based not only on the concentration of heavy metals in surface water but on economical factors, too. bioSorption belongs to effective and economically acceptable methods to remove heavy metals(Petrilakova and Balintova, 2011).

#### MAERIALS AND METHODS Selection of the plant

*Tabebuia aurea* leaf was selected as biosorbent for removal of zinc ion in this study. The leaves were obtained from a *Tabebuia aurea* tree located at Adhiyamaan College of Engineering (Autonomous), Hosur.

#### **Preparation of leaf adsorbent**

Mature *Tabebuia aurea* leaves were collected and it was washed thoroughly under running water to remove impurities and any adhering particles. The leaves were then dried under sunlight for a few days until it became crisp. The dried leaves were crushed and blended to powder form using a blender. It was stored in an airtight plastic for further use to avoid contact with moisture in atmosphere.

## Preparation of zinc stock solution

Stock solution of zinc of 1000 mg/L was prepared by dissolving 0.44 g of zinc sulphate it gives 100mg/l concentration. The working solution was prepared by

diluting the stock solution. Zinc concentration was analysed by using AAS. 0.1N NaOH and 0.1N Hcl solutions were used to adjust and to maintain the pH of the metal solutions

# **BIOSORPTION STUDIES**

# Effect of shaking velocity

10gm *Tabebuia aurea* of leaf powder was added in 250 ml conical flask containing 50 mg/L of zinc solution. Flasks were maintained at different shaking velocity such as 75,150,200 rpm in an orbital shaker. Zinc concentration was analysed by using AAS. (Table: 1).

<b>TABLE: 1</b> Effect of shaking velocity				
Synthetic effluent: Concentration :50mg/L, pH: 6, Biosorbent				
dosage : 10g/L				
Plant : Tabebuia aurea				
rpm=75 rpm=15		rpm=150	rpm=200	
TIME	OD	OD	OD	
0	0.205	0.531	0.498	
1	0.482	0.268	1.288	
2	0.345	0.673	0.77	
3	0.519	0.72	1.384	
4	0.376	1.104	0.973	
5	0.986	1.356	1.746	

Synthetic effluent: Concentration :50mg/L, rpm: 200,					
Biosorbent dosage : 10g/L					
	Р <sup>н</sup> :3	Р <sup>н</sup> :5	P <sup>H</sup> :7	Р <sup>н</sup> :9	Р <sup>н</sup> :11
TIME	OD	OD	OD	OD	OD
0	0.432	0.673	0.603	0282	0.279
1	0.569	0.839	0.738	0.534	0.299
2	0.738	1.246	0.839	0.643	0.301
3	0.812	1.509	0.985	0.89	0.444
4	1.395	1.93	1.459	0.929	0.678
5	1.553	2.344	2.209	1.065	0.713

#### Effect of pH

10gm of *Tabebuia aurea* leaf powder was added in 250 ml conical flask containing 50 mg/L of zinc solution. Flasks were maintained at different pH such as 3, 5, 7, 9 and 11 in an orbital shaker at a speed of 200 rpm. Zinc concentration was analysed by using AAS. (Table: 2)

## Effect of concentration

10gm of *Tabebuia aurea* leaf powder was added in a 250ml conical flask containing different Zinc concentrations such as 150mg/L, 75mg/L, and 50mg/L in

an orbital shaker at a speed of 200 rpm. All experiments were conducted in duplicate. Zinc concentration was analysed by using AAS. (Table: 3)

## Effect of biosorbent dosage

0.5gm, 1gm, 1.5gm, 2gm and 2.5gm of *Tabebuia aurea* leaf powder was added to each conical flask containing 50mg/L of Zinc and kept in an orbital shaker at a speed of 200 rpm. Zinc concentration was analysed by using AAS. (Table: 4)

<b>TABLE 3</b> : Effect of Concentration	
offluent: rpm: 200 Discorbant desega :	$10\sigma$

Synthetic effluent: rpm: 200, Biosorbent dosage : 10g/L, pH : 3				
	Conc.(mg/l):50	Conc.(mg/l):75	Conc.(mg/l):150	
TIME	OD	OD	OD	
0	1.15	0.984	0.244	
1	0.752	2.218	0.904	
2	1.037	1.284	1.014	
3	1.32	1.063	0.855	
4	0.927	1.187	1.054	
5	1.125	1.416	0.406	

**TABLE 4:** Effect of biosorbent dosage

Synthetic effluent: Concentration :50mg/L, rpm: 200, pH : 3					
	Dosage:	Dosage:	Dosage:	Dosage:	Dosage:
	5g/l	10g/l	15g/l	20g/l	25g/I
TIME	OD	OD	OD	OD	OD
0	0.346	0.419	0.4561	0.57	0.613
1	0.246	0.563	0.545	0.643	0.875
2	0.654	0.598	0.846	0.811	1.378
3	1.065	0.746	0.928	1.141	1.569
4	1.278	1.137	1.308	1.647	2.64
5	1.569	1.458	1.738	2.017	3.017

# **RESULTS & DISCUSSION**

### Effect of shaking velocity

As the Biosorption study was carried out by varying the shaking velocity of adsorption from 1 to 6 hour. The absorbance value obtained by using UV

spectrophotometer analysis and their respective concentration calculated are shown in Figure 1. It was observed that with an increase in the rpm, removal of zinc also increases rapidly at 200 rpm.



FIGURE 1: Effect of shaking velocity

## Effect of pH

As the Biosorption studies was carried out by varying the pH of adsorption from 1 to 6 hour. The absorbance value obtained by analysis and their respective calculated

Concentration was shown in Table 4 given in Figure 2. It was observed that with an increase in the pH, Biosorption of zinc also increases rapidly at pH11.



FIGURE: 2 Effect of pH

#### **Effect of Concentration**

Effect of concentration on the adsorption of zinc was also observed by varying the concentration. Biosorption of zinc concentration calculated values is given in Table 3 shown

in Figure 3. It was observed that with increase in concentration, Biosorption concentration decreases. It was observed that with an increase in the concentration, Biosorption of zinc also increases rapidly at 150mg/L.

Removal of zinc from aqueous solution by using Tabebuia aurea



FIGURE 3: Effect of Concentration

#### Effect of biosorbent dosage

As the adsorption experiment was carried out by varying the biosorbent dosage of adsorption from 1 to 6 hour, the absorbance value obtained by analysis and their respective calculated concentration are shown in Table 4 given in Figure 4. It was observed that with an increase in the biosorbent dosage, Biosorption of zinc also increases rapidly at 25g/L.



FIGURE 4: Effect of Biosorbent dosage

### CONCLUSION

This study showed the possibility of the selected biosorbents tabebuia aurea utilization for Zn removal from model solutions. This plant was the most efficient for Zn removal. In general, the tabebuia aurea has better biosorption property. When increase in the pH of Biosorbent, sorption of zinc also increases. When increase in the concentration of the sample solution the Biosorption of the metal concentration Decreases. While increase in the shaking velocity of Biosorbent of zinc sorption increases. When increase in the biosorption of zinc increases. Maximum zinc Biosorption was obtained at biosorbent dosage concentration 150mg/L. The results of this study will be used for absorption of heavy metals from synthetic solutions with the aim of their real using for acid mine drainage treatment.

#### REFERENCES

Abasi, C.Y., Abia, A.A. and Igwe, J.C. (2011) Adsorption of iron (iii), lead (ii) and cadmium (ii) ions by unmodified raphia palm (*Raphia hookeri*) fruit endocarp. Environmental Research Journal, Volume: 5(3): 104-11.

Anne Chao, Robin, L., Chazdon, Robert, K., Colwell and Tsung-Jen Shen (2006) Abundance-Based Similarity Indices and Their Estimation When There Are Unseen Species in Samples, Biometrics, 62, 361–371. Animesh Agarwal, Megha Agarwal and Manish Saxena (2012) Removal of Cu & Zn from industrial wastewater by using steel industry waste (Slag) as an adsorbent International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER) Vol. 2 (3):1348-1353.

Mehmet, E.A., Sukru, D., Celalettin, O. and Mustafa, K. (2006) Heavy metal adsorption by modified oak sawdust, J. of Hazard. Mater, In Press.

From the Wastewater by Adsorption (2000) Indian J Environ. Health, 36(3):151-158.

World Health Organization (1984) Geneva Guidelines for drinking Water Quality.

Forstner, U., Wittman, G.T.W. (1981) Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, New York, 21.

Magdalena Balintova, Marian Holub, Eva Singovszka (2012) Study of iron, copper and zinc removal from acidic Solutions by sorption chemical engineering transactions volume 28. DOI-10.3303/CET 1228030.

D. Kratochivl and BohumilVolesy (1998a) Water Res. 32, PP 2760-2768.

B. Vole sky (1986) Biosorbent Materials, Biotechnoi. Bioeng Symp., 16: 121-126.

Petrilakova, A. and Balintova, M. (2011) Utilisation of sorbents for heavy metal removal from acid mine drainage, Chemical Engineering Transactions, 25: 339-334, DOI: 10.3303/CET1125057.

Idowu, S. O., Oni S.O. and Adejumo, A. A. (2011) Biosorption of Chromium (VI) from Aqueous Solution By Biomass of Plantain (*Musa Paradisiaca*) Peel Residue. (Received February 01, 2011; Revised April 30, 2011; Accepted August 02, 2011)

Bernard, E., Jimoh, A. and Odigure, J.O. (2012) Heavy Metals Removal from Industrial Wastewater by Activated Carbon Prepared from Coconut Shell Research Journal of Chemical Sciences ISSN 2231-606X Vol. 3(8):3-9, August (2013).

Vaishnav Vinod, Daga Kailash, Chandra Suresh and Lal Madan Adsorption Studies of Zn (II) ions from Wastewater using *Calotropis procera* as an Adsorbent Research Journal of Recent Science ISSN 2277 - 2502 Vol. 1 (ISC-2011), 160-165

O. Keskinan, M.Z.L. Goksu, A. Yuceer, M. Basibuyuk, C.F. Forster (2003) Heavy metal adsorption characteristics of a submerged aquatic plant (*Myriophyllumspicatum*). *Process Biochemistry*. 1-5. Volume 39, Issue 2, Pages 179–183.

A. Hammaini, f. Gonz\_alez, a. Ballester ,m.l. bl\_azquez, j.a. mu~noz (2003) simultaneous uptake of metals by activated sludge. *Minerals engineering*. **16:** pp (723-729).

Lisa Norton, KanagaratnamBaskaran, Trevor McKenzie, (2003) Biosorption of zinc from aqueous solutions using biosolids. Advances in Environmental Research. Vol. 8 (3-4): 629-635.

Francesca Pagnanelli, Luigi Toro and Francesco Veglio, (2002) Olive mill solid residues as heavy metal sorbent material: a preliminary study. *Waste Management* 22: 901-907.