

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND NATURE

© 2004 - 2014 Society for Science and Nature (SFSN). All rights reserved

www.scienceandnature.org

GROWTH AND CARCASS CHARACTERISTICS OF BROILER CHICKENS FED MAIZE, SORGHUM, MILLET AND THEIR COMBINATIONS IN THE SEMI ARID ZONE OF NIGERIA

I.D. Kwari, J.U. Igwebuike, H. Shuaibu, S.I. Titimaand A.O. Raji Department of Animal Science, University of Maiduguri, Maiduguri, Nigeria.

ABSTRACT

A study was carried out to investigate the effect of dietary replacement of maize with soghum and millet on the growth performance and carcass measurements of broilers chickens in a forty two (42) day feeding trial. Two hundred and seventy (270) unsexed broiler chicks weighing averagely 366.13 g were randomly allotted to six (6) experimental diets. The design of the experiment was Randomized Complete Block Design consisting of 45 birds replicated three (3) times with 15 birds per replicate. The control (T1) contained 100% maize, T2 (100% Sorghum), T3 (100% millet), T4 (50% maize and 50% sorghum), T5 (50% maize and 50% millet) and T6 (50% sorghum and 50% millet). The maize sorghum and millet served as the major energy source for the broilers. The result shows that there were significant differences in the final weight and overall weight gain. Treatment 4 (50% maize and 50% sorghum) recorded the highest final liveweight (2472.10 g) and the lowest (2251.80 g) was observed in treatment 3 (100% millet). The same trend was observed for overall weight gain. There were no significant (P>0.05) differences in daily feed intake, daily weight gain and feed conversion ratio among all the treatment groups. For carcass measurements, dressing percentage was highest (81.25%) in treatment 2 (100% sorghum) although they were not different from treatment 1 (100% maize) and 6 (50% sorghum and 50% millet). There were no significant (P>0.05) differences among treatments for breast and thigh weights. These results suggest that maize could be replaced by low tannin sorghum and millet in the diets of broilers without adverse effect on their performance.

KEY WORDS: Growth, Carcass Characteristics, Broiler, Chickens, Maize, Sorghum, Millet.

INTRODUCTION

The use of maize as the sole energy source in poultry diet formulation is becoming unrealistic on account of the decline in the land cultivated for maize as a result of climatic changes, the use of maize grain as a staple food for humans and other industrial uses. This situation has called for investigation into the potentials of other readily available cereals for poultry feeding. The alternative energy sources that meet most of the qualities of maize are low tannin sorghum and millet. Sorghum can be grown in low rainfall areas and isa popular crop in hot draught prone regions. The high tannin content of some sorghum varieties limit their uses in poultry diets but low tannin varieties are now available and can be used in poultry diets without any limitation. The energy value of low tannin sorghum is about 90-95% that of maize. Olomu (2011) gave the metabolizable energy and crude protein values of sorghum as 3270 kcal/kg and 9.5% respectively. Millet is another feed ingredient that has potential for incorporation into poultry diets. The protein content of millet, though variable is higher than maize (Burton et al., 1972; Sullivian et al., 1990; Adeola et al., 1994; Amato and Forrester, 1995) and the essential amino acid profile is more balanced than maize (Adeolaet al., 1994; Amato and Forrester, 1995). According to Olomu (2011), millet has a lower metabolizable energy (2555kcal/kg) but higher crude fibre (4.30%), ash (3.00%) and crude protein (12.0%) than maize and sorghum. Cromwell and Coffey (1993) exonerated millet from antinutritional properties (phytate and tannins) and NRC (1996) has reported millet contains 5-7% oil and has higher minerals than maize. Medugu*et al.* (2010) reported that maize can be completely replaced with millet and low tannin sorghum in broiler diets without adverse effects on their carcass and blood biochemical indices. The objective of this study was to compare the growth and carcass characteristics of broiler chickens fed maize, sorghum, millet and their 50:50% combinations in the semi arid zone of Nigeria.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Study site

The study was conducted at the Poultry unit of the University of Maiduguri Livestock Teaching and Research Farm Maiduguri, Nigeria during the months of March-April, 2013. Maiduguri is situated on Latitude 11° 05' North and Longitude 30° 05' East and an altitude of 334 m above sea level. Maiduguri falls within the Sahelian (semi-arid)region which is noted for its great climatic and seasonal variation. It has short period of rainfall of 3-4months giving about 500-700 mmper annum with a long dry season of about 8-9 months. The ambient temperature could be as low as 20 °C during the dry cold season (October-January) and as high as 44°C during the dry hot (February-May) Relative humidity is about 5% in April and May and day length varies from 11-12 hours (Raji *et al.*, 2009).

Source of test materials

White maize, low tannin sorghumand millet grains which were the test materials were purchased from a grain market in Maiduguri metropolis, ground to the same particle size (2.0 mm) and used for the diets formulation.

Experimental diets

Six experimental diets were formulated using three different cereal grains (white maize, low tannin sorghum and millet) as the main energy source at the inclusion levels of 100% maize (T1), 100% sorghum (T2), 100%

millet (T3), 50% maize and 50% sorghum (T4), 50% maize and 50% millet (T5) and 50% sorghum and 50% millet (T6). The protein sources were fish meal and full fat soybean meal. The ingredient and calculated chemical composition of the diets (starter and finisher) are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

TABLE 1. Ingredients and Chemical Composition of Broiler Starter Diets

			Tre	atment/Diets		
	T1	T2	T3		T5 (50%	T6 (50%
	(100% maize)	(100%	(100%	T4 (50% maize	maize & 50%	sorghum &
		sorghum)	millet)	& 50% sorghum	millet	50% millet
Maize	45.80	-	-	22.90	22.90	-
Sorghum	-	45.80	-	22.90	-	22.90
Millet	-	-	45.80	-	22.90	22.90
Wheat offal	12	12	12	12	12	12
Soybeans	32.0	32.0	32.0	32.0	32.0	32.0
Fish meal	7	7	7	7	7	7
Bone meal	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
Premix*	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
Lysine	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Methionine	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Salt	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Total (kg)	100	100	100	100	100	100
Proximate Analysis	S					
Crude protein (%)	23.3004	23.621	24.766	23.4149	24.0332	24.1935
Crude fibre (%)	4.09	4.09	5.83	4.29	4.28	5.56
EE (%)	4.50	4.50	5.10	4.61	4.72	4.81
NFE (%)	58.30	58.21	56.51	59.11	57.83	57.81
Calcium (%)	0.925	1.46232	1.4669	1.45545	1.45774	1.46461
Phosphorus (%)	0.85422	0.956	0.956	0.90689	0.9068	0.85422
ME (kcal/kg)	2791	2731.52	2390.31	2761.17	2591.14	2560.90

Metabolizable energy calculated according to the formula of Pauzenga (1985) as ME= 37x%CP+81x%EE+35.5x%NFE *Premix :- Vitamin A 12,000mg, Vitamin E 15,000mg, Folic acid 1000mg, Panthotenic acid 15,000 mg, Vitamin B₁₂ 15,000 mg, manganese 100 mg, Vitamin D3 2500, 000 iU, Nicotinic acid 40, 000 mg, Vitamin B1 2000 mg, Biotin 60, 000 mg, Vitamin C 30,000 mg, Copper 1500 mg, Cobalt 250 mg and Selenium 100 mg.

Experimental birds and management

A total of two hundred and seventy (270) day-old broiler chicks were purchased from a reputable hatchery and used for the study. The chicks were brooded together for two weeks(14 days) during which they were fed a commercial starter diet. At the end of the 14th day, the birds were weighed individually and allocated to the six treatments randomly in groups of 45 birds per treatment and each treatment was replicated thrice with15 birds per replicate in a Completely Randomized Design (CRD) housed in deep litter management system. The birds were vaccinated against Gumboro (2 and 4 weeks of age) and Newcastle (3 and 5 weeks of age) diseases. Each of the diets in Tables 1 and 2 andclean cool drinking water were given ad libitumthroughout the experimental period of 42 days.

Data collection

During the experimental period, the following data were collected:

Feed intake: known quantities of feed were offered in the morning and the left over measured the next morning. The difference was considered as feed intake. This was done daily.

Body weight gain: this was measured weekly per bird. The current body weight was subtracted from the weight of the previous week to obtain the weekly gain.

Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR): this is calculated as the ratio of feed intake to weight gain.

Carcass and organ measurements

At the end of the experiment, two birds each were randomly selected from each of the replicate pens, six (6) birds from each treatment and used for the carcass and organ measurements. Thebirds were starved overnight before weighing and slaughtering the next morning. Defeathering was done after immersing the birds in hot water for 2-3 minutes. The birds were then dressed and the dressed weight and dressing percentage (carcass yield) were computed. Cut-up parts were all weighed and expressed as percentage of liveweight. The visceral organs for each bird which include full gizzard, liver, full intestine, heart, spleen, abdominal fats and caeca were also weighed and expressed as percentage of live weight.

Statistical analysis

All data collected were subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) in a completely Randomized Design (Steel and Torrie, 1980) and where necessary, means were separated using the Duncan's Multiple Range Test (Duncan, 1955).

TABLE 2. Ingredients and Chemical Composition of Broiler Finisher Diets

	Treatment/Diet	s				
	T1	T2	T3		T5 (50%	T6 (50%
	(100% maize)	(100%	(100%	T4 (50% maize	maize & 50%	sorghum &
		sorghum)	millet)	& 50% sorghum	millet	50% millet
Maize	51.60	-	-	25.80	25.80	-
Sorghum	-	52.60	-	25.80	-	25.80
Millet	-	-	51.60	-	25.80	25.80
Wheat offal	16.00	16.00	16.00	16.00	16.00	16.00
Soybeans	23.20	23.20	23.20	23.20	23.20	23.20
Fish meal	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
Bone meal	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
Premix*	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
Lysine	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Methionine	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Salt	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Total (kg)	100	100	100	100	100	100
Proximate Analysis	S					
Crude protein (%)	20.31	20.67	21.90	20.49	21.14	
Crude fibre (%)	4.36	4.48	5.90	4.50	4.80	5.61
EE (%)	4.60	4.70	4.90	4.65	4.76	4.80
NFE (%)	60.13	61.01	59.11	60.62	60.00	59.61
Calcium (%)	1.37	1.39	1.39	1.38	1.38	1.39
Phosphorus (%)	0.79	0.91	0.91	1.06	0.84	0.91
ME (kcal/kg)	2817.52	2759.838	2749.838	2783.53	2591.669	2557.70

Metabolizable energy calculated according to the formula of Pauzenga (1985) as ME= 37x%CP+81x%EE+35.5x%NFE *Premix :- Vitamin A 12,000mg, Vitamin E 15,000mg, Folic acid 1000mg, Panthotenic acid 15,000 mg, Vitamin B₁₂ 15,000 mg, manganese 100 mg, Vitamin D3 2500, 000 iU, Nicotinic acid 40, 000 mg, Vitamin B1 2000 mg, Biotin 60, 000 mg, Vitamin C 30,000 mg, Copper 1500 mg, Cobalt 250 mg and Selenium 100 mg.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

The proximate composition of the experimental diets is shown in Tables 1 and 2. The diets have adequate nutrients to meet the requirements of broilers in the Tropics (Olomu, 2011). They also exhibited similarities between the nutrient composition of the maize, sorghum and millet

which support the reports of Smith (2001), Travis *et al.* (2006) and Torki and Farahmand (2007). The results of the performance indices are presented in Table 3. Feed intake, daily weight gan and feed conversion ratio showed no significant (P<0.05) differences among all the treatment groups.

TABLE 3. Effects of replacing maize with sorghum and millet on the performance of broiler chickens

	Treatmen	t/Diets					
	T1	T2	T3	T4 (50%	T5 (50%	T6 (50%	
	(100%	(100%	(100%	maize & 50%	maize &	sorghum &	
	maize)	sorghum)	millet)	sorghum	50% millet	50% millet	SEM
Initial weight (g)	369.60	369.60	370.40	364.20	362.70	360.30	NA
Final live weight	2381.70	2365.30	2251.80	2472.10	2432.80	2417.00	62.933
(g)							
Feed intake (g)	119.02	124.52	119.60	130.87	127.40	125.67	9.0694
Daily weight gain	43.80	48.27	46.92	53.48	49.35	50.18	3.1898
(g)							
Feed conversion	2.80	2.63	2.59	2.52	2.66	2.46	0.1110
ratio							
Overall weight	2012.10	1995.70	1881.40	2107.90	2070.10	2056.70	58.019
gain (g)							
Mortality	10.00	1.00	6.00	4.00	8.00	1.00	NA
(Number)							

a,b, c: = means within the same row bearing different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05) ns =not significant (P<0.05), * = Significant (P<0.05), SEM = Standard Error of Mean.

TABLE 4. Carcass and organ measurement of broiler chickens fed maize, sorghum, millet and their 50:50 combinations

Treatments/Diets						
T1	T2	T3 (100% millet)	T4 (50% maize	T5 (50% maize	T6 (50%	ı
(100% maize)	(100% sorghum)		& 50% sorghum)	& 50% millet)	sorghum & 50%	
					millet)	SEM
1900.00°	2216.70 ab	2216.70 ab	2033.33 ab	2260.00°	$2350.00^{\rm a}$	0.1034*
1466.70 ^b	2116.70 ^a	2116.70 ^a	1933.30 ^{ab}	2241.70 ^a	$2250.00^{\rm a}$	0.1603*
1750.00 ^b	2033.30 ab	1866.70 ab	1833.33 ab	2000.00^{ab}	$2100.00^{\rm a}$	0.1041*
1383.30°	1800.00 ^a	$1525.00^{ m \ abc}$	1400.00°	1516.70^{bc}	1716.70 ^{ab}	0.0917*
72.763 ^{ab}	81.247 a	68.723 ^b	68.853 b	67.187 ^b	73.113^{ab}	2.7773*
age of live weight						
2.00 ^b	3.76 ^a	2.48 ^b	2.22 b		2.10^{b}	0.2465
3.93	3.76	3.68	3.69		3.45	$0.2145^{\rm ns}$
11.28	10.77	10.89	10.86		10.68	0.6469^{ns}
9.01 ^a	7.63 ^b	8.31 ab	8.37 ab		7.91^{b}	0.3498*
15.08 ^a	14.12 ^a	11.14^{b}	13.88 a		14.45 ^a	0.6663*
4.93 abc	5.51 ^a	4.51°	4.80^{bc}		5.40 ab	0.2189*
20.29	17.64	18.97	19.90	18.22	19.93	1.3467
8.91 ab	8.07 ^b	8.66 ab	9.23 ^a	9.24 ^a	8.53 ab	0.3296*
ntage of live weigh						
0.83 b		1.19^{ab}	1.09^{ab}	1.59^{ab}	1.44 ab	0.5487*
3.17 a	2.62 ab	2.41 ^b	3.09^{ab}	2.72 ab	2.73 ab	0.2393*
1.87	1.77	1.93	1.87	2.00	2.73	0.1623 ns
0.12^{ab}	0.05 ^b	0.13^{ab}	0.15 a	0.13^{ab}	$0.10^{{ m ab}}$	0.0436*
2.24	1.96	2.36	2.37	2.53	0.52	0.3742^{ns}
0.58	0.45	0.46	0.48	0.53	0.52	0.0702^{ns}
0.49	0.42	0.47	0.46	0.40	0.44	0.0828^{ns}
	6.08 ^a	4.50 ^b	5.46 ab	5.86 ^a	5.45 ab	0.5719*
	Treatments/Diets T1 (100% maize) Parameters Live weight (g) Plucked weight (g) Plucked weight (g) Pressed weight (g) 1750.00 ^b Plucked weight (g) 1383.30 ^c Pressed weight (g) 1383.30 ^c 12.00 ^b Head 2.00 ^b Legs 3.93 Thigh Lives 15.08 ^a 4.93 ^{abc} 8.91 ^{ab} Prumstick Organs weight as percentage of live weight 6.83 ^b Gizzard 1.87 Spleen 0.12 ^{ab}	Treatments/Diets T1 T1 T2 (100% maize) (100% sorghum) Parameters Live weight (g) 1900.00° 1900.00° 2216.70° 2216.70° 2116.70° 2116.70° 2116.70° 2116.70° 2116.70° 2116.70° 2116.70° 2116.70° 2116.70° 2116.70° 2033.30° 2033.30° 1800.00° 2033.30° 1800.00° 81.247° 81.	Treatments/Diets Treatments/Diets T1 T2 T3 (100% millet) (100% maize) (100% sorghum) 1900.00° 1216.70° 1226° 1236° 133.30° 1346° 1450° 1430°	Treatments/Diets T2 T3 (100% millet) T4 (50% maize) (100% sorghum) T3 (100% millet) T4 (50% maize) (100% sorghum) T3 (100% millet) T4 (50% maize) T3 (100% millet) T4 (50% maize) T4 (50% maize) T3 (100% millet) T4 (50% maize) T3 (100% millet) T4 (50% maize) T4 (T2 T3 (100% millet) T4 (50% maize, sorghum)	T2 T3 (100% millet) T4 (50% maize discussive maize (100% sorghum) T3 (100% millet) T4 (50% maize discussive

243

Final live weight and overall weight gain indicates that the diet with 50% maize and 50% sorghum (T4) showed superiority over the diet with 100% millet (T3) although there were no differences between 100% maize, 100% sorghum, 50% maize and 50% sorghum, 50% maize and 50% millet and, 50% sorghum and 50% millet diets. There were also no differences in final live weight and overall weight gain between 100% maize, 100% sorghum, 100% millet, 50% maize and 50% millet and, 50% sorghum and 50% millet diets. This indicates that the inferiority exhibited by 100% millet has been counteracted by the mixing of 50% maize and 50% sorghum into the millet diet. The feed intake values (119.02-130 g/bird/day) obtained in this study were lower than the values (164-183 g/bird/day) reported by Kwari et al. (2012) who fed diets containing graded levels of low tannin sorghum. However, these values are close to the values (94-100.9 g/bird/day) reported by Medugu et al. (2010) who fed millet, low tannin sorghum and high tannin sorghum as replacement for maize in broiler diets. The values for daily weight gain (43-53 g/bird) and feed conversion ratio (2.46 - 2.80) obtained in this study are higher than the values (34-43 g/bird/day) and (3.56) reported by Medugu et al. (2010) and Kwari et al. (2012) respectively. They are however similar to the values reported by Adamu et al. (2001). Table 4 summarizes data on carcass measurements and organ weights. Dressing percentage of broilers was superior (P<0.05) in the 100% sorghum (T2) compared to 100% millet (T3), 50% maize and 50% sorghum and, 50% maize and 50% millet but there were no significant (P<0.05) differences between the 100% millet (T3), 100% maize (T1), 50% maize and 50% sorghum (T4), 50% maize and 50% millet (T5) and 50% sorghum and 50% millet (T6). The dressing percentage values ranged between 67.19% and 81.29%. The values obtained from this study were close to that of Ravindran and Savakanessan (1996) and Salami et al. (2004) who reported 70.77% and 65-70% respectively as the ideal dressing percentages for well finished broilers. Weight of breast and thighs did not differ(P>0.05) among all the treatment groups. Low protein intake has been reported (Salami and Boorman, 1999) to have adverse effect on yield of carcass and cut-up parts of broilers. The results of this study indicate that the diets used were adequate for the birds.

CONCLUSION

It can therefore be concluded that maize, sorghum and millet or their combinations can be used as major energy sources in broiler diets without compromising performance and carcass yield of broiler chickens.

REFERENCES

Adeola, O., Rogler, J.C. and Sullivan, T.W. (1994). Pearl millet in diets of White Pekin ducks. Poultry Science 73: 425–435.

Amato, V.S. and Forrester, R.R. (1995). Evaluation of pearl millet as a feed ingredient for broiler rations. In: First

national pearl millet grain symposium, Tifton, G.A. (I.D. Teare Ed.). University of Georgia Coastal Plain Experimental Station, Tifton, G.A.

Burton, G.W., Wallace, A.T. and Rachie, K.O. (1972). Chemical composition and nutritive value of pearl millet. Crop Sci. 12:187-188.

Cromwell, G.I. and Coffey, R.D. (1993) an assessment of the availability of phosphorus in feed ingredients for non-ruminants. Proceedings of the Maryland Nutrition Conference for Feed Manufacturers Maryland U.S.A. pp. 146-158.

Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple Range and Multiple F.Test. Biometrics 11: 1-42.

Kwari, I.D., Diarra, S.S., Igwebuike, J.U., Nkama, I., Issa, S., Hamaker, B.R., Hancock, J.D., Jauro, M., Seriki, O.A. and Murphy, T. (2012). Replacement value of low tannin Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor) for maize in Broiler chickens diet in the semi arid zone of Nigeria. Int. J. Poult. Sci. 11: 333-337.

Medugu, C.I., Kwari, I.D., Igwebuike, J.U., Nkama, I., Mohammed, I.D., and Hamaker, B.R. (2010). Performance and economics of production of broiler chickens fed Sorghum or millet as replacement for maize in Broiler chickens diet in the semi arid zone of Nigeria. Agric. Biol. J. North America 1:321-325.

NRC (1996).Nutrient Requirements of Poultry.9th revised edition. National Research Council. National Academy Press, Washington, DC, U.S.A.

Olomu, J.M. (2011). Monogastric Animal Nutrition, Principles and Practice, 2nd Edition, Jachem Publication, Benin City, Nigeria. Pp 69-104.

Pauzenga, U. (1985). Feeding Parent stock. Zoo Tech. International pp. 22-25

Raji, A.O., Aliyu, J.,Igwebuike, J.U. and Chiroma, S. (2009). Effect of storage methods and egg quality traits of laying hens in a hot dry climate. ARPN Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences 4:1-7

Ravindran, P.S. and Savakanessan, N.O. (1996). The influence of growth performance, carcass characteristics and economics of production of starter broilers. Journal of Animal And Veterinary Advances 6:1323-1327.

Salami, R.T. and Boorman, K.N. (1999). Crude protein requirement of growing cockerels by the diet dilution technique. Tropical Animal Science1:63-72.

Salami, R.T., Longe, O.G. and Oluyemi, J.A. (2004). Effect of dietary protein on the performance and carcass characteristics of cockerel finishers. Nig. J. Anim. Sci. 31:27-31.

Smith, A.J. (2001). Poultry. The Tropical Agriculturist. Revised Edition, Published by Macmillian Education Ltd. London and Oxford, U.K. 218 pp.

Steel, R. G. D. and Torrie. J. A. (1980). Principles and Procedures of Statistics. A Biometrical Approach, 2nd Ed. McGraw Hill Book Co. NewYork, U.S.A.

Sullivan, T.W., Douglas, J.H., Andrews, D.J., Bond, P.L., Hancock, J.D., Bramel-cox, P.J., Stegmeir, W.D. and Brethour, J.R. (1990). Nutritional value of pearl millet for food and feed. In: Proceedings, International Conference on sorghum Nutritional quality, Purdue University, Lafayetti, Indiana, U.S.A. Pp. 83-94.

Torki, M. and Farahmand, P.M. (2007). Use of dietary enzyme inclusion and seed germination to improve feeding value of sorghum for broiler chicks. World Poultry Science Association. Proceedings of the 16th symposium on Poultry Nutrition, August 26-30, 2007, Strasbourg, France.

Travis, D.K., Tuinstra, M.R. and Hancock, J.D. (2006). Variation in nutritional value of sorghum hybrids with contrasting seed weightcharacteristics and comparison with maize in broiler chicks. Crop Science 46:695-699.