

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND NATURE

© 2004 - 2015 Society For Science and Nature(SFSN). All Rights Reserved

www.scienceandnature.org

BUTTERFLIES DIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURAL FIELDS OF HOWRAH DISTRICT, WEST BENGAL, INDIA WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO THEIR HOST PLANTS IN AGROECOSYSTEM

Saurav Dwari & Amal Kumar Mondal

Plant Taxonomy, Biosystematics and Molecular Taxonomy Laboratory, UGC-DRS-SAP Department of Botany and Forestry Vidyasagar University,

Midnapore-721 102, West Bengal, India

*Corresponding author email: amalcaebotvu@gmail.com, saurav.dwari@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

A systematic survey was carried out on butterflies of agricultural field, Howrah, West Bengal, India because it is now clear that agricultural fields are unique ecosystems that provide some butterflies to complete their life cycle. This side of agricultural fields is less highlighted so the main aim of this study to show how these fields serve butterflies and list of that butterflies which use these fields in their various purposes. On the other hand these fields are also decreasing gradually by the rapid growth of brick industries and other anthropogenic activities. So, the butterflies of these fields are also under risk. We present a list of 29 butterfly species from agricultural fields of this district. These fields contain 29 species belonging to the 5 families. The most dominant family is Nymphalidae (11 species) followed by Lycaenidae (9 species), Pieridae (4 species) and Hesperiidae (3 species). Papilionidae represent by only 2 species. Larval food plants of three families are found in agro ecosystems of this district. Presence of other supportive plants like adult food plants, basking and mating platforms also found in good numbers.

KEYWORDS: Butterfly, agricultural field, list, anthropogenic, risk, food plants, basking, agroecosystem.

INTRODUCTION

Butterflies are one of the most popular and easily recognized groups of insects. They are extremely important components of the bioindicators of the world (Chakaravarthy et al., 1997; Jana et al., 2009). Butterflies are potentially useful ecological indicators of urbanization because sensitive to changes in microclimate, temperature (Thomas et al., 1998). Increased urbanization one of the main cause of decreases in butterfly species richness, diversity and abundance (Blair and Launer, 1997; Clark et al., 2007; Pocewicz et al., 2009). The reduction in amount and quality of natural habitat associate with urban development negatively affect nature biodiversity (Malagrino et al., 2008). Butterflies serve as important plant pollinators in the local environment and help to pollinate more than 50 economically important plant crops (Borges et al., 2003). Butterflies serve the ecosystem especially by recycling nutrients (N, P, and K) essential for crops (Schmidt and Roland, 2006). Their larvae release faeces while feeding on the agrestals and provide required nutrients to the crops (Marchiori and Romanowski, 2006). Inspite of having a diverse and widespread impact on agricultural ecosystem, butterflies have attained scarcely any significant studies in this area in the tropical countries like India. Certain butterfly species are believed to be necessary to pollination of various wild plants and crops on which human beings depend on for their livelihoods (Boriani et al., 2005). The influence of butterflies on agroecosystem is better studied in Europe than in Indian sub continent (Tumuhimbise et al., 2001). As such, it becomes difficult to preserve them especially as

pollinators of crops. The butterfly distributions are expected to cover with the distribution of their host plants even at small scales and type of vegetation may reflect difference in the composition of butterfly communities among habitats at the generic and family level (Beccaloni, 1997). The butterflies inhabiting natural areas, forests and protected areas are mostly studied in India (Thakur and Mattu, 2010). There is virtually has not been any published research works on agricultural butterflies ecology in India whereas, it is essential to have such data so far as the understanding of the butterfly biodiversity and conservation in agro ecosystem is concerned. Butterflies being important pollinating agents for wild and crop plants around the world, it has become expedient to conserve those (Fitzherbert et al., 2006). It is required to make exhaustive studies on their foraging behaviors and temporal and spatial distribution in agricultural landscape (Kuefler et al., 2008). It is very clear that agricultural fields are containing several agrestals (Dwari and Mondal, 2011) with main crop which are attracted by butterflies for their various purposes. In the west Bengal of India several works on butterflies done at Kolkata or its eastern part and North Bengal (De Niceville, 1885; Sanders, 1944; Chowdhury and Chowdhury, 2006; Chowdhury and Soren, 2011) but little work done in the district Howrah of West Bengal except diversity of Butterflies in the Indian Botanic Garden, Howrah, West Bengal (Chowdhury and Das, 2007). So, it is necessary to study about the butterflies' diversity of this district especially agricultural fields which decrease rapidly. Main causes are industrialization process which is increase day by day and

urbanization of this district. Rapid growth of brick fields and industries are cause of heavy degradation of agricultural fields of this district (Fig. 2). According to Damodar Valley Corporation (DVC) report agricultural fields of Damodar river basin come down 10 % in 2010 from 50 % of 1990 (Bose, 2002). So, a huge habitat loss occurring in this region. Howrah (Fig.1) is the one of the 15 and last district of Damodar River Basin (DRB) in West Bengal, India. Due to lack of previous record a comprehensive list is necessary to know present status of butterfly fauna.



FIGURE1: Howrah district, West Bengal of India (study area).

MATERIALS & METHODS

Study area

Howrah district is a district of the West Bengal state in Eastern India. The Area of Howrah is 467 km². The Howrah district lies between 22°48 N and 22°12 N latitudes and between 88°23 E and 87°50 E longitudes. Boundaries of the district are naturally determined by Rupnarayan River on west and South-West, and by Bhagirathi-Hooghly River on east and South-East side. On north side, the boundary is an artificial one except for Bally canal on north-east and Damodar River on northwest. Annual normal rainfall is 1461 millimeter per year. Annual maximum temperature varies between 32-39°C, whereas minimum temperature varies between 8-10°C.

Monitoring (Line transect)

Different agricultural fields of this district was surveyed during April 2011 to February 2014 by using line transect method. In this method 5 permanent 300m line transects



FIGURE 2: Brick industries in agricultural fields.

was setup in each (4) group of the blocks. Through these transects walked once a month in each block to follow Pollard Walk Method (Pollard, 1977; Pollard and Yates, 1993) for recording the butterflies. A slow 180 degree visual sweep was performed during walking. The blocks of entire district were divided into 4 groups on the basis of their geographical similarities. Two groups are agriculturally dominating, one is less agriculturally dominating and last one is industries dominating group. Information on butterfly fauna is based on observation from 07.00 to 11.00 hr and 14.00 to 18.00 hr. Collection of specimen was avoided to the extent possible. Mostly photographic documentation was done. Identification of butterflies was done using the following literature (Evans, 1932; Sabharwal, 2000; Kehimkar, 2008; Dasgupta, 2010). The classification of butterflies followed here is based on (Ackery, 1984).



FIGURE 3: Domestic activity in agricultural fields.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

The study revealed the presence of 29 species of butterflies belonging to five families from all fields during surveys (Table1). Nymphalidae showed the maximum



FIGURE 4: Common mormon on Brassica campestris L.



FIGURE 5: Lime on Parthenium hysterophorus L.

species richness, comprising of 11 species (37.931%), followed by Lycaenidae (9 species, 31.034%), Pieridae (4 species, 13.793%), Hesperiidae (3 species, 10.344%), Papilionidae (2 species, 6.897%, table 1 & graph 1).

Family	Sl No.	Common name	Scientific name	Occurrence
Papilionidae	1)	Common mormon Papilio polytes (Linnaeus)		Rf, Mf, Sef,
(Swallowtails and Apollos)	2)	Lime butterfly	Papilio demoleus (Linnaeus)	Sef
Pieridae (The Whites and	3)	Common gull	Cepora nerissa (Fabricius)	Sef
Yellows)	4)	Common grass yellow	Eurema hecabe (Linnaeus)	Rf, Sf, Sunf
	5)	Mottled emigrant	Catopsilia pyranthe (Linnaeus)	Sf, Sef
	6)	Common jezebel	Delias eucharis (Drury)	Sf, Mf
	7)	Quaker	Neopithecops zalmora (Butler)	Sef, Vf
	8)	Common Pierrot	Castalius rosimon (Fabricius)	Vf, Sf, Sef, Mf, Gnf
	9)	Striped pierrot	Tarucus nara (Kollar)	Sef, Jf, Vf
	10)	Dark grass blue	Zizeeria karsandra (Moore)	Sef,Jf, Sunf
	11)	Gram Blue	Euchrysops cnejus (Fabricius)	Rf, Jf, Lf
	12)	Pale grass blue	Pseudozizeeria maha (Kollar)	Gnf
Lycaenidae (The Blues)	13)	Tiny grass blue	Zizula hylax (Fabricius)	Sunf, Vf
	14)	Common silverline	Spindasis vulcanus (Fabricius)	Sf, Vf
	15)	Forget me not	Catochrysops strabo (Fabricius)	Mf, Lf
	16)	Common five ring	Ypthima baldus (Fabricius)	Sf, Vf
	17)	Common bush brown	Mycalesis perseus (Fabricius)	Sf, Rf
	18)	Brown king crow	Euploea klugii (Moore)	Sf
	19)	Tawny coster	Acraea terpsicore (Fabricius)	Sf, Sef, Gf
	20)	Grey pansy	Junonia atlites (Linnaeus)	Sf, Mf
	21)	Peacock pansy	Junonia almana (Linnaeus)	Sf, Rf, Gf, Vf
	22)	Lemon pansy	Junonia lemonias (Linnaeus)	Sf, Pf, Sef
	23)	Common evening brown	Melanitis leda (Linnaeus)	Rf, Sf,
	24)	Common four ring	Ypthima huebneri (Kirby)	Vf
Nymphalidae (Brush-footed	25)	Angled coster	Ariadne ariadne (Linnaeus)	Mf,
Butterflies)	26)	Plain Tiger	Danaus chrysippus (Linnaeus)	Mf
	27)	Chest nut bob	Iambrix salsala (Moore)	Gnf, Vf
Hesperiidae (The Skippers)	28)	Rice swift	Borbo cinnara (Wallace)	Rf
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	29)	Dark palm dart	<i>Telicota ancilla</i> (Herrich-Schaffer)	Sf, Sef

TABLE 1: List of butterfly fauna in agricultural fields of Howrah district (West Bengal, India) during 2011 to 2014

Sf- Sugarcane field, Rf- Rice field, Sef- Sesame field, Mf- Musrtard field, Pf- Potato field, Gnf- Ground nut field, Jf- Jute field, Sunf- Sunflower field, Vf- Vegetable field (Pumpkin, Bitter gourd, Egyptian cucumber, Indian gardening cucumber), Lf-Legume field.

T_{A}

Sl no.	Agricultural fields	Related butterfly species	Number of family	Number of species
		Rice swift, Peacock pansy, common evening brown,		
1	Rice	Gram Blue, brown king cow, peacock pansy, common	4	8
		mormon, common grass yellow		
		Grey pansy, Common five ring, common bush brown,		
		brown king crow, tawny coster, grey pansy, peacock		
		pansy, lemon pansy, common evening brown, common		
2	Sugarcane	pierrot, common silverline, common grass yellow,	4	15
		mottled emigrant, common jejebel, Dark palm dart		
3	Potato	Lemon pansy, Indian Dark palm dart	2	2
		Grey pansy, angled castor, plain tiger, common pierrot,		
4	Mustard	forget me not, common mormon, common jejebel	4	7
		Tawny coster, lemon pansy, quaker, striped pierrot, dark		
5	Sesame	grass blue, lime, common mormon, common gull,	5	10
		mottled emigrant, Dark palm dart		
		Tawny coster, peacock pansy, common pierrot, pale		
6	Ground nut	grass blue, chestnut bob	3	5
7	Jute	Striped pierrot, dark grass blue, gram blue	1	3
8	Sunflower	Dark grass blue, tiny grass blue, common grass yellow	2	3
		Common five ring, common four ring, peacock pansy,		
9	Vegetables	quaker, common pierrot, striped pierrot, gram blue, tiny	3	10
		grass blue, common silverline, chestnut bob		

The most common species found during the study was grey pansy, peacock pansy, Quaker Butterfly, Common Grass Yellow, mottled emigrant and rice swift. Some species showed preference for particular fields. These were the grey pansy, dark evening brown in sugarcane field and peacock pansy, rice swift in rice field and plain tiger in mustard field, striped pierrot, dark grass blue, lime, and common gull in sesame field. The structural complexity of habitat and diversity of vegetation forms have been shown to be correlated with animal and insect species diversity. Successful butterfly habitat must therefore include sufficient larval and adult food resources. In the present study, the maximum number of species and individuals were observed in sugarcane field (Table 2) where availability of diverse plants (agrestals) and access to host plants is greater than other fields. Weeding also occur less in this field than others fields. So, presences of other plants with main crop are more than other fields. Whereas potato and groundnut fields contain less butterflies diversity because less structural complexity, flowering plants, time period and much soily or sandy areas.

TABLE 3: List of butterfly fauna in agricultural fields with their larval food plants (agrestals and main crop) of Howrah district (Wes
Bengal, India) during 2011 to 2014

				Agricultural fields
Family	Sl No.	Common name	Larval food plants	where larval food
				plants are found
Pieridae (The	1)	Common gull	Cleome viscosa L.	Sef
Whites and	2)	Common grass yellow	Cassia tora L.	Sf
Yellows)	3)	Mottled emigrant	Cassia tora L.,Cassis sophera L.	Sf, Sunf
	4)	Common five ring	Cynodon dactylon (L) Pers	Sf, Pf, Vf
	5)	Common bush brown	Oryza sativa L., Cyperus rotundus L.	Rf
	6)	Brown king crow	Ageratum conizoides L.	Sf
Nymphalidae (Brush-footed	7)	Grey pansy	Asteracantha longifolia (L.) Nees Asteracantha longifolia (L.) Nees,	Rf
Butterflies)	8)	Peacock pansy	<i>Phyla nodiflora</i> (L.) Greene <i>Asteracantha longifolia</i> (L.) Nees,	Rif, Sf
	9)	Lemon pansy	Corchorus capsularis L., Sida rhombifolia L. Oryza sativa L., Eleusine indica (L.) Garten., Panicum repens L.,	Rf, Jf
	10)	Common evening	<i>Oplismenus composites</i> (L.) P	Rf
		brown	Beauv.	
	11)	Common four ring	Cynodon dactylon (L) Pers	Sf, Pf, Vf
	12)	Angled coster	Tragia involucrata L.	Mf
	13)		Oxalis corniculata L., Amaranthus	
		Dark grass blue	spinosus L., Polygonum plebeium R. Br.	Rf, Sef, Vf, Sunf
	14)	Gram Blue	Pisum sativum L.	Lf
	15)	Pale grass blue	Oxalis corniculata L.	Mf, Pf, Sef, Vf
	16)	Tiny grass blue	Oxalis corniculata L.	Mf, Pf, Sef
	17)	Forget me not	Pisum sativum L.	Lf
Heereriidee (The	18)	Rice swift	Oryza sativa L., Eragrostis tenella (L.) P Beavu, Chrysopogon	Rf, Sf
Skinnerg)	10)	Dork nolm dort	Omera activa I	Sf Sof
Skippers)	19)	Dark pann dart	Oryza sanva L.	51, Sei

Sf- Sugarcane field, Rf- Rice field, Sef- Sesame field, Mf- Musrtard field, Pf- Potato field, Gnf- Ground nut field, Jf- Jute field, Sunf- Sunflower field, Vf- Vegetable field (Pumpkin, Bitter gourd, Egyptian cucumber, Indian gardening cucumber), Lf- Legume field.



GRAPH 1: Graphical representation of total number of butterfly species belonging to five families

Flowering plants promoted the butterfly richness and density. Most of these plants provide rich nectar sources to adult butterflies. Comparatively the other fields have lesser density of agrestals and their time period also less than sugarcane field due to could also account for lower butterfly colonization. Each habitat has a specific set of micro environment suitable for a species. Papilionidae only found in three fields but Nymphalidae found in more or less all fields. Larval food plants of Nymphalidae, Pieridae and Hesperiidae are found in the agricultural fields but same in case of Papilionidae and Lycaenidae are not same, larval food plants of these groups are absent in agricultural fields of this district (Table 3).



GRAPH 2: Graphical representation of cumulative number of species collected against the sampling effort (sample unit).

TABLE 4: List of butterfly fauna in agricultural fields with related plants (agrestals and main crop) of Howrah distri	ct
(West Bengal, India) during 2011 to 2014	

Family	Sl No.	Common name	Activity sites like foraging, basking and mating	Occurrence of
				these plants
	1)	Common mormon	Mikania cordata L.,, Sesamum indicum L., Brassica	
Papilionidae			campestris L., Eupatorium odoratum L.,	Sf, Sef, Mf
(Swallowtails and	2)	Lime butterfly	Parthenium hysterophorus L., Sesamum indicum L.,	
Apollos)			Eupatorium odoratum L., Lippia alba (Mill.) N.E.Br. ex	
			Britton & Wilson	Sf, Sef
	3)	Common gull	Sesamum indicum L.	Sef
	4)	Common grass	Alternanthera sessilis Dc., Tridax procumbens L.,	
		yellow	Vernonia cinerea (L.) Less, Wedelia chinensis	Sf, Rf, Vf
Pieridae (The	5)	Mottled emigrant	Tridax procumbens L., Eupatorium odoratum L.,,	Sf, Rf, Sef
Whites and			Sesamum indicum L.	
Yellows)	6)	Common jezebel	Eupatorium odoratum L.,, Brassica campestris L.	Mf, Sf
Lycaenidae (The	7)	Quaker	Mikania cordata L.	Sf, Sef
Blues)	8)	Common Pierrot	Tridax procumbens L., Parthenium hysterophorus L,	Sf, Mf, Vf
			Cynodon dactylon (L.) Pers, Urena sinuata L	
	9)	Striped pierrot	Tridax procumbens L.	Sf, Mf, Vf
	10)	Dark grass blue	Corchorus capsularis L., Tridax procumbens L.	Jf, Sf
	11)	Gram Blue	Oryza sativa L.,, Cleome viscosa L., Pisum sativum L.	Sf, Rf, Sef , Lf
	12)	Pale grass blue	Arachis hypogaea L., Tridax procumbens L.	Gnf, Sf
	13)	Tiny grass blue	Wedelia chinensis (Osbeck) Merr., Cyperus rotundas L.	Sf, Rf
	14)	Common silverline	Tridax procumbens L.	Sf, Vf
	15)	Forget me not	Brassica campestris L., Lippia alba (Mill.) N.E.Br. ex Britton & Wilson	Mf, Sf
Nymphalidae	16)	Common five ring	Cynodon dactylon (L.) Pers, Commelina banghalensis	Sf, Pf, Vf
(Brush-footed			L., Mikania cordata L., Raphanus sativus L.	
Butterflies)	17)	Common bush brown	Commelina banghalensis L.	Sf, Sef
	18)	Brown king crow	Ageratum contizoides L.	Sf
	19)	Tawny coster	Tridax procumbens L., Xanthium strumarium L.,	Sf, Mf, Sef,
			Amaranthus spinosus L., Cyperous rotundus L.,	Vf
			Sesamum indicum L.	
	20)	Grey pansy	Saccharum officinarum L., Brassica campestris L.	Sf, Mf
	21)		Leucas aspera L., Croton bonplandianum Baill., Oryza	
		Peacock pansy	sativa L.	Rf, Vf
	22)	Lemon pansy	Alternanthera philoxeroides Griseb, Urena sinuata L.,	
			cassia tora	Sef, Gnf, Vf
	23)	Common evening	Commelina banghalensis L., Oryza sativa L.,	Sf, Rf, Sef
		brown	Alternanthera sessilis Dc.	
	24)	Comment formation	Imperata cylindrica (L.) P. Beauv Cynodon dactylon (L.)	Sf, Vf
		Common four ring	Pers, Mikania cordata L.,	
	25)	Angled coster	Brassica campestris L., Xanthium strumarium L.	Mf,
	26)	Dlain Tigar	Celosia argentea L., Eupatorium odoratum L., Brassica	Sf, Sef, Mf
		r iaili Tigei	campestris L.	
Hesperiidae (The	27)	Chest nut bob	Oplismenus composites (L.) P Beauv.	Gnf, Vf
Skippers)	28)	Rice swift	Oryza sativa L., Leucas aspera L.	Rf
	29)	Dark palm dart	Mikania cordata L.	Sf, Sef

Sf- Sugarcane field, Rf- Rice field, Sef- Sesame field, Mf- Musrtard field, Pf- Potato field, Gnf- Ground nut field, Jf- Jute field, Sunf- Sunflower field, Vf- Vegetable field (Pumpkin, Bitter gourd, Egyptian cucumber, Indian gardening cucumber), Lf- Legume

field.

Whereas other supportive plants of like food sources, basking and mating platforms for adult butterflies are profoundly present in these fields (Table 4). So, these fields become unique ecosystem for butterflies. On the other hand butterflies become important part of these agroecosystems.

Species Accumulation Curve

Species accumulation curve is an approach by plotting the cumulative number of species collected against the sampling effort (sample unit). From the year 2011 to 2014 the species accumulation curve (Graph 2) for the four sites sampled individually, increased from first to the fourth sampling though the number of new species added slowly.



Figure-6: Common gull on Sesamum indicum L.



Figure-9: Common grass yellow on *Alternanthera sessilis* Dc.



Figure-12: Quaker on Mikania cordata L.



Figure-15: Tiny grass blue on Wedelia chinensis (Osbeck) Merr



Figure- 18: Common pierrot on *Parthenium* hysterophorus L.



Figure-7: Common jejebel on Brassica campestris L.



Figure-10: Common silverline on *Tridax* procumbens L.



Figure-13: Dark grass blue on Corchorus capsularis L.,



Figure-16: Forget me not on *Brassica* campestris L.



Figure-19: Common five ring on Tinospora cordifolia (Thunb.) Miers



Figure-8: Mottled emigrant on dry Saccharum officionarum L. leaf



Figure-11: Striped pierrot on *Tridax* procumbens L.



Figure-14: Pale grass blue on Arachis hypogaea L.



Figure-17: Gram blue on *Phaseolus* vulgaris L



Figure-20: Common four ring on Dactyloctenium aegypticum (L.) Willd.



Figure- 21: Lemon pansy on Alternanthera philoxeroides Griseb



Figure- 24: Brown king crow on Ageratum houstonianum Mill.



Figure- 27: Angled castor on *Brassica* campestris L.



Figure- 30: Chest nut bob on *Oplismenus* composites (L.) P. Beauv



Figure- 22: Grey pansy on Saccharum officionarum L.



Figure- 25: Common evening brown Commelina banghalensis L.



Figure- 28: Tawny coster on Sesamum indicum L.



Figure- 31: Rice swift on Leucas aspera L.



Figure- 23: Peacock pansy on Oryza sativa L.



Figure- 26: Common bush brown on dead Saccharum officionarum L. leaf



Figure- 29: Plain tiger on *Brassica* campestris L.



Figure- 32: Dark palm dart on Sesbania cannabina (Retz.) Pers.

CONCLUSION

Butterfly diversity of agroecosystem of this district is very high but cannot compare with past due to lack of previous data. Agricultural fields are unique ecosystem that provides several services to butterflies. So, different butterflies depend on these fields, but now a day due to urbanization these animals are under risk. Their diversity in the fields also signs of good health of agricultural fields. From this study it can be concluded that health of the fields of this district is fair in respect of butterfly diversity because these insects are very good pollution indicators of whole environment. Larval food plants of three families among five found in these fields but in case of foraging and basking plants of all butterflies found in these fields.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would want to convey their gratitude to the honorable supervisor Dr. Amal Kumar Mondal, Associate Professor of Botany, Coordinator, UGC-DRS-SAP, Department of Botany and Forestry, Vidyasagar University, West Bengal, India and Dr. Sanjukta Mondal (Parui), Associate Professor and HOD, WBES, Department of Zoology, Lady Brabourne College, Kolkata-700017, West Bengal, India, for their consistence help during my study period. We would like to express our heartfelt indebtedness also to UGC for their laboratory support in the form of DRS-SAP (Phage 1) [2011-2016]. We are also thankful to UGC-DRS-SAP laboratory for infrastructural facility in this study. I would like to express my thanks to the research scholars of our laboratory and Debarun Patra for their continuous help. I am also thankful to my parents for their support me constantly.

REFERENCES

Ackery, P.R. (1984) Systematic and faunistic studies on butterflies, In: Wright, R.I.V. and P.R. Ackery (eds.). *The Biology of Butterflies*. Symposium of the Royal Entomological Society of London, Academic Press.11: 2-91.

Blair, R.B. and Launer, A.E. (1997) Butterfly diversity and human land use: Species assemblages along an urban gradient. Biol. Conserv. 80: 113-125.

Beccaloni, G.W. (1997) Vertical stratification of the ithomiine butterfly (Nymphalidae: Ithomiinae) mimicry complexes: the relationship between adult flight height and larval host-plant height. Biol. J. Lin.Soc. 62: 313–341.

Borges, R.M., Gowda, V. and Zacharias, M. (2003) Butterfly pollination and high contrast visual signals in a low density distylous plant. Oecologia. 136: 571-573.

Boriani, L., Burgio, G., Marini, M. and Genghini, M. (2005) Faunistic study on butterflies collected in Northern Italy rural landscape. Bulletin of Insectology.58 (1):49–56.

Bose, N.K. (2002) *The Problems of Damodar*, Appendix IV to *Report of the Damodar Flood Enquiry Committee*, republished in *Rivers of Bengal*, a compilation. 3: 204.

Chowdhury, S. and Das, R.P. (2007) Diversity of Butterflies in the Indian Botanic Garden, Howrah, West Bengal. *Bionotes*. 9(4): 131-132.

Chowdhury, S. and Chowdhury, D. (2006) On the Butterfly Fauna of Chintamoni Kar Bird Sanctuary, West Bengal. *Bionotes*. 8(1): 20.

Chowdhury, S. and Soren, R. (2011) Butterfly (Lepidoptera: Rhopalocera) Fauna of East Calcutta Wetlands, West Bengal, India. *Check List.* 7(6): 700 – 703.

Chakravarthy, A.K., Rajagopal, D. and Jagannatha, R. (1997) Insects as bioindicators of conservation in the tropics. Zoo's print J. 12: 21-25.

Clark, P.J., Michael, J. R. and Chew, F.S. (2007) Effect of urbanization on butterfly species richness, guild structure and rarity. Urban Ecocyst. 10: 321-337.

Dasgupta, J. (2010) Paschimbanger prajapati. Ananda publishing private limited. Kolkata.

DeNicéville, L, (1885) List of Butterflies of Calcutta and its neighborhood with notes on habits and food plants. *Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal.* 54(2): 39-54.

Dwari, S. and Mondal, A.K. (2011) Studies on agrestal diversity in the sugarcane field of Howrah district, West Bengal, India: use as an important bioresource for human welfare. International Journal of Biodiversity and Conservation. 3(13): 686-704.

Evans, W.H. (1932) *The Identification of Indian Butterflies*. Bombay: Bombay Natural History Society. Mumbai.

Fitzherbert, E., Gardner, T., Davenport, T. R. B. and Caro, T. (2006) Butterfly species richness and abundance in the Katavi ecosystem of western Tanzania. African Journal of Ecology. 44(3): 353–362.

Jana, S., Pahari, P.R., Dutta, T.K. and Bhattacharya, T.(2009) Diversity and community structure of aquatic insects pond in Midnapore town, West Bengal, India. J. Environmental Biology. 30: 283-287.

Kehimkar, I (2008) The book of Indian Butterflies. Bombay Natutral History Society. Mumbai.

Kunte, K. (2000) Butterflies of Peninsular India. Universities Press Limited. Hyderabad.

Kuefler, D., Haddad, N. M., Hall, S., Hudgens, B., Bartel, B. and Hoffman, E. (2008) Distribution, population structure and habitat use of the endangered Saint Francis Satyr butterfly, Neonympha mitchellii francisci. American Midland Naturalist.159 (2): 298– 320.

Malagrino, G, G., Lagunas, M.M. and Rubio, A.O. (2008) Environment impact reduction through ecological planning at Bahia Magdalena, Mexico, J. Environ.Biol. 29: 79-82.

Marchiori, M. O. and Romanowski, H. P. (2006) Species composition and diel variation of a butterfly taxocene (Lepidoptera, Papilionoidea and Hersperioidea) in a restinga forest at Itapuã State Park, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil," Revista Brasileira de Zoologia. 23(2): 443–454.

Pocewicz, A., Morgan, P. and Eigenbrode, S.D. (2009) Local and landscape effects on butterfly density in northern Idaho grasslands and forests. J, Insect Conserv.13:593-601.

Pollard, E. (1977) A method for assessing changes in the abundance of butterflies. *Biological Conservation*. 12: 115–153.

Pollard, E. and Yates, T.J. (1993) *Monitoring Butterflies for Ecology and Conservation*. Chapman and Hall. London.

Sabharwal, L.R. (2002) Notes as part of Appendix IV to *Report of the* Damodar Flood Enquiry Committee, republished in Rivers of Bengal, a compilation, West Bengal District Gazetteers, Government of West Bengal. Kolkata.

Sanders, D.F. (1944) A list of, and notes on the Butterflies of Calcutta. *Journal of the Bengal Natural History Society* 19: 29-41.

Schmidt, B. C. and Roland, J. (2006) Moth diversity in a fragmented habitat: importance of functional groups and landscape scale in the boreal forest. Annals of the Entomological Society of America.99 (6): 1110–1120.

Thakur, M.S. and Mattu, V.K. (2010) The role of Butterfly as flower visitors and pollinators in Shiwalik hills of western Himalayas. Asian J. Exp. Biol. Sci.4: 822-825.

Thomas, J.A., Simcox, D.J., Wardlaw, J.C., Elmes, W.G., Hochberg, M.E. and Clark, R.T. (1998) Effects of latitude, altitude and climate on the habitat and conservation of the endangered butterfly Maculinea arion and its Myrmica ant host J Sect conserve. 2: 39-46.

Tumuhimbise, G, M., Okwakol, J. N. and Kangwagye, T. N. (2001) Species diversity of swallowtail butterflies (Papilionidae: Lepidoptera) in North Maramagambo Forest. African Journal of Ecology.39 (1): 113–115.