

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND NATURE

© 2004 - 2016 Society For Science and Nature(SFSN). All Rights Reserved

www.scienceandnature.org

ANALYSIS OF GENETIC VARIABILITY PARAMETERS FOR YIELD AND RUST RESISTANCE IN BC₂F₃ POPULATION OF BREAD WHEAT

Basavaraja, S., Rudra Naik, V., Suma S. Biradar, Desai S.A., Sneha, G.L. & ^{*}Veeresha B.A. All India Coordinated Wheat Improvement Project, MARS, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad – 580005 *Corresponding author email: <u>ambavee@gmail.com</u>

ABSTRACT

The study was undertaken to estimate the genetic variability parameters of wheat for grain yield and its component traits in BC_2F_3 populations of the cross DWR162 XNIL PBW343. High phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation was observed for number of productive tillers per plant, grain yield per plant and coefficient of infection for leaf rust. The high heritability coupled with high genetic advance as percent of mean was seen for grain yield per plant, number of productive tillers per plant, spike length, number of spikelets per spike, number of seeds per spike and coefficient of infection for leaf rust. This suggested better scope for selecting superior transgressive segregants in this population. Hence, these traits should be taken into account while selecting superior and desirable plants for further improvement of yield parameters and leaf rust resistance in evolving high yielding and rust resistant genotype in wheat.

KEY WORDS: Wheat, Genetic variability, Heritability, Genetic advance, rust resistance.

INTRODUCTION

Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) is the main staple food crop of the world. In India wheat is grown in an area of 31.2 m ha with production of 96 mt and the average productivity is 3140 kg per hectare (Arati et al., 2015). Among the several constraints towards realizing the potential yield in wheat, the leaf rust diseases pose major threat to wheat production worldwide including India. Hence, there is a need to increase the productivity of wheat by developing high yielding varieties with rust resistance through appropriate breeding program to meet the demand of ever increasing population. Development of wheat cultivars with resistance to leaf rust is the most effective, economical and eco-friendly method of disease control and was used in numerous wheat breeding programs. Study of genetic parameters from segregating population is useful in understanding the genetic consequences of hybridization. Genetic variability for yield and yield components is essential in the base population for successful crop improvement. Heritability and genetic advance help in determining the influence of environment in expression of the characters and the extent to which improvement is possible after selection. Yield is a complex trait governed by many genes and greatly influenced by the environment. Variation in yield from year to year due to unpredictable weather and biotic stresses can have major economic impact. The extent of genetic variability has been considered as an important factor which is an essential pre-requisite for a successful hybridization aimed at producing high yielding progenies. High magnitude of variability in a population provides the opportunity for selection to evolve a variety having desirable characters (Santosh et al., 2013). Therefore, it is necessary to estimate and study the genetic variation and mode of inheritance in different yield parameters and biotic stress resistance to initiate productive wheat breeding programs. Hence, the present investigation was conducted to study the extent of the genetic variability, heritability and genetic gain expected to occur during the selection for yield parameters in BC_2F_3 population of cross DWR162 X NILPBW343.

MATERIAL & METHODS

The present investigation was conducted to assess the genetic variability for yield and yield attributing traits in BC₂F₃ population of the cross DWR162 X NILPBW343 at All India Coordinated Wheat Improvement Project, Main Agricultural Research Station, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad during rabi 2014. The experiment was laid out in augmented design with four blocks for the BC₂F₃ population and five checks. Each entry was grown in two rows of 3 meters length with spacing of 20 cm between rows. The crop was raised under irrigated condition and all the recommended package of practices was followed to raise a good and healthy crop. The observations were recorded on five randomly selected plants for ten quantitative traits viz., days to 50 per cent flowering, days to maturity, plant height (cm), number of productive tillers per plant, spike length (cm), number of spikelets per spike, number of grains per spikelet, thousand grain weight (g), grain yield per plot (g) and coefficient of infection (CI) for leaf rust. The data collected from the experiments were subjected to statistical analysis to estimate genetic parameters. Different genetic parameters like range, mean, phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation, heritability and genetic advance were estimated for all the traits under study by the following method suggested by Burton and De Vane, 1953; Johnson et al., 1955.

For leaf rust resistance, each individual plant of F_5 was scored in percentage at both vegetative and reproductive stages. Response of the plants to disease was assessed

using a modified Cobb's scale. The final disease severity data for the leaf rust was converted into a coefficient of infection (CI) by multiplying severity with a constant value for field response (Peterson *et al.*, 1948).

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

The results of analysis of variance pertaining to the set of entries of BC₂F₃, evaluated in augmented design were presented in Table 1. The analysis of variance indicated significantly higher amount of variability among the genotypes for all the characters studied viz., days to 50 percent flowering, days to maturity, plant height, spike length, number of spikelets per spike, number of tillers per plant, number of grains per spike, grain yield per plant and thousand grain weight. Hence variability in the material was considered for further analysis. In BC₂F₃ population there was wide range of variability observed for all the traits considered for investigation and the estimates of the genetic variability parameters in BC₂F₃ population of DWR 162 X NIL PBW 343 is presented in Table 2. High PCV and GCV were recorded for number of productive tillers per plant and grain yield per plant. Moderate PCV and GCV were recorded for plant height, spike length, number of spikelets per spike and number of seeds per spike whereas, low PCV and GCV were recorded for the characters days to maturity, days to 50 per cent flowering and thousand grain weight. These results are in consonance with report of Singh et al. (2001), Mahesh et al. (2001) and Kumar et al (2009). Very narrow difference between the values of GCV and PCV indicated that the environmental effect was small for the expression of these characters and these traits are governed by additive gene action. Similar reports of high PCV and GCV in wheat for different quantitative traits has been reported by Subhashchandra et al. (2009), Abinasa et al. (2011) and Arati, et al. (2015) in early segregating generations.

The high estimate of heritability was recorded for all the traits under study. Heritability estimates along with genetic advance are normally more helpful in predicting the gain under selection than heritability estimates alone (Johnson et al., 1955). High heritability coupled with high genetic advance as percent of mean was observed for plant height, number of productive tillers per plant, spike length, number of spikelets per spike, number of seeds per spike and grain yield per plant. This indicates that heritability is due to the additive genetic effects and selection could be effective in early segregating generations for these traits. Similar findings have been reported by Dwivedi et al. (2002), Yousaf et al. (2008) Binod Kumar et al. (2013) and Arati, et al. (2015). For the leaf rust infection, high PCV, GCV, heritability and GAM was recorded, suggesting that there is a high variability for this trait in BC₂F₃ population of the cross DWR 162 X NIL PBW 343, gain from selection is high in this population. Similar findings have been reported by Arati, et al. (2015).

Thus, it is evident from the present finding that substantial genetic variability was envisaged for yield and its component traits in the BC_2F_3 population of cross DWR 162 X NILPBW343. It also exhibited high heritability coupled with high genetic advance as percent of mean for grain yield per plant, number of productive tillers per plant, spike length, number of spikelets per spike, number

of seeds per spike and coefficient of infection for leaf rust. Therefore, these traits should be taken into account while selecting superior and desirable plants for further improvement of yield parameters and leaf rust resistance in evolving high yielding and leaf rust resistant genotype in wheat.

REFERENCES

Abinasa, M., Ayana, A. and Bultosa, G. (2011) Genetic variability, heritability and trait associations in durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L.var. *durum*) genotypes. *African J. Agric. Res.*, 6: 3972-3979.

Arati Yadawad, Hanchinal, R. R., Nadaf, H. L., Desai, S. A., Suma Biradar and Rudra Naik, V. (2015) Genetic variability for yield parameters and rust resistance in F_2 population of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *The Bioscan.* 10(2): 707-710.

Binod Kumar, Chandra Mohan Singh and Kundan Kumar Jaiswal. (2013) Genetic variability, association and diversity studies in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *The Bioscan.* 8(1): 143-147.

Burton, G.W., De Vane, D.H. (1953) Estimating heritability in fall fescue from replicated clonal material. *Agronomy J.* 4:78-81.

Dwivedi, A. N., Pawar, A. N., Shari, M. and Madan, S. (2002) Studies on variability parameters and character association among yield and quality attributing traits in wheat. *Harayana Agric. Univ. J. Res.*, 322: 77-80.

Johnson, H.W., Robinson, H.F., Comstock, R.E. (1955) Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soyabean. *Agron. J.* 47:314-418

Kumar, B., Lal, G. M., Ruchi and Upadhyay, A. (2009) genetic variability, diversity and association of quantitative traits with grain yield in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Asian J. Agric. Sci.*, 1 (1): 4-6.

Mahesh, S. K., Choudhary, H. B. and Deshmukh, P. S. (2001) Genetic variability and association of morpho-physiological characters with grain yield in late sown wheat. *Ann. Agric. Res.*, 22 (2): 217-220.

Peterson, R. F., Campbell, A. B. and Hannah, A. E. (1948) A diagram scale for estimating rust severity on leaves and stems of cereals. *Canadian J. Research.* 26: 496-500.

Santosh Arya, Mishra, D. K. and Bornare, S. S. (2013) Screening genetic variability in advance lines for drought tolerance of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). The Bioscan. 8(4): 1193-1196.

Singh, S. P., Jha, P. B. and Singh, D. N. (2001) Genetic variability for polygenic traits in late sown wheat genotypes. *Ann. Agric. Res.*, 22 (1): 34-36.

Subhashchandra, B., Lohithaswa, H. C., Desai, S. A., Hanchinal, R. R., Kalappanavar, I. K., Math, K. K., Salimath, P. M. (2009) Assessment of genetic variability and relationship between genetic diversity and transgressive segregation in tetraploid wheat. *Karnataka J. Agric.Res.*, 22: 36-38.

Yousaf, A., Atta, B. M., Akhter, J., Monneveux, P., Lateef, Z. (2008) Genetic variability, association and diversity studies in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) germplasm. *Pakistan J. Bot.*, 40: 2087-2097.

OVA for BO	C_2F_3 popul	lation of the o	pross DWR 1	62 X NIL PBW	343 under pro	tected condition	D	
Javie to 50%	Davie to	Plant height	Snike length	No of snikelets	No of grains	Number of	Thousand	Grain viald
Jays 10 Juzo Inwering	maturity	r mur nergur	(cm)	ner snike	ner snike	productive	grain	ner nlant (g)
IOMETTIR	шанитту	(0111)	(cm)	per spine	рег зріке	tillers per plant	weight (g)	per prant (g)
4.58**	26.37**	100.36**	1.71**	6.22**	50.32**	26.39**	14.30**	34.20**
6.95**	110.45**	147.20**	4.82**	38.2**	218.82**	85.76**	29.48**	31.64**
4.50**	23.57**	**08.80	1.60^{**}	5.16**	44.70**	24.41**	13.79**	34.29**
2.18*	4.18	2.33	0.91*	1.01	1.13	1.57**	1.44	0.233
4.91**	27.53**	126.24**	1.82**	6.67**	56.66**	28.94**	14.70**	36.92**
3.86**	9.74**	117.06**	1.65**	5.52**	46.84**	26.35**	11.61**	36.64**
31.21**	1812.4**	1135.10**	9.42**	17.94**	577.30	109.65**	323.31**	91.35**
).51	2.35	1.50	0.18	0.44	0.59	0.16	1.48	0.36
	OVA for BC Days to 50% lowering 4.58** 6.95** 6.95** 4.91** 3.1.21** 31.21**	OVA for BC ₂ F ₃ popul Days to 50% Days to lowering maturity 4.58** 26.37** 6.95** 110.45** 4.50** 23.57** 4.50** 23.57** 4.91** 27.53** 3.86** 9.74** 31.21** 1812.4**	OVA for BC ₂ F ₃ population of the c Days to 50% Days to Plant height lowering maturity (cm) 4.58** 26.37** 100.36*** 6.95*** 110.45*** 147.20*** 4.50*** 23.57*** 98.80*** 4.50*** 27.53*** 126.24*** 31.21*** 1812.4*** 1135.10*** 51 2.35 1.50	OVA for BC ₂ F ₃ population of the cross DWR 1 Days to 50% Days to maturity Plant height Spike length lowering maturity (cm) (cm) 4.58** 26.37** 100.36** 1.71** 6.95** 110.45** 147.20** 4.82** 4.50** 23.57** 98.80** 1.60** 4.50** 23.57** 98.80** 1.60** 4.91** 27.53** 126.24** 1.82** 3.86** 9.74** 117.06*** 1.65** 31.21** 1812.4** 1135.10** 9.42**	OVA for BC ₂ F ₃ population of the cross DWR 162 X NIL PBW Days to 50% Days to maturity Plant height (cm) Spike length (cm) No. of spikelets lowering maturity (cm) (cm) per spike 4.58** 26.37** 100.36** 1.71** 6.22** 6.95** 110.45** 147.20** 4.82** 38.2** 4.50** 23.57** 98.80** 1.60** 5.16** 1.8* 21.8 2.33 0.91* 1.01 4.91** 27.53** 126.24** 1.82** 6.67** 3.86** 9.74** 117.06*** 1.65** 5.52** 31.21** 1812.4** 1135.10** 9.42** 17.94**	OVA for BC ₂ F ₃ population of the cross DWR 162 X NIL PBW 343 under probasis Days to 50% Days to maturity Plant height Spike length No. of spikelets No. of grains Jowering maturity (cm) per spike per spike per spike 4.58** 26.37** 100.36** 1.71** 6.22** 50.32** 4.59** 110.45** 147.20** 4.82** 38.2** 218.82** 4.50** 23.57** 98.80** 1.60** 5.16** 44.70** 4.91** 27.53** 126.24** 1.82*** 6.67** 5.66** 3.86** 9.74** 117.06*** 1.65** 5.52** 46.84** 31.21** 1812.4** 1135.10** 9.42** 17.94** 577.30 51 2.35 1.50 0.18 0.44 0.59		OVA for BC2F3 population of the cross DWR 162 X NIL PBW 343 under protected conditionDays to 50%Days toPlant heightSpike lengthNo. of spikeletsNo. of grainsNumber of productiveThousand grainloweringmaturity(cm)(cm)per spikeper spikeper spiketillers per plantweight (g) $4.58**$ $26.37**$ $100.36**$ $1.71**$ $6.22**$ $50.32**$ $26.39**$ $14.30**$ $4.58**$ $26.37**$ $100.36**$ $1.71**$ $6.22**$ $50.32**$ $26.39**$ $14.30**$ $4.59**$ $110.45**$ $147.20**$ $4.82**$ $38.2**$ $218.82**$ $25.76**$ $29.48**$ $4.50**$ $23.57**$ $98.80**$ $1.60**$ $5.16**$ $218.82**$ $85.76**$ $29.48**$ $4.50**$ 23.33 $0.91*$ 1.01 1.13 $1.57**$ $14.70**$ $4.91**$ $27.53**$ $126.24**$ $1.82**$ $6.67**$ $26.66**$ $28.94**$ $14.70**$ $31.21**$ $1812.4**$ $1135.10**$ $9.42**$ $17.94**$ 577.30 $109.65**$ $323.31**$ 51 2.35 1.50 0.18 0.44 0.59 0.16 1.48

Ē.
m
<u>د</u>
(Ŧ)
N
••
Η
Ś
Π.
n
la
Ξ.
Ö
n
0
Ĭ
on
õ
ņ
e
10
C)
<
2
12.
p_
2.
H
J.
~
D.
11
a
n
16
Ť
9
S
1.
n
H
⁸
51
Ť
1.51
3
μ
3 pc
3 pop
3 popu
3 popula
3 populati
populatic;
population;
population of
population of
, population of I
3 population of D
population of DW
3 population of DWI
population of DWR
population of DWR 1
population of DWR 16
population of DWR 162
population of DWR 162 2
population of DWR 162 X
population of DWR 162 X N
population of DWR 162 X NI
population of DWR 162 X NIL
population of DWR 162 X NIL
population of DWR 162 X NIL P.
population of DWR 162 X NIL PB
population of DWR 162 X NIL PBV
population of DWR 162 X NIL PBW
population of DWR 162 X NIL PBW 3
population of DWR 162 X NIL PBW 34
population of DWR 162 X NIL PBW 343
population of DWR 162 X NIL PBW 343 u
population of DWR 162 X NIL PBW 343 un
population of DWR 162 X NIL PBW 343 und
population of DWR 162 X NIL PBW 343 under
population of DWR 162 X NIL PBW 343 under 1
population of DWR 162 X NIL PBW 343 under pr
population of DWR 162 X NIL PBW 343 under pro
population of DWR 162 X NIL PBW 343 under prote
population of DWR 162 X NIL PBW 343 under protec
population of DWR 162 X NIL PBW 343 under protects
population of DWR 162 X NIL PBW 343 under protected
population of DWR 162 X NIL PBW 343 under protected of
population of DWR 162 X NIL PBW 343 under protected co
population of DWR 162 X NIL PBW 343 under protected cor
population of DWR 162 X NIL PBW 343 under protected conc
population of DWR 162 X NIL PBW 343 under protected condition
population of DWR 162 X NIL PBW 343 under protected condition
population of DWR 162 X NIL PBW 343 under protected condition

2		Range	10/ 1 1/14		1-201 1-61	
Characters	Min	Max	PCV (%)		(Sa) %-II	GAIVI (%)
Days to 50 per cent flowering	56.00	89.00	5.20	5.00	95.00	10.40
Days to maturity	110.00	126.00	2.50	2.10	73.00	3.80
Plant height (cm)	33.00	89.75	14.40	14.10	98.00	29.30
Number of productive tillers per plant	6.00	35.00	30.50	30.00	97.00	62.00
Spike length (cm)	5.80	15.00	11.50	10.70	87.00	20.70
Number of spikelets per spike	12.00	24.00	11.20	10.20	91.00	21.00
Number of seeds per spike	35.00	71.00	11.42	11.30	98.00	23.10
Thousand grain weight (g)	23.50	42.40	9.60	8.90	85.00	17.07
Grain yield per plant (g)	7.15	41.65	31.40	30.00	98.00	64.10
Coefficient of infection (CI) for leaf mist	0 00	50 00	69 54	63 47	2000	28 20

 $\frac{\text{Coefficient of Infection (CI) for leaf rust}}{\text{PCV: phenotypic coefficient of variation; GCV: Genotypic coefficient of variation; h^2 (bs): heritability in broad sense; GAM: genetic advance over mean}$