



BIOCHEMICAL BASIS OF IRON DEFICIENCY CHLOROSIS RESISTANCE IN GROUNDNUT (*Arachis hypogaea* L.)

^{1*}Ishwar H. Boodi, ^{1,2}Pattanashetti, S.K., ¹Shruti Koraddi, ¹Basavaraj, P.S. & ¹Vijayakumar, A.G.

¹College of agriculture and RARS Vijayapura, University of agricultural sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka-586101, India.

²International Crops Research Institute for Semi Arid Tropics, Patancheru-502 324 (AP)

* Corresponding author, Email: ishwarhb.uasdagri@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

A pot experiment with factorial design involving normal and calcareous soil and five genotypes with differential response to iron deficiency chlorosis (IDC) viz., ICGV 06146 and GPBD 5 (Resistant), Dh 101 (Moderately resistant), ICCV 91114 and JL 24 (Susceptible) were tested for various traits like VCR and SCMR, chlorophyll a, b and total chlorophyll, active iron content, specific activity of peroxidase at five different stages and also know the effect of IDC on yield and yield components. Iron deficiency chlorosis resistant genotypes recorded significantly lower VCR, higher SCMR, higher active iron content, chlorophyll a, b and total chlorophyll and peroxidase activity in leaf across all stages compared to susceptible genotypes under calcareous soil. A strong and positive correlation was observed between peroxidase activity and leaf iron content. Yield and yield components were significantly reduced in susceptible genotypes compared to resistant genotypes.

KEY WORDS: Iron deficiency chlorosis (IDC), chlorophyll, Active iron (Ferrous, Fe²⁺), resistance and peroxidase activity.

INTRODUCTION

Groundnut being sensitive to iron deficiency, iron deficiency chlorosis is most commonly seen in areas of groundnut cultivation particularly in calcareous, alkaline and black soils. Iron chlorosis causes reduction in groundnut yield. The application of iron to soil in the form of ferrous sulphate (Fe₂SO₄) has often been recommended to alleviate the problem of iron chlorosis and concomitant loss in yield. But, this is often of little benefit to the crop as iron ionizes and gets converted into insoluble ferric compounds which are unavailable to plants. A major problem with foliar application is poor translocation of applied iron within the plant. Though, the use of iron chelates provide iron in available form, their use is not popular and not feasible from the economic point of view. An alternate approach to combat IDC is exploitation of genetic variability observed in groundnut for iron absorption efficiency (Hartzoek, 1975; Habib and Joshi, 1982). The IDC resistant lines could also be used further in groundnut crop improvement programme. The groundnut cultivars are called 'IDC resistant' if they respond to iron deficiency stress by inducing biochemical reactions that make Fe²⁺ available and 'IDC-Susceptible' if they do not. Growing iron-resistant cultivars in irrigated black soils could be economically preferable as it does not need application of any iron compounds. An increase in 12-24 per cent of pod yield has been observed when efficient cultivars were grown in irrigated black soils (Panchaksharaiah, 1982).

MATERIALS & METHODS

Pot experiment was conducted as per factorial design with soil type (normal black soil and calcareous soil) as factor 'A' and above listed genotypes (five) as factor 'B' to know their individual effects and interaction. The recommended cultivation practices were followed to

maintain healthy plants. Iron containing fertilizers were not applied. Visual chlorotic rating (1 to 5 scale proposed by Singh and Chaudhari, 1993) and SPAD chlorophyll meter reading (SCMR) values were recorded and mean was calculated.

BIOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS

Estimation of chlorophyll content

The chlorophyll content was estimated in the third leaf (fully expanded) of the plant at 45, 60 and 75 DAS by following the method of Shoaf and Lium (1976). Hundred mg of fresh leaf tissue was cut into small pieces and incubated in 7.0 ml of DMSO (dimethyl sulfoxide) at 65°C for 30 minutes. At the end of incubation period, the supernatant was decanted and leaf tissue was discarded. The volume was made up to 10 ml and absorbance was recorded at 645, 652 and 663 nm in UV-Vis spectrophotometer (ELICO, 159). The total chlorophyll, chlorophyll 'a' and chlorophyll 'b' content were calculated using the following formulae given by Arnon (1949) and expressed as mg per g fresh weight of leaf.

Preparation of plant samples for Fe²⁺ analysis

The leaf samples were collected randomly from plants in the pots. The leaves were washed once with tap water followed by 0.1 N HCl and then rinsed with double distilled water. Further, the fresh leaves were chopped with stainless steel knife. Two gram of chopped sample was extracted with 1-10 orthophenanthroline for Fe²⁺ analysis as described by Katyal and Sharma (1980).

Estimation of peroxidase activity

Peroxidase activity was estimated following the method of Mahadevan and Sridhar (1986).

Preparation of sample

One gram of fresh leaf tissue was extracted with 3 ml of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.0) by grinding with a pre-cooled mortar and pestle. The mixture was centrifuged at

3000 rpm at 5°C for 15 minutes and the supernatant was used as enzyme source.

Estimation of activity

Peroxidase activity was estimated as per the method of Mahadevan and Sridhar (1986). 3ml of buffer solution, 0.05 ml guaiacol solution, 0.1 ml enzyme extract and 0.03 ml hydrogen peroxide solution were pipetted into a cuvette and mixed well and cuvette was placed in the UV-Vis spectrophotometer (ELICO-159) at 436 nm. The change in absorbance was noted at an interval of 20 seconds after adding 0.5 ml of 2 percent H₂O₂ and inverting the cuvette. The protein content of enzyme extract was determined by Lowry's method (Lowry et al. 1951). The peroxidase activity was expressed as change in optical density per minute (OD / min).

Yield and yield parameters

All the readings were recorded on standard leaf (third fully opened leaf from top of the main stem) of the five plants for every treatment in four replications of calcareous and normal soils at five different stages viz., 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 DAS. Yield and yield components like main stem height (cm), number of primary branches, pod yield per plant (g), haulm yield per plant (g), shelling percentage and 100 seed weight (g) were recorded at the before or after harvest for all the genotypes.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Mean squares based on ANOVA for IDC related traits like visual chlorotic ratings (VCR), SPAD chlorophyll meter readings (SCMR), active iron (Ferrous, Fe²⁺) content, specific activity of peroxidase and chlorophyll 'a', 'b' and total chlorophyll content at all the five stages viz., 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 days after sowing (DAS) showed highly significant differences among treatments, factor A (soil types) and factor B (genotypes) (Table 1, 2 and 3). Whereas, factor A (soil types) x factor B (genotypes) interaction variances showed significant differences for VCR at all the five stages, SPAD values at 20 and 80 and for specific activity of peroxidase at 100 DAS.

Similarly for yield and yield components like main stem height (cm), number of primaries per plant, number of pods per plant, pod yield per plant (g), shelling percentage and test weight, highly significant differences were observed among the treatments and factor B (genotypes). Among factor A (soil type), significant differences observed for main stem height (cm), number of primaries per plant, number of pods per plant, pod yield per plant (g) (Table 4).

Iron deficiency chlorosis resistant genotypes ICGV 06146 and GPBD 5 had lower VCR followed by DH 101 across all the growth stages viz., 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 DAS under normal soil than calcareous soil, exhibiting higher uptake of Fe²⁺ and utilization efficiency and susceptible genotypes JL 24 and ICGV 91114 had higher VCR score compare to resistant genotypes (Table 5). Visual scores on 1-5 scale in general ranged from 1.00 to 3.00 during the crop growth. The values of visual scores were higher between 60 to 80 DAS than initial or later stages of crop growth, indicating higher metabolic activity at these stages and higher requirement of iron at peak growth stages,

however, iron taken up by the plants was metabolized into other functions of plant. Bhardwaj (2006) reported development of chlorosis within 35 days after sowing but increased chlorosis occurred at 45 DAS in peanut under simulated conditions through irrigating crops in highly calcareous soils. Whereas, Kulkarni *et al.* (1994) found visual chlorosis scores at 60 DAS were more reliable than scores of other stages in groundnut. The mean SCMR values, active iron content, chlorophyll 'a', chlorophyll 'b' and total chlorophyll content and also peroxidase activity of genotypes grown in different soil types showed highly significant differences evident from higher mean values of the traits in normal (33.76, 9.72, 1.152, 0.762, 1.910 and 1.05) soil compared to calcareous soil (27.34, 7.65, 0.959, 0.467, 1.447 and 27.34) (Table 5, 6 and 7). The genotypes showed significant differences for all traits evident from higher values in IDC resistant/moderately resistant genotypes like ICGV 06146, GPBD 5 and DH 101 compared to susceptible genotypes like JL 24 and ICGV 91114. Iron deficiency chlorosis appears 10-15 days after emergence in the field and remains throughout the cropping season, but its maximum intensity was observed between 30-70 days after emergence (Singh and Chaudhari, 1993).

There is also self-recovery of chlorosis as leaves become older, but the newly emerging leaves further show chlorosis (Singh, 1994a). Iron deficiency first appears as chlorosis on young rapidly expanding leaves which is characterized by interveinal chlorosis. During severe deficiency, the veins also become chlorotic and leaves become white and papery (Singh *et al.*, 1991a, b) and later becomes brown and necrotic. The acute deficiency leads to death of plant in the field and crop failure. The sufficiency level of Fe in groundnut leaves is 50-300 ppm and the critical limit is 40 ppm, but Fe deficiency in groundnut is visible when tissue concentration falls below 30 ppm in leaves (Singh, 1994b).

The ferrous iron content in groundnut genotypes at different growth stages indicated significant differences among the genotypes. The mean active iron content in the genotypes ranged from 10.1 to the maximum of 6.7 ppm. The calcareous soil, in which the genotypes were grown, had less than 5 ppm DTPA extractable Fe. Most of the genotypes had active iron content lower than 8 ppm and showed chlorosis (Table 6). Singh (1994b) has reported that active iron is taken as criterion and observed lower active iron in chlorotic plants. The genotypes ICGV 06146, GPBD 5 and DH 101 had higher ferrous iron with the lower VCR score and higher values of SCMR with higher peroxidase activity, whereas the genotypes JL 24 and ICGV 91114 with the mean iron content 6.73 to 6.85 ppm at various stages of growth had lower peroxidase activity and SPAD values with higher VCR values. The peroxidase enzyme in the present investigation had lower activity at 60 and 80 DAS and higher at early and later stages (20, 40 and 100DAS) of crop growth (Table 6). A similar trend for peroxidase activity has been observed by Sanjana (2004) in soybean, which appears to be natural phenomenon in all the crops.

- evaluation of chlorophyll meter for screening groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) genotypes tolerant to iron deficiency chlorosis. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 79(2), 211-214.
- Sanjana, K. and Koti, R.V. (2004) Effect of manganese and boron on oxidative enzymes and yield in rust infected soybean *Glycine max* (L.) Merrill. International Conference on Biotechnology Approaches for Alleviating Malnutrition and Human Health, 9th - 11th January 2006, held at GKVK, Uni. Agric. Sci. Bangalore.
- Shoaf, T. W. and Lium, B. W. (1976) Improved extraction of chlorophyll 'a' and 'b' from algae using dimethyl sulfoxide. *Limnol Oceanogr.* 21, 926-928.
- Singh, A .L. (1994a) Screening of groundnut cultivars for tolerance to lime-induced iron chlorosis. In plant productivity under environment stress, Karan Singh and S. S. Purohit (edt.). Agrobotanical publishers, Bikaner, India. 289-294.
- Singh, A.L. (1994b) Micronutrients nutrition and crop productivity in groundnut. In plant productivity under environment stress, Karan Singh and S.S. Purohit (Edt.), Agrobotanical Publishers, Bikaner, India. 67-72.
- Singh, A.L. and Chaudhari, V. (1993) Screening of groundnut germplasm collection and selection of genotypes tolerant to lime-induced iron chlorosis. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge*. 121, 205-111.
- Singh, A.L., Chaudhari, V. and Koradia, V.G. (1991a) Foliar nutrition of nitrogen and phosphorus in groundnut. In Tyagi, D. N. *et al.* (ed.) Physiological strategies for crop improvement. Proceedings of the International Conference of Plant Physiology, BHU Varanasi, India. 129-133.
- Singh, A.L., Joshi, Y.C., Devi Dayal and Misra, J.B. (1991b) Application of different sources of sulphur in groundnut. In Proceedings of "The National Seminar on Sulphur in Agriculture". In Siddaramappa R., Vijaya, P. K. Chaudhary and Susheela Devi, L., (eds.), Uni. Agric. Sci., Bangalore and fertilizer and chemicals Travancore Limited, Cochin India. 76-81.